STMicroelectronics

ST31H320 D01 including optional cryptographic library NESLIB Security Target for composition

Common Criteria for IT security evaluation

SMD_ST31H320_ST_19_002 Rev D01.4

October 2019

www.st.com

BLANK

1 Introduction (ASE_INT)

1.1 Security Target reference

1 Document identification: ST31H320 D01 including optional cryptographic library NesLib SECURITY TARGET FOR COMPOSITION.

2 Version number: Rev D01.4, issued in October 2019.

3 Registration: registered at ST Microelectronics under number SMD_ST31H320_ST_19_002.

1.2 TOE reference

4 This document presents **the Security Target (ST)** of the **ST31H320 D01** Security Integrated Circuit (IC), designed on the **ST31 platform of STMicroelectronics**, with firmware version 3.0.1, and optional cryptographic library **NesLib 6.2.1**.

5 The precise reference of the Target of Evaluation (TOE) is given in *Section 1.4: TOE identification* and the security IC features are given in *Section 1.6: TOE description*.

6 A glossary of terms and abbreviations used in this document is given in *Appendix A: Glossary*.

Contents

1 Introduction (ASE_INT) 3

1.1 Security Target reference 3

1.2 TOE reference 3

1.3 Context 10

1.4 TOE identification 10

1.5 TOE overview 11

1.6 TOE description 12

1.6.1 TOE hardware description 12

1.6.2 TOE software description 13

1.6.3 TOE documentation 14

1.7 TOE life cycle 15

1.8 TOE environment 16

1.8.1 TOE Development Environment (Phase 2) 16

1.8.2 TOE production environment 16

1.8.3 TOE operational environment 17

2 Conformance claims (ASE_CCL, ASE_ECD) 18

2.1 Common Criteria conformance claims 18

2.2 PP Claims 18

2.2.1 PP Reference 18

2.2.2 PP Additions 19

2.2.3 PP Claims rationale 19

3 Security problem definition (ASE_SPD) 20

3.1 Description of assets 20

3.2 Threats 22

3.3 Organisational security policies 23

3.4 Assumptions 25

4 Security objectives (ASE_OBJ) 26

4.1 Security objectives for the TOE 27

4.2 Security objectives for the environment 30

4.3 Security objectives rationale 31

4.3.1 TOE threat "Abuse of Functionality" 33
4.3.2 TOE threat "Memory Access Violation" 33
4.3.3 TOE threat "Diffusion of open samples" 33
4.3.4 Organisational security policy "Controlled usage to Loader Functionality" 34
4.3.5 Organisational security policy "Additional Specific Security Functionality" 34

5 Security requirements (ASE_REQ) 36

5.1 Security functional requirements for the TOE 36
5.1.1 Security Functional Requirements from the Protection Profile 39
5.1.2 Additional Security Functional Requirements for the cryptographic services 41
5.1.3 Additional Security Functional Requirements for the memories protection 45
5.1.4 Additional Security Functional Requirements related to the loading and authentication capabilities 46
5.1.5 Additional Security Functional Requirements related to the Secure Diagnostic capabilities 49
5.2 TOE security assurance requirements 50
5.3 Refinement of the security assurance requirements 52
5.3.1 Refinement regarding functional specification (ADV_FSP) 52
5.3.2 Refinement regarding test coverage (ATE_COV) 53
5.4 Security Requirements rationale 53
5.4.1 Rationale for the Security Functional Requirements 53
5.4.2 Additional security objectives are suitably addressed 58
5.4.3 Additional security requirements are consistent 61
5.4.4 Dependencies of Security Functional Requirements 62
5.4.5 Rationale for the Assurance Requirements 65

6 TOE summary specification (ASE_TSS) 67

6.1 Limited fault tolerance (FRU_FLT.2) 67
6.2 Failure with preservation of secure state (FPT_FLS.1) 67
6.3 Limited capabilities (FMT_LIM.1) / Test, Limited capabilities (FMT_LIM.1) / Sdiag, Limited capabilities (FMT_LIM.1) / Loader, Limited availability (FMT_LIM.2) / Test, Limited availability (FMT_LIM.2) / Sdiag & Limited availability (FMT_LIM.2) / Loader 67
6.4 Inter-TSF trusted channel (FTP_ITC.1) / Sdiag 68
6.5 Audit review (FAU_SAR.1) / Sdiag 68

6.6 Stored data confidentiality (FDP_SDC.1) 68
6.7 Stored data integrity monitoring and action (FDP_SDI.2) 68
6.8 Audit storage (FAU_SAS.1) 68
6.9 Resistance to physical attack (FPT_PHP.3) 68
6.10 Basic internal transfer protection (FDP_ITT.1), Basic internal TSF data transfer protection (FPT_ITT.1) & Subset information flow control (FDP_IFC.1) 69
6.11 Random number generation (FCS_RNG.1) 69
6.12 Cryptographic operation: TDES operation (FCS_COP.1) / TDES 69
6.13 Cryptographic operation: AES operation (FCS_COP.1) / AES 69
6.14 Cryptographic operation: RSA operation (FCS_COP.1) / RSA if NesLib . . 70
6.15 Cryptographic operation: Elliptic Curves Cryptography operation (FCS_COP.1) / ECC if NesLib 70
6.16 Cryptographic operation: SHA-1 & SHA-2 operation (FCS_COP.1) / SHA, if NesLib 70
6.17 Cryptographic operation: Keccak & SHA-3 operation (FCS_COP.1) / Keccak, if NesLib 71
6.18 Cryptographic operation: Keccak-p operation (FCS_COP.1) / Keccak-p, if NesLib 71
6.19 Cryptographic operation: Diffie-Hellman operation (FCS_COP.1) / Diffie-Hellman, if NesLib 72
6.20 Cryptographic operation: DRBG operation (FCS_COP.1) / DRBG, if NesLib 72
6.21 Cryptographic key generation: RSA key generation (FCS_CKM.1) / RSA_key_generation, if NesLib 72
6.22 Static attribute initialisation (FMT_MSA.3) / Memories 72
6.23 Management of security attributes (FMT_MSA.1) / Memories & Specification of management functions (FMT_SMF.1) / Memories 72
6.24 Complete access control (FDP_ACC.2) / Memories & Security attribute based access control (FDP_ACF.1) / Memories 73
6.25 Authentication Proof of Identity (FIA_API.1) 73
6.26 Inter-TSF trusted channel (FTP_ITC.1) / Loader, Basic data exchange confidentiality (FDP_UCT.1) / Loader, Data exchange integrity (FDP_UIT.1) / Loader & Audit storage (FAU_SAS.1) / Loader 73
6.27 Subset access control (FDP_ACC.1) / Loader & Security attribute based access control (FDP_ACF.1) / Loader 73
6.28 Failure with preservation of secure state (FPT_FLS.1) / Loader 73
6.29 Static attribute initialisation (FMT_MSA.3) / Loader 73

6.30 Management of security attributes (FMT_MSA.1) / Loader & Specification of management functions (FMT_SMF.1) / Loader 74
6.31 Security roles (FMT_SMR.1) / Loader 74
6.32 Timing of identification (FIA_UID.1) / Loader & Timing of authentication (FIA_UAU.1) / Loader 74
6.33 Audit review (FAU_SAR.1) / Loader 74

7 Identification 75

8 References 79

Appendix A Glossary 83
A.1 Terms. 83
A.2 Abbreviations. 85

List of tables

Table 1. TOE components . . . 11
Table 2. Derivative devices configuration possibilities . . . 11
Table 3. Composite product life cycle phases . . . 15
Table 4. Summary of security aspects . . . 20
Table 5. Summary of security objectives . . . 26
Table 6. Security Objectives versus Assumptions, Threats or Policies . . . 32
Table 7. Summary of functional security requirements for the TOE . . . 36
Table 8. FCS_COP.1 iterations (cryptographic operations) . . . 42
Table 9. FCS_CKM.1 iterations (cryptographic key generation). . . . 45
Table 10. TOE security assurance requirements . . . 51
Table 11. Impact of EAL5 selection on BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014 refinements . . . 52
Table 12. Security Requirements versus Security Objectives . . . 54
Table 13. Dependencies of security functional requirements . . . 62
Table 14. TOE components . . . 75
Table 15. Guidance documentation . . . 75
Table 16. Sites list . . . 75
Table 17. ST31H320 D01 platform Security Target . . . 79
Table 18. Common Criteria. . . . 79
Table 19. Protection Profile . . . 79
Table 20. Other standards . . . 79
Table 21. List of abbreviations . . . 85

List of figures

Figure 1. ST31H320 D01 platform block diagram 13

1.3 Context

7 The Target of Evaluation (TOE) referred to in *Section 1.4: TOE identification*, is evaluated under the French IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme and is developed by the Secure Microcontrollers Division of STMicroelectronics (ST).

8 The assurance level of the performed Common Criteria (CC) IT Security Evaluation is EAL5 augmented by ADV_IMP.2, ADV_TDS.5, ALC_CMC.5, ALC_DVS.2, ALC_FLR.1, ALC_TAT.3 and AVA_VAN.5.

9 The intent of this Security Target is to specify the Security Functional Requirements (SFRs) and Security Assurance Requirements (SARs) applicable to the TOE security ICs, and to summarise their chosen TSF services and assurance measures.

10 This ST claims to be an instantiation of the "*Eurosmart - Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages*" (PP) registered and certified under the reference *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* in the German IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme, ***with the following augmentations***:

- Addition #1: “Support of Cipher Schemes” from *AUG*
- Addition #4: “Area based Memory Access Control” from *AUG*
- Additions specific to this Security Target, some of which in compliance with *ANSSI-CC-NOTE-06/2.0 EN* and *ANSSI-CC-CER/F/06.002*.

The original text of this PP is typeset as indicated here, its augmentations from *AUG* as indicated here, and text originating in *ANSSI-CC-NOTE-06/2.0 EN* and *ANSSI-CC-CER/F/06.002* as indicated here, when they are reproduced in this document.

This ST instantiates the following packages from the above mentioned PP:

- Authentication of the Security IC
- Loader dedicated for usage in secured environment only
- Loader dedicated for usage by authorized users only.

11 Extensions introduced in this ST to the SFRs of the Protection Profile (PP) are **exclusively** drawn from the Common Criteria part 2 standard SFRs.

12 This ST makes various refinements to the above mentioned PP and *AUG*. They are all properly identified in the text typeset as ***indicated here*** or ~~here~~. The original text of the PP is repeated as scarcely as possible in this document for reading convenience. All PP identifiers have been however prefixed by their respective origin label: ***BSI*** for *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*, ***AUG1*** for Addition #1 of *AUG*, ***AUG4*** for Addition #4 of *AUG*., and ***ANSSI*** for *ANSSI-CC-NOTE-06/2.0 EN* and *ANSSI-CC-CER/F/06.002*.

1.4 TOE identification

13 The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is the ST31H320 D01 platform.

14 “ST31H320 D01” completely identifies the TOE including its components listed in *Table 1: TOE components*, its guidance documentation detailed in *Table 15: Guidance documentation*, and its development and production sites indicated in *Table 16: Sites list*.

15 D01 is the version of the evaluated platform. Any change in the TOE components, the guidance documentation and the list of sites leads to a new version of the evaluated platform, thus a new TOE.

Table 1. TOE components

IC Maskset name	IC version	Master identification number (1)	Firmware version	OST version	Optional NesLib crypto library version
K8N0A	E	00DE	3.0.1	4.0	6.2.1

1. Part of the product information.

16 The IC maskset name is the product hardware identification.
The IC version is updated for any change in hardware (i.e. part of the layers of the maskset) or in the OST software.

17 All along the product life, the marking on the die, a set of accessible registers and a set of specific instructions allow the customer to check the product information, providing the identification elements, as listed in *Table 1: TOE components*, and the configuration elements as detailed in the Data Sheet, referenced in *Table 15: Guidance documentation*.

1.5 TOE overview

18 Designed for secure ID and banking applications, the TOE is a serial access microcontroller that incorporates the most recent generation of ARM® processors for embedded secure systems. Its SecurCore® SC000™ 32-bit RISC core is built on the Cortex™ M0 core with additional security features to help to protect against advanced forms of attacks.

19 Different derivative devices may be configured depending on the customer needs:

- either by ST during the manufacturing or packaging process,
- or by the customer during the packaging, or composite product integration, or personnalisation process.

20 They all share the same hardware design and the same maskset (denoted by the Master identification number). The Master identification number is unique for all product configurations.

21 The configuration of the derivative devices can impact the available NVM size, and the availability of Nescrypt, as detailed here below:

Table 2. Derivative devices configuration possibilities

Features	Possible values
NVM size	128, 192, 256, or 320 Kbytes
Nescrypt	Active, Inactive

22 All combinations of different features values are possible and covered by this certification. All possible configurations can vary under a unique IC, and without impact on security.

23 The Master identification number is unique for all product configurations.
Each derivative device has a specific Child product identification number, also part of the product information, and specified in the Data Sheet and in the Firmware User Manual, referenced in *Table 15*.

24 The rest of this document applies to all possible configurations of the TOE, with or without NesLib, except when a restriction is mentioned. For easier reading, the restrictions are typeset as indicated here.

25 In a few words, the ST31H320 D01 offers a unique combination of high performances and very powerful features for high level security:

- Die integrity,
- Monitoring of environmental parameters,
- Protection mechanisms against faults,
- AIS20/AIS31 class PTG.2 compliant True Random Number Generator,
- Hardware Security Enhanced DES accelerator,
- Hardware Security AES accelerator,
- ISO 3309 CRC calculation block,
- Memory Protection Unit,
- optional NExt Step CRYPTography accelerator (NESCRYPT),
- optional cryptographic library.

1.6 TOE description

1.6.1 TOE hardware description

26 The TOE features hardware accelerators for advanced cryptographic functions, with built-in countermeasures against side channel attacks.

27 The AES (Advanced Encryption Standard) accelerator provides a high-performance implementation of AES-128, AES-192 and AES-256 algorithms. It can operate in Electronic CodeBook (ECB) or Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) modes.

28 The 3-key DES accelerator (EDES+) supports efficiently the Triple Data Encryption Standard (TDES *[2]*), enabling Electronic Code Book (ECB) and Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) modes and DES computation.
Note that a triple DES can be performed by a triple DES computation or by 3 single DES computations.

29 If Nescrypt is active, the NESCRYPT crypto-processor allows fast and secure implementation of the most popular public key cryptosystems with a high level of performance (*[7]*, *[9]*, *[12]*,*[13]*, *[14]*, *[15]*).

30 The TOE offers 10 Kbytes of User RAM and up to 320 Kbytes of secure User high-density Flash memory (NVM).

31 As randomness is a key stone in many applications, the ST31H320 D01 features a highly reliable True Random Number Generator (TRNG), compliant with PTG.2 Class of AIS20/AIS31 *[1]* and directly accessible thru dedicated registers.

32 This device also includes the ARM® SecurCore® SC000™ memory protection unit (MPU), which enables the user to define its own region organization with specific protection and access permissions.

33 The TOE offers a contact serial communication interface fully compatible with the ISO/IEC 7816-3 standard.

34 The detailed features of this TOE are described in the Data Sheet and in the Cortex SC000 Technical Reference Manual, referenced in *Table 15*.

35 *Figure 1* provides an overview of the ST31H320 D01 platform.

Figure 1. ST31H320 D01 platform block diagram



1.6.2 TOE software description

36 The OST ROM contains a Dedicated Software which provides full test capabilities (operating system for test, called "OST"), not accessible by the Security IC Embedded Software (ES), after TOE delivery.

37 The System ROM and ST NVM of the TOE contain a Dedicated Software (Firmware) which provides:

- a Secure Flash Loader, enabling to securely and efficiently download the Security IC Embedded Software (ES) into the NVM. It also allows the evaluator to load software into the TOE for test purpose. The Secure Flash Loader is available in Admin configuration. The customer can choose to activate it in any phase of the product life-cycle under highly secured conditions, or to deactivate it definitely at a certain step.
- low-level functions called Flash Drivers, enabling the Security IC Embedded Software (ES) to modify and manage the NVM contents. The Flash Drivers are available in User configuration.
- a set of protected commands for device testing and product profiling, not intended for the Security IC Embedded Software (ES) usage, and not available in User configuration.
- a very reduced set of uncritical commands for basic diagnostic purpose (field return analysis), only reserved to STMicroelectronics.
- a set of highly protected commands for secure diagnostic purpose (advanced quality investigations), that can only be activated by the customer and be operated by STMicroelectronics on its own audited sites. This feature is protected by specific strong access control, completed by environmental measures which prevent access to customer assets. Furthermore, it can be permanently deactivated by the customer.

38 The TOE optionally comprises a specific application in User NVM: this applicative Embedded Software is a cryptographic library called NesLib. NesLib is a cutting edge cryptographic library in terms of security and performance.

NesLib is embedded by the ES developer in his applicative code.
Note that the NesLib RSA, ECC and Diffie-Hellman functions can only be used if Nescrypt is active.

NesLib is a cryptographic toolbox supporting the most common standards and protocols:

- an asymmetric key cryptographic support module, supporting secure modular arithmetic with large integers, with specialized functions for Rivest, Shamir & Adleman Standard cryptographic algorithm (RSA *[14]*), and Diffie-Hellman *[23]*,
- an asymmetric key cryptographic support module that provides very efficient basic functions to build up protocols using Elliptic Curves Cryptography on prime fields GF(p) with elliptic curves in short Weierstrass form *[12]*, and provides support for ECDH key agreement *[21]* and ECDSA verification *[5]*.
- a module for supporting elliptic curve cryptography on Edwards curve 25519, in particular ed25519 signature generation, verification and point decompression *[26]*.
- a cryptographic support module that provides secure hash functions (SHA-1[(a)], SHA-2 *[4]*, SHA-3, Keccak and a toolbox for cryptography based on Keccak-p, the permutation underlying SHA-3 *[25]*),
- a symmetric key cryptographic support module whose base algorithm is the Data Encryption Standard cryptographic algorithm (DES) *[2]*,
- a symmetric key cryptographic support module whose base algorithm is the Advanced Encryption Standard cryptographic algorithm (AES) *[6]*,
- support for Deterministic Random Bit Generators *[19]*,
- prime number generation and RSA key pairs generation *[3]*.

39 The Security IC Embedded Software (ES) is in User NVM.

Note: The ES is not part of the TOE and is out of scope of the evaluation, except NesLib when it is embedded.

1.6.3 TOE documentation

40 The user guidance documentation, part of the TOE, consists of:

- the product Data Sheet and die description,
- the product family Security Guidance,
- the AIS31 user manuals,
- the product family programming manual,
- the ARM SC000 Technical Reference Manual,
- the Firmware user manual,
- the Flash loader installation guide,
- optionally the NesLib user manual.

41 The complete list of guidance documents is detailed in *Table 15*.

a. Note that SHA-1 is no longer recommended as a cryptographic function. Hence, Security IC Embedded Software may need to use another SHA to achieve a suitable strength.

1.7 TOE life cycle

42 This Security Target is fully conform to the claimed PP. In the following, just a summary and some useful explanations are given. For complete details on the TOE life cycle, please refer to the *Eurosmart - Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages* (*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*), section 1.2.3.

43 The composite product life cycle is decomposed into 7 phases. Each of these phases has the very same boundaries as those defined in the claimed protection profile.

44 The life cycle phases are summarized in *Table 3*.

45 The sites potentially involved in the TOE life cycle are listed in *Table 16*.

46 The limit of the evaluation corresponds to phases 2, 3 and optionally 4, including the delivery and verification procedures of phase 1, and the TOE delivery either to the IC packaging manufacturer or to the composite product integrator ; procedures corresponding to phases 1, 5, 6 and 7 are outside the scope of this evaluation.

47 In the following, the term "Composite product manufacturing" is uniquely used to indicate phases 1, optionally 4, 5 and 6 all together.
This ST also uses the term "Composite product manufacturer" which includes all roles responsible of the TOE during phases 1, optionally 4, 5 and 6.

48 The TOE is delivered after Phase 3 in form of wafers or after Phase 4 in packaged form, depending on the customer’s order.

49 In the following, the term "TOE delivery" is uniquely used to indicate:

- after Phase 3 (or before Phase 4) if the TOE is delivered in form of wafers or sawn wafers (dice) or
- after Phase 4 (or before Phase 5) if the TOE is delivered in form of packaged products.

50 The TOE is delivered in Admin (aka Issuer) or User configuration.

Table 3. Composite product life cycle phases

Phase	Name	Description
1	Security IC embedded software development	security IC embedded software development specification of IC pre-personalization requirements
2	IC development	IC design IC dedicated software development
3	IC manufacturing and testing	integration and photomask fabrication IC manufacturing IC testing IC pre-personalisation
4	IC packaging	security IC packaging (and testing) pre-personalisation if necessary
5	Security IC product finishing process	composite product finishing process composite product testing

Table 3. Composite product life cycle phases (continued)

Phase	Name	Description
6	Security IC personalisation	composite product personalisation composite product testing
7	Security IC end usage	composite product usage by its issuers and consumers

1.8 TOE environment

51 Considering the TOE, three types of environments are defined:

- Development environment corresponding to phase 2,
- Production environment corresponding to phase 3 and optionally 4,
- Operational environment, including phase 1 and from phase 4 or 5 to phase 7.

1.8.1 TOE Development Environment (Phase 2)

52 To ensure security, the environment in which the development takes place is secured with controllable accesses having traceability. Furthermore, all authorised personnel involved fully understand the importance and the strict implementation of defined security procedures.

53 The development begins with the TOE's specification. All parties in contact with sensitive information are required to abide by Non-Disclosure Agreements.

54 Design and development of the IC then follows, together with the dedicated and engineering software and tools development. The engineers use secure computer systems (preventing unauthorised access) to make their developments, simulations, verifications and generation of the TOE's databases. Sensitive documents, files and tools, databases on tapes, and printed circuit layout information are stored in appropriate locked cupboards/safe. Of paramount importance also is the disposal of unwanted data (complete electronic erasures) and documents (e.g. shredding).

55 The development centres possibly involved in the development of the TOE are denoted by the activity “DEV” in *Table 16*.

56 Reticules and photomasks are generated from the verified IC databases; the former are used in the silicon Wafer-fab processing. As reticules and photomasks are generated off-site, they are transported and worked on in a secure environment. During the transfer of sensitive data electronically, procedures are established to ensure that the data arrive only at the destination and are not accessible at intermediate stages (e.g. stored on a buffer server where system administrators make backup copies).

57 The authorized sub-contractors potentially involved in the TOE mask manufacturing are denoted by the activity “MASK” in *Table 16*.

1.8.2 TOE production environment

58 As high volumes of product commonly go through such environments, adequate control procedures are necessary to account for all product at all stages of production.

Phase 3

59 Production starts within the Wafer-fab; here the silicon wafers undergo the diffusion processing. Computer tracking at wafer level throughout the process is commonplace. The wafers are then taken into the test area. Testing and pre-personalization of each TOE occurs to assure conformance with the device specification and to load the customer information.

60 The authorized front-end plant possibly involved in the manufacturing of the TOE are denoted by the activity “FE” in *Table 16*.

61 The authorized EWS plant potentially involved in the testing of the TOE are denoted by the activity “EWS” in *Table 16*.

62 Wafers are then scribed and broken such as to separate the functional from the non-functional ICs. The latter is discarded in a controlled accountable manner.

Phase 4

63 The good ICs are then packaged in phase 4, in a back-end plant. When testing, programming or deliveries are done offsite, ICs are transported and worked on in a secure environment with accountability and traceability of all (good and bad) products.

64 When the product is delivered after phase 4, the authorized back-end plants possibly involved in the packaging of the TOE are denoted by the activity “BE” in *Table 16*.

65 All sites are denoted by the activity “WHS” in *Table 16* can be involved for the logistics during phase 3 or 4.

1.8.3 TOE operational environment

66 A TOE operational environment is the environment of phases 1, optionally 4, then 5 to 7.

67 At phases 1, 4, 5 and 6, the TOE operational environment is a controlled environment.

68 End-user environments (phase 7): composite products are used in a wide range of applications to assure authorised conditional access. Examples of such are pay-TV, banking cards, brand protection, portable communication SIM cards, health cards, transportation cards, access management, identity and passport cards. The end-user environment therefore covers a wide range of very different functions, thus making it difficult to avoid and monitor any abuse of the TOE.

2 Conformance claims (ASE_CCL, ASE_ECD)

2.1 Common Criteria conformance claims

69 The ST31H320 D01 platform Security Target claims to be conformant to the Common Criteria version 3.1.

70 Furthermore it claims to be CC Part 2 (*CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*) extended and CC Part 3 (*CCMB-2017-04-003 R5*) conformant.

71 The extended Security Functional Requirements are those defined in the *Eurosmart - Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages* (*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*):

- **FCS_RNG** Generation of random numbers,
- **FMT_LIM** Limited capabilities and availability,
- **FAU_SAS** Audit data storage,
- **FDP_SDC** Stored data confidentiality,
- **FIA_API** Authentication proof of identity.

The reader can find their certified definitions in the text of the "*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*" Protection Profile.

72 The assurance level for the ST31H320 D01 platform Security Target is ***EAL5*** augmented by ADV_IMP.2, ADV_TDS.5, ALC_CMC.5, ALC_DVS.2, ALC_FLR.1, ALC_TAT.3 and AVA_VAN.5.

2.2 PP Claims

2.2.1 PP Reference

73 The ST31H320 D01 platform Security Target claims strict conformance to the *Eurosmart - Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages* (*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*), for the part of the TOE covered by this PP (Security IC), as required by this Protection Profile.

74 The following packages have been selected from the *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*:

- Package “Authentication of the Security IC”,
- Packages for Loader:
  - Package 1: Loader dedicated for usage in Secured Environment only,
  - Package 2: Loader dedicated for usage by authorized users only.

2.2.2 PP Additions

75 The main additions operated on the *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* are:

- Addition #4: "Area based Memory Access Control" from *AUG*,
- Addition #1: "Support of Cipher Schemes" from *AUG*,
- Specific additions for the Secure Flash Loader, to comply with *ANSSI-CC-NOTE-06/2.0 EN* and *ANSSI-CC-CER/F/06.002*,
- Specific additions for the Secure Diagnostic capability,
- Refinement of assurance requirements.

76 All refinements are indicated with type setting text ***as indicated here***, original text from the *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* being typeset as indicated here and ~~here~~. Text originating in *AUG* is typeset as indicated here. Text originating in *ANSSI-CC-NOTE-06/2.0 EN* and *ANSSI-CC-CER/F/06.002* is typeset as indicated here.

77 The security environment additions relative to the PP are summarized in *Table 4*.

78 The additional security objectives relative to the PP are summarized in *Table 5*.

79 A simplified presentation of the TOE Security Policy (TSP) is added.

80 The additional SFRs for the TOE relative to the PP are summarized in *Table 7*.

81 The additional SARs relative to the PP are summarized in *Table 10*.

2.2.3 PP Claims rationale

82 The differences between this Security Target security objectives and requirements and those of *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*, to which conformance is claimed, have been identified and justified in *Section 4* and in *Section 5*. They have been recalled in the previous section.

83 In the following, the statements of the security problem definition, the security objectives, and the security requirements are consistent with those of the *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*.

84 The security problem definition presented in *Section 3*, clearly shows the additions to the security problem statement of the PP.

85 The security objectives rationale presented in *Section 4.3* clearly identifies modifications and additions made to the rationale presented in the *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*.

86 Similarly, the security requirements rationale presented in *Section 5.4* has been updated with respect to the protection profile.

87 All PP requirements have been shown to be satisfied in the extended set of requirements whose completeness, consistency and soundness have been argued in the rationale sections of the present document.

3 Security problem definition (ASE_SPD)

88 This section describes the security aspects of the environment in which the TOE is intended to be used and addresses the description of the assets to be protected, the threats, the organisational security policies and the assumptions.

89 Note that the origin of each security aspect is clearly identified in the prefix of its label. Most of these security aspects can therefore be easily found in the *Eurosmart - Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages* (*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*), section 3. Only those originating in *AUG* or in *ANSSI-CC-NOTE-06/2.0 EN* / *ANSSI-CC-CER/F/06.002*, and the ones introduced in this Security Target, are detailed in the following sections.

90 A summary of all these security aspects and their respective conditions is provided in *Table 4*.

Table 4. Summary of security aspects

	Label	Title
TOE threats	BSI.T.Leak-Inherent	Inherent Information Leakage
	BSI.T.Phys-Probing	Physical Probing
	BSI.T.Malfunction	Malfunction due to Environmental Stress
	BSI.T.Phys-Manipulation	Physical Manipulation
	BSI.T.Leak-Forced	Forced Information Leakage
	BSI.T.Abuse-Func	Abuse of Functionality
	BSI.T.RND	Deficiency of Random Numbers
	BSI.T.Masquerade-TOE	Masquerade the TOE
	AUG4.T.Mem-Access	Memory Access Violation
	ANSSI.T.Open-Samples-Diffusion	Diffusion of open samples
OSPs	BSI.P.Process-TOE	Protection during TOE Development and Production
	BSI.P.Lim-Block-Loader	Limiting and blocking the loader functionality
	BSI.P.Ctrl-Loader	Controlled usage to Loader Functionality
	AUG1.P.Add-Functions	Additional Specific Security Functionality (Cipher Scheme Support)
Assumptions	BSI.A.Process-Sec-IC	Protection during Packaging, Finishing and Personalisation
	BSI.A.Resp-Appl	Treatment of User Data

3.1 Description of assets

91 Since this Security Target claims strict conformance to the *Eurosmart - Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages* (*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*), the assets defined in section 3.1 of the Protection Profile are applied and the assets regarding threats are clarified in this Security Target.

92 The assets (related to standard functionality) to be protected are

- - the user data of the Composite TOE,
- - the Security IC Embedded Software, stored and in operation,
- - the security services provided by the TOE for the Security IC Embedded Software.

93 The user (consumer) of the TOE places value upon the assets related to high-level security concerns:

- SC1 integrity of user data of the Composite TOE,
- SC2 confidentiality of user data of the Composite TOE being stored in the TOE's protected memory areas,
- SC3 correct operation of the security services provided by the TOE for the Security IC Embedded Software.

94 Note the Security IC Embedded Software is user data and shall be protected while being executed/processed and while being stored in the TOE's protected memories.

95 According to this Protection Profile there is the following high-level security concern related to security service:

- SC4 deficiency of random numbers.

96 To be able to protect these assets (SC1 to SC4) the TOE shall self-protect its TSF. Critical information about the TSF shall be protected by the development environment and the operational environment. Critical information may include:

- logical design data, physical design data, IC Dedicated Software, and configuration data,
- Initialisation Data and Pre-personalisation Data, specific development aids, test and characterisation related data, material for software development support, and photomasks.

97 Such information and the ability to perform manipulations assist in threatening the above assets.

98 The information and material produced and/or processed by the TOE Manufacturer in the TOE development and production environment (Phases 2 up to TOE Delivery) can be grouped as follows:

- logical design data,
- physical design data,
- IC Dedicated Software, Initialisation Data and Pre-personalisation Data,
- Security IC Embedded Software, provided by the Security IC Embedded Software developer and implemented by the IC manufacturer,
- specific development aids,
- test and characterisation related data,
- material for software development support, and
- photomasks and products in any form

as long as they are generated, stored, or processed by the TOE Manufacturer.

99 Application note:
The TOE providing a functionality for Security IC Embedded Software secure loading into NVM, the ES is considered as User Data being stored in the TOE's memories at this step, and the Protection Profile corresponding packages are integrated, as well as the requirements from *ANSSI-CC-NOTE-06/2.0 EN*.

3.2 Threats

100 The threats are described in the *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*, section 3.2.
Only those originating in *AUG* and *ANSSI-CC-CER/F/06.002* are detailed in the following section.

BSI.T.Leak-Inherent	Inherent Information Leakage
BSI.T.Phys-Probing	Physical Probing
BSI.T.Malfunction	Malfunction due to Environmental Stress
BSI.T.Phys-Manipulation	Physical Manipulation
BSI.T.Leak-Forced	Forced Information Leakage
BSI.T.Abuse-Func	Abuse of Functionality
BSI.T.RND	Deficiency of Random Numbers
BSI.T.Masquerade-TOE	Masquerade the TOE
AUG4.T.Mem-Access	Memory Access Violation: Parts of the ***Security IC*** Embedded Software may cause security violations by accidentally or deliberately accessing restricted data (which may include code). Any restrictions are defined by the security policy of the specific application context and must be implemented by the ***Security IC*** Embedded Software. Clarification: This threat does not address the proper definition and management of the security rules implemented by the Security IC Embedded Software, this being a software design and correctness issue. This threat addresses the reliability of the abstract machine targeted by the software implementation. To avert the threat, the set of access rules provided by this TOE should be undefeated if operated according to the provided guidance. The threat is not realized if the Security IC Embedded Software is designed or implemented to grant access to restricted information. It is realized if an implemented access denial is granted under unexpected conditions or if the execution machinery does not effectively control a controlled access. Here the attacker is expected to (i) take advantage of flaws in the design and/or the implementation of the TOE memory access rules (refer to BSI.T.Abuse-Func but for functions available after TOE delivery), (ii) introduce flaws by forcing operational conditions (refer to BSI.T.Malfunction) and/or by physical manipulation (refer to BSI.T.Phys-Manipulation). This attacker is expected to have a high level potential of attack.

ANSSI.T.Open-Samples-Diffusion	Diffusion of open samples: An attacker may get access to open samples of the TOE and use them to gain information about the TSF (loader, memory management unit, ROM code, …). He may also use the open samples to characterize the behavior of the IC and its security functionalities (for example: characterization of side channel profiles, perturbation cartography, …). The execution of a dedicated security features (for example: execution of a DES computation without countermeasures or by de-activating countermeasures) through the loading of an adequate code would allow this kind of characterization and the execution of enhanced attacks on the IC.

3.3 Organisational security policies

101 The TOE provides specific security functionality that can be used by the ***Security IC*** Embedded Software. In the following specific security functionality is listed which is not derived from threats identified for the TOE's environment because it can only be decided in the context of the ***Security IC*** application, against which threats the ***Security IC*** Embedded Software will use the specific security functionality.

102 ST applies the Protection policy during TOE Development and Production (*BSI.P.Process-TOE*) as specified below.

103 *BSI.P.Lim-Block-Loader* and *BSI.P.Ctrl-Loader* are dedicated to the Secure Flash Loader, and described in the *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* packages "Loader dedicated for usage in secured environment only" and "Loader dedicated for usage by authorized users only". *BSI.P.Ctrl-Loader* has been completed in accordance with *ANSSI-CC-NOTE-06/2.0 EN*.

104 ***ST*** applies the Additional Specific Security Functionality policy (*AUG1.P.Add-Functions*) as specified below.

BSI.P.Process-TOE	Identification during TOE Development and Production: An accurate identification ***is*** established for the TOE. This requires that each instantiation of the TOE carries this unique identification.

BSI.P.Lim-Block-Loader Limiting and blocking the loader functionality:

The composite manufacturer uses the Loader for loading of Security IC Embedded Software, user data of the Composite Product or IC Dedicated Support Software in charge of the IC Manufacturer. He limits the capability and blocks the availability of the Loader[(1)] in order to protect stored data from disclosure and manipulation.

1. Note that blocking the Loader is not required, as only authorized users can use the Loader as stated in BSI.P.Ctrl-Loader.

BSI.P.Ctrl-Loader Controlled usage to Loader Functionality:

Authorized user controls the usage of the Loader functionality in order to protect stored and loaded user data from disclosure and manipulation.

The activation of the loaded Additional ~~Code~~ ***user data*** is possible if:

– integrity and authenticity of the Additional ~~Code~~ ***user data*** have been successfully checked;

– the loaded ~~Additional Code~~ ***user data*** is targeted to the Initial TOE (Identification ~~Data~~ of the Additional ~~Code~~ ***user data*** and the Initial TOE will be used for this check).

Identification ~~Data~~ of the resulting Final TOE shall identify the Initial TOE and the ~~activated~~ Additional ~~Code~~ ***user data***. Identification Data shall be protected in integrity.

Note: Here, the term TOE denotes the TOE itself as well as the composite TOE which both may be maintained by loading of data.

AUG1.P.Add-Functions Additional Specific Security Functionality:

The TOE shall provide the following specific security functionality to the Security IC Embedded Software:

– Triple Data Encryption Standard (TDES),
– Advanced Encryption Standard (AES),
– ***Elliptic Curves Cryptography on GF(p),*** if NesLib is embedded***,***
– ***Secure Hashing (SHA-1, SHA-224, SHA-256, SHA-384, SHA-512),*** if NesLib is embedded***,***
– Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA)***,*** if NesLib is embedded,
– ***Deterministic Random Bit Generator (DRBG),*** if NesLib is embedded,
– ***Keccak,*** if NesLib is embedded,
– ***Keccak-p,*** if NesLib is embedded,
– ***Diffie-Hellman,*** if NesLib is embedded,
– ***Prime Number Generation,*** if NesLib is embedded.

Note that DES and triple DES with two keys are no longer recommended as encryption functions. Hence, Security IC Embedded Software may need to use triple DES with three keys to achieve a suitable strength.

Note that SHA-1 is no longer recommended as a cryptographic function. Hence, Security IC Embedded Software may need to use another SHA to achieve a suitable strength.

3.4 Assumptions

105 The following assumptions are described in the *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*, section 3.4.

BSI.A.Process-Sec-IC Protection during Packaging, Finishing and Personalisation

BSI.A.Resp-Appl Treatment of User Data of the Composite TOE

4 Security objectives (ASE_OBJ)

106 The security objectives of the TOE cover principally the following aspects:

- integrity and confidentiality of assets,
- protection of the TOE and associated documentation during development and production phases,
- provide random numbers,
- provide cryptographic support and access control functionality.

107 A summary of all security objectives is provided in *Table 5*.

108 Note that the origin of each objective is clearly identified in the prefix of its label. Most of these security aspects can therefore be easily found in the *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*, sections 4.1 and 7.3. Only those which have been amended, those originating in *AUG*, those originating in *ANSSI-CC-NOTE-06/2.0 EN*, and the ones introduced in this Security Target, are detailed in the following sections.

Table 5. Summary of security objectives

	Label	Title
TOE	BSI.O.Leak-Inherent	Protection against Inherent Information Leakage
	BSI.O.Phys-Probing	Protection against Physical Probing
	BSI.O.Malfunction	Protection against Malfunctions
	BSI.O.Phys-Manipulation	Protection against Physical Manipulation
	BSI.O.Leak-Forced	Protection against Forced Information Leakage
	BSI.O.Abuse-Func	Protection against Abuse of Functionality
	BSI.O.Identification	TOE Identification
	BSI.O.RND	Random Numbers
	BSI.O.Cap-Avail-Loader	Capability and Availability of the Loader
	BSI.O.Ctrl-Auth-Loader	Access control and authenticity for the Loader
	ANSSI.O.Prot-TSF-Confidentiality	Protection of the confidentiality of the TSF
	ANSSI.O.Secure-Load-ACode	Secure loading of the Additional Code
	ANSSI.O.Secure-AC-Activation	Secure activation of the Additional Code
	ANSSI.O.TOE-Identification	Secure identification of the TOE
	O.Secure-Load-AMemImage	Secure loading of the Additional Memory Image
	O.MemImage-Identification	Secure identification of the Memory Image
	BSI.O.Authentication	Authentication to external entities
	AUG1.O.Add-Functions	Additional Specific Security Functionality
	AUG4.O.Mem-Access	***Dynamic*** Area based Memory Access Control

Table 5. Summary of security objectives (continued)

	Label	Title
Environments	BSI.OE.Resp-Appl	Treatment of User Data of the Composite TOE
	BSI.OE.Process-Sec-IC	Protection during composite product manufacturing
	BSI.OE.Lim-Block-Loader	Limitation of capability and blocking the Loader
	BSI.OE.Loader-Usage	Secure communication and usage of the Loader
	BSI.OE.TOE-Auth	External entities authenticating of the TOE
	OE.Composite-TOE-Id	Composite TOE identification
	OE.TOE-Id	TOE identification
	OE.Enable-Disable-Secure-Diag	Enabling or disabling the Secure Diagnostic
	OE.Secure-Diag-Usage	Secure communication and usage of the Secure Diagnostic

4.1 Security objectives for the TOE

BSI.O.Leak-Inherent	Protection against Inherent Information Leakage
BSI.O.Phys-Probing	Protection against Physical Probing
BSI.O.Malfunction	Protection against Malfunctions
BSI.O.Phys-Manipulation	Protection against Physical Manipulation
BSI.O.Leak-Forced	Protection against Forced Information Leakage
BSI.O.Abuse-Func	Protection against Abuse of Functionality
BSI.O.Identification	TOE Identification
BSI.O.RND	Random Numbers
BSI.O.Cap-Avail-Loader	Capability and Availability of the Loader
BSI.O.Ctrl-Auth-Loader	Access control and authenticity for the Loader
BSI.O.Authentication	Authentication to external entities
ANSSI.O.Prot-TSF-Confidentiality	Protection of the confidentiality of the TSF: The TOE must provide protection against disclosure of confidential operations of the Security IC (loader, memory management unit, …) through the use of a dedicated code loaded on open samples.

ANSSI.O.Secure-Load-ACode	Secure loading of the Additional Code: The Loader of the ~~Initial~~ TOE shall check an evidence of authenticity and integrity of the loaded Additional Code. The Loader enforces that only the allowed version of the Additional Code can be loaded on the Initial TOE. The Loader shall forbid the loading of an Additional Code not intended to be assembled with the Initial TOE. During the Load Phase of an Additional Code, the TOE shall remain secure. Note: Concretely, the TOE manages the Additional Code as a Memory Image.
ANSSI.O.Secure-AC-Activation	Secure activation of the Additional Code: Activation of the Additional Code and update of the Identification Data shall be performed at the same time in an Atomic way. All the operations needed for the code to be able to operate as in the Final TOE shall be completed before activation. If the Atomic Activation is successful, then the resulting product is the Final TOE, otherwise (in case of interruption or incident which prevents the forming of the Final TOE), the Initial TOE shall remain in its initial state or fail secure.
ANSSI.O.TOE-Identification	Secure identification of the TOE: The Identification Data identifies the Initial TOE and Additional Code. The TOE provides means to store Identification Data in its non-volatile memory and guarantees the integrity of these data. After Atomic Activation of the Additional Code, the Identification Data of the Final TOE allows identifications of Initial TOE and Additional TOE. The user shall be able to uniquely identify Initial TOE and Additional Code(s) which are embedded in the Final TOE.
O.Secure-Load-AMemImage	Secure loading of the Additional Memory Image: The Loader of the TOE shall check an evidence of authenticity and integrity of the loaded Memory Image. The Loader enforces that only the allowed version of the Additional Memory Image can be loaded after the Initial Memory Image. The Loader shall forbid the loading of an Additional Memory Image not intended to be assembled with the Initial Memory Image. Note: This objective is similar to ANSSI.O.Secure-Load-ACode, applied to user data (e.g. embedded software).

O.MemImage-Identification	Secure identification of the Memory Image: The Identification Data identifies the Initial Memory Image and Additional Memory Image. The TOE provides means to store Identification Data in its non-volatile memory and guarantees the integrity of these data. Storage of the Additional Memory Image and update of the Identification Data shall be performed at the same time in an Atomic way, otherwise (in case of interruption or incident which prevents this alignment), the Memory Image shall remain in its initial state or the TOE shall fail secure. The Identification Data of the Final Memory Image allows identifications of Initial Memory Image and Additional Memory Image. Note: This objective is similar to ANSSI.O.Secure-AC-Activation and ANSSI.O.TOE-Identification, applied to user data (e.g. embedded software).
AUG1.O.Add-Functions	Additional Specific Security Functionality: The TOE must provide the following specific security functionality to the ***Security IC*** Embedded Software: – Triple Data Encryption Standard (TDES), – Advanced Encryption Standard (AES), – ***Elliptic Curves Cryptography on GF(p),*** if NesLib is embedded***,*** – ***Secure Hashing (SHA-1, SHA-224, SHA-256, SHA-384, SHA-512),*** if NesLib is embedded***,*** – Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA)***,*** if NesLib is embedded, – ***Deterministic Random Bit Generator (DRBG),*** if NesLib is embedded, – ***Keccak,*** if NesLib is embedded, – ***Keccak-p,*** if NesLib is embedded, – ***Diffie-Hellman,*** if NesLib is embedded, – ***Prime Number Generation,*** if NesLib is embedded***.*** Note that DES and triple DES with two keys are no longer recommended as encryption functions. Hence, Security IC Embedded Software may need to use triple DES with three keys to achieve a suitable strength. Note that SHA-1 is no longer recommended as a cryptographic function. Hence, Security IC Embedded Software may need to use another SHA to achieve a suitable strength.
AUG4.O.Mem-Access	***Dynamic*** Area based Memory Access Control: The TOE must provide the ***Security IC*** Embedded Software with the capability to define ***dynamic memory segmentation and protection***. The TOE must then enforce ***the defined access rules*** so that access of software to memory areas is controlled as required, for example, in a multi-application environment.

4.2 Security objectives for the environment

109 Security Objectives for the Security IC Embedded Software development environment (phase 1):

BSI.OE.Resp-Appl	Treatment of User Data of the Composite TOE	

110 Security Objectives for the operational Environment (phase 4 up to 7):

BSI.OE.Process-Sec-IC	Protection during composite product manufacturing	Up to phase 6
BSI.OE.Lim-Block-Loader	Limitation of capability and blocking the Loader: The Composite Product Manufacturer will protect the Loader functionality against misuse, limit the capability of the Loader and, ***if desired,*** terminate irreversibly the Loader after intended usage of the Loader. Note that blocking the Loader is not required, as only authorized users can use the Loader as stated in BSI.P.Ctrl-Loader.	Up to phase 6
BSI.OE.Loader-Usage	Secure communication and usage of the Loader: The authorized user must support the trusted communication channel with the TOE by confidentiality protection and authenticity proof of the data to be loaded and fulfilling the access conditions required by the Loader. The authorized user must organize the maintenance transactions to ensure that the additional code (loaded as data) is able to operate as in the Final composite TOE. The authorized user must manage and associate unique Identification to the loaded data.	Up to phase 7

BSI.OE.TOE-Auth	External entities authenticating of the TOE: The operational environment shall support the authentication verification mechanism and know authentication reference data of the TOE.	Up to phase 7
OE.Composite-TOE-Id	Composite TOE identification: The composite manufacturer must maintain a unique identification of a composite TOE under maintenance.	Up to phase 7
OE.TOE-Id	TOE identification: The IC manufacturer must maintain a unique identification of the TOE under maintenance.	Up to phase 7
OE.Enable-Disable-Secure-Diag	Enabling or disabling the Secure Diagnostic: If desired, the Composite Product Manufacturer will enable (or disable) irreversibly the Secure Diagnostic capability, thus enabling the IC manufacturer (or disabling everyone) to exercise the Secure Diagnostic capability.	Up to phase 7
OE.Secure-Diag-Usage	Secure communication and usage of the Secure Diagnostic: The IC manufacturer must support the trusted communication channel with the TOE by fulfilling the access conditions required by the Secure Diagnostic. The IC manufacturer must manage the Secure Diagnostic transactions so that they cannot be used to disclose critical user data of the Composite TOE, manipulate critical user data of the Composite TOE, manipulate Security IC Embedded Software or bypass, deactivate, change or explore security features or security services of the TOE	Up to phase 7

4.3 Security objectives rationale

111 The main line of this rationale is that the inclusion of all the security objectives of the *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* protection profile, together with those in *AUG*, and those introduced in this ST, guarantees that all the security environment aspects identified in *Section 3* are addressed by the security objectives stated in this chapter.

112 Thus, it is necessary to show that:

- security environment aspects from *AUG* and from this ST, are addressed by security objectives stated in this chapter,
- security objectives from *AUG* and from this ST, are suitable (i.e. they address security environment aspects),
- security objectives from *AUG* and from this ST, are consistent with the other security objectives stated in this chapter (i.e. no contradictions).

113 The selected augmentations from *AUG* introduce the following security environment aspects:

- TOE threat "Memory Access Violation, (*AUG4.T.Mem-Access*)",
- organisational security policy "Additional Specific Security Functionality, (*AUG1.P.Add-Functions*)".

114 The augmentation made in this ST introduces the following security environment aspect:

- TOE threat "Diffusion of open samples, (*ANSSI.T.Open-Samples-Diffusion*)".

115 The justification of the additional policies and additional threats provided in the next subsections shows that they do not contradict to the rationale already given in the protection profile *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* for the assumptions, policy and threats defined there.

Table 6. Security Objectives versus Assumptions, Threats or Policies

Assumption, Threat or Organisational Security Policy	Security Objective	Notes
BSI.A.Resp-Appl	*BSI.OE.Resp-Appl*	Phase 1
BSI.P.Process-TOE	*BSI.O.Identification*	Phase 2-3 optional Phase 4
BSI.A.Process-Sec-IC	*BSI.OE.Process-Sec-IC*	Phase 5-6 optional Phase 4
BSI.P.Lim-Block-Loader	*BSI.O.Cap-Avail-Loader* *BSI.OE.Lim-Block-Loader*	
BSI.P.Ctrl-Loader	*BSI.O.Ctrl-Auth-Loader* *ANSSI.O.Secure-Load-ACode* *ANSSI.O.Secure-AC-Activation* *ANSSI.O.TOE-Identification* *O.Secure-Load-AMemImage* *O.MemImage-Identification* *BSI.OE.Loader-Usage* *OE.TOE-Id* *OE.Composite-TOE-Id*	
AUG1.P.Add-Functions	*AUG1.O.Add-Functions*	
BSI.T.Leak-Inherent	*BSI.O.Leak-Inherent*	
BSI.T.Phys-Probing	*BSI.O.Phys-Probing*	

Table 6. Security Objectives versus Assumptions, Threats or Policies (continued)

Assumption, Threat or Organisational Security Policy	Security Objective	Notes
BSI.T.Malfunction	*BSI.O.Malfunction*	
BSI.T.Phys-Manipulation	*BSI.O.Phys-Manipulation*	
BSI.T.Leak-Forced	*BSI.O.Leak-Forced*	
BSI.T.Abuse-Func	*BSI.O.Abuse-Func* *OE.Enable-Disable-Secure-Diag* *OE.Secure-Diag-Usage*	
BSI.T.RND	*BSI.O.RND*	
BSI.T.Masquerade-TOE	*BSI.O.Authentication* *BSI.O.Ctrl-Auth-Loader*	
AUG4.T.Mem-Access	*AUG4.O.Mem-Access*	
ANSSI.T.Open-Samples-Diffusion	*ANSSI.O.Prot-TSF-Confidentiality* *BSI.O.Leak-Inherent* *BSI.O.Leak-Forced*	

4.3.1 TOE threat "Abuse of Functionality"

116 The justification related to the threat “Abuse of Functionality, (*BSI.T.Abuse-Func*)” is as follows:

117 The threat *BSI.T.Abuse-Func* is directly covered by the security objective *BSI.O.Abuse-Func,* supported by the security objectives for the operational environment *OE.Enable-Disable-Secure-Diag* and *OE.Secure-Diag-Usage* for the particular case of the Secure Diagnostic. Therefore *BSI.T.Abuse-Func* is covered by these three objectives.

4.3.2 TOE threat "Memory Access Violation"

118 The justification related to the threat “Memory Access Violation, (*AUG4.T.Mem-Access*)” is as follows:

119 According to *AUG4.O.Mem-Access* the TOE must enforce the ***dynamic memory segmentation and protection*** so that access of software to memory areas is controlled. Any restrictions are to be defined by the ***Security IC*** Embedded Software. Thereby security violations caused by accidental or deliberate access to restricted data (which may include code) can be prevented (refer to *AUG4.T.Mem-Access*). The threat *AUG4.T.Mem-Access* is therefore removed if the objective is met.

120 The added objective for the TOE *AUG4.O.Mem-Access* does not introduce any contradiction in the security objectives for the TOE.

4.3.3 TOE threat "Diffusion of open samples"

121 The justification related to the threat “Diffusion of open samples, (*ANSSI.T.Open-Samples-Diffusion*)” is as follows:

122 According to threat *ANSSI.T.Open-Samples-Diffusion*, the TOE shall provide protection against attacks using open samples of the TOE to characterize the behavior of the IC and its

security functionalities. The objective *ANSSI.O.Prot-TSF-Confidentiality* requires protection against disclosure of confidential operations of the Security IC through the use of a dedicated code loaded on open samples. Additionally, *BSI.O.Leak-Inherent* and *BSI.O.Leak-Forced* ensures protection against disclosure of confidential data processed in the Security IC. Therefore *ANSSI.T.Open-Samples-Diffusion* is covered by these three objectives.

123 The added objective for the TOE *ANSSI.O.Prot-TSF-Confidentiality* does not introduce any contradiction in the security objectives for the TOE.

4.3.4 Organisational security policy "Controlled usage to Loader Functionality"

124 The justification related to the organisational security policy "Controlled usage to Loader Functionality, (*BSI.P.Ctrl-Loader*)" is as follows:

125 As stated in *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*, the organisational security policy “Controlled usage to Loader Functionality (*BSI.P.Ctrl-Loader*) is implemented by the security objective for the TOE “Access control and authenticity for the Loader (*BSI.O.Ctrl-Auth-Loader*)” and the security objective for the TOE environment “Secure communication and usage of the Loader (*BSI.OE.Loader-Usage*)”.
The security objectives “Secure loading of the Additional Code (*ANSSI.O.Secure-Load-ACode*)”, “Secure activation of the Additional Code (*ANSSI.O.Secure-AC-Activation*)”, and ”Secure identification of the TOE (*ANSSI.O.TOE-Identification*)” specified by *ANSSI-CC-NOTE-06/2.0 EN* additionally enforce this policy since they require authenticity, atomicity, identification of the loaded additional code, part of the TOE. ”Secure identification of the TOE (*ANSSI.O.TOE-Identification*)” is supported by the security objective for the TOE environment “TOE identification (*OE.TOE-Id*)”.
Similarly, the security objectives “Secure loading of the Additional Memory Image (*O.Secure-Load-AMemImage*)”, and “Secure identification of the Memory Image (*O.MemImage-Identification*)”, enforce this policy since they require authenticity, atomicity, identification of the loaded additional memory image for the user data (embedded software). ”Secure identification of Memory Image (*O.MemImage-Identification*)” is supported by the security objective for the TOE environment “Composite TOE identification (*OE.Composite-TOE-Id*)”.
Therefore the policy is covered by these nine objectives.

4.3.5 Organisational security policy "Additional Specific Security Functionality"

126 The justification related to the organisational security policy "Additional Specific Security Functionality, (*AUG1.P.Add-Functions*)" is as follows:

127 Since *AUG1.O.Add-Functions* requires the TOE to implement exactly the same specific security functionality as required by *AUG1.P.Add-Functions*, ***and in the very same conditions,*** the organisational security policy is covered by the objective.

128 Nevertheless the security objectives *BSI.O.Leak-Inherent*, *BSI.O.Phys-Probing*, , *BSI.O.Malfunction*, *BSI.O.Phys-Manipulation* and *BSI.O.Leak-Forced* define how to implement the specific security functionality required by *AUG1.P.Add-Functions*. (Note that these objectives support that the specific security functionality is provided in a secure way as expected from *AUG1.P.Add-Functions*.) Especially *BSI.O.Leak-Inherent* and *BSI.O.Leak-Forced* refer to the protection of confidential data (User Data or TSF data) in

general. User Data are also processed by the specific security functionality required by *AUG1.P.Add-Functions*.

129 The added objective for the TOE *AUG1.O.Add-Functions* does not introduce any contradiction in the security objectives for the TOE.

5 Security requirements (ASE_REQ)

130 This chapter on security requirements contains a section on security functional requirements (SFRs) for the TOE (*Section 5.1*), a section on security assurance requirements (SARs) for the TOE (*Section 5.2*), a section on the refinements of these SARs (*Section 5.3*) as required by the "*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*" Protection Profile. This chapter includes a section with the security requirements rationale (*Section 5.4*).

5.1 Security functional requirements for the TOE

131 Security Functional Requirements (SFRs) from the "*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*" Protection Profile (PP) are drawn from *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*, except the following SFRs, that are **extensions** to *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*:

- **FCS_RNG** Generation of random numbers,
- **FMT_LIM** Limited capabilities and availability,
- **FAU_SAS** Audit data storage,
- **FDP_SDC** Stored data confidentiality,
- **FIA_API** Authentication proof of identity.

The reader can find their certified definitions in the text of the "*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*" Protection Profile.

132 All extensions to the SFRs of the "*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*" Protection Profiles (PPs) are **exclusively** drawn from *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*.

133 All iterations, assignments, selections, or refinements on SFRs have been performed according to section C.4 of *CCMB-2017-04-001 R5*. They are easily identified in the following text as they appear ***as indicated here***. Note that in order to improve readability, iterations are sometimes expressed within tables.

134 In order to ease the definition and the understanding of these security functional requirements, a simplified presentation of the TOE Security Policy (TSP) is given in the following section.

135 The selected security functional requirements for the TOE, their respective origin and type are summarized in *Table 7*.

Table 7. Summary of functional security requirements for the TOE

Label	Title	Addressing	Origin	Type
FRU_FLT.2	Limited fault tolerance	Malfunction	*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*	*CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
FPT_FLS.1	Failure with preservation of secure state			

Table 7. Summary of functional security requirements for the TOE (continued)

Label	Title	Addressing	Origin	Type
FMT_LIM.1 / Test	Limited capabilities	Abuse of Test functionality	*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*	Extended
FMT_LIM.2 / Test	Limited availability			
FAU_SAS.1	Audit storage	Lack of TOE identification	*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* Operated	
FDP_SDC.1	Stored data confidentiality	Physical manipulation & probing		
FDP_SDI.2	Stored data integrity monitoring and action			*CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
FPT_PHP.3	Resistance to physical attack		*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*	
FDP_ITT.1	Basic internal transfer protection	Leakage		
FPT_ITT.1	Basic internal TSF data transfer protection			
FDP_IFC.1	Subset information flow control			
FCS_RNG.1	Random number generation	Weak cryptographic quality of random numbers	*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* Operated	Extended
FCS_COP.1	Cryptographic operation	Cipher scheme support	*AUG* #1 Operated	*CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
FCS_CKM.1 (if NesLib is embedded only)	Cryptographic key generation		Security Target Operated	
FDP_ACC.2 / Memories	Complete access control	Memory access violation	Security Target Operated	
FDP_ACF.1 / Memories	Security attribute based access control		*AUG* #4 Operated	
FMT_MSA.3 / Memories	Static attribute initialisation	Correct operation		
FMT_MSA.1 / Memories	Management of security attribute			
FMT_SMF.1 / Memories	Specification of management functions		Security Target Operated	
FIA_API.1	Authentication Proof of Identity	Masquerade	*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* Operated	Extended

Table 7. Summary of functional security requirements for the TOE (continued)

Label	Title	Addressing	Origin	Type
FMT_LIM.1 / Loader	Limited capabilities	Abuse of Loader functionality	*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* Operated	Extended
FMT_LIM.2 / Loader	Limited availability			
FTP_ITC.1 / Loader	Inter-TSF trusted channel - Loader	Loader violation		*CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
FDP_UCT.1 / Loader	Basic data exchange confidentiality - Loader			
FDP_UIT.1 / Loader	Data exchange integrity - Loader			
FDP_ACC.1 / Loader	Subset access control - Loader			
FDP_ACF.1 / Loader	Security attribute based access control - Loader			
FMT_MSA.3 / Loader	Static attribute initialisation - Loader	Correct Loader operation	Security Target Operated	
FMT_MSA.1 / Loader	Management of security attribute - Loader			
FMT_SMR.1 / Loader	Security roles - Loader			
FIA_UID.1 / Loader	Timing of identification - Loader			
FIA_UAU.1 / Loader	Timing of authentication - Loader			
FMT_SMF.1 / Loader	Specification of management functions - Loader			
FPT_FLS.1 / Loader	Failure with preservation of secure state - Loader			
FAU_SAR.1 / Loader	Audit review - Loader	Lack of TOE identification		
FAU_SAS.1 / Loader	Audit storage - Loader			Extended

Table 7. Summary of functional security requirements for the TOE (continued)

Label	Title	Addressing	Origin	Type
FTP_ITC.1 / Sdiag	Inter-TSF trusted channel - Secure Diagnostic	Abuse of Secure Diagnostic functionality	Security Target Operated	*CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
FAU_SAR.1 / Sdiag	Audit review - Secure Diagnostic			
FMT_LIM.1 / Sdiag	Limited capabilities - Secure Diagnostic			Extended
FMT_LIM.2 / Sdiag	Limited availability - Secure Diagnostic			

5.1.1 Security Functional Requirements from the Protection Profile

Limited fault tolerance (FRU_FLT.2)

136 The TSF shall ensure the operation of all the TOE's capabilities when the following failures occur: ***exposure to operating conditions which are not detected according to the requirement Failure with preservation of secure state (FPT_FLS.1).***

Failure with preservation of secure state (FPT_FLS.1)

137 The TSF shall preserve a secure state when the following types of failures occur: ***exposure to operating conditions which may not be tolerated according to the requirement Limited fault tolerance (FRU_FLT.2) and where therefore a malfunction could occur.***

138 ***Refinements:***

The term "failure" above also covers "circumstances". The TOE prevents failures for the "circumstances" defined above.

Regarding application note 14 of BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014, the secure state is reached by an immediate interrupt or by a reset, depending on the current context.

Regarding application note 15 of BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014, the TOE provides information on the operating conditions monitored during Security IC Embedded Software execution and after a warm reset. No audit requirement is however selected in this Security Target.

Limited capabilities (FMT_LIM.1) / Test

139 The TSF shall be designed and implemented in a manner that limits their capabilities so that in conjunction with "Limited availability (FMT_LIM.2)" the following policy is enforced: ***Limited capability and availability Policy / Test***.

Limited availability (FMT_LIM.2) / Test

140 The TSF shall be designed and implemented in a manner that limits their availability so that in conjunction with "Limited capabilities (FMT_LIM.1) / Test" the following policy is enforced: ***Limited capability and availability Policy / Test***.

141 *SFP_1: Limited capability and availability Policy / Test*

Deploying Test Features after TOE Delivery does not allow User Data of the Composite TOE to be disclosed or manipulated, TSF data to be disclosed or manipulated, software to

be reconstructed and no substantial information about construction of TSF to be gathered which may enable other attacks.

Audit storage (FAU_SAS.1)

142 The TSF shall provide ***the test process before TOE Delivery*** with the capability to store the ***Initialisation Data and/or Pre-personalisation Data and/or supplements of the Security IC Embedded Software*** in the ***NVM***.

Stored data confidentiality (FDP_SDC.1)

143 The TSF shall ensure the confidentiality of the information of the user data while it is stored in ***all the memory areas where it can be stored***.

Stored data integrity monitoring and action (FDP_SDI.2)

144 The TSF shall monitor user data stored in containers controlled by the TSF for ***integrity errors*** on all objects, based on the following attributes: ***user data stored in all possible memory areas, depending on the integrity control attributes***.

145 Upon detection of a data integrity error, the TSF shall ***signal the error and react***.

Resistance to physical attack (FPT_PHP.3)

146 The TSF shall resist ***physical manipulation and physical probing,*** to the ***TSF*** by responding automatically such that the SFRs are always enforced.

147 ***Refinement:***

The TSF will implement appropriate mechanisms to continuously counter physical manipulation and physical probing. Due to the nature of these attacks (especially manipulation) the TSF can by no means detect attacks on all of its elements. Therefore, permanent protection against these attacks is required ensuring that security functional requirements are enforced. Hence, "automatic response" means here (i)assuming that there might be an attack at any time and (ii)countermeasures are provided at any time.

Basic internal transfer protection (FDP_ITT.1)

148 The TSF shall enforce the ***Data Processing Policy*** to prevent the ***disclosure*** of user data when it is transmitted between physically-separated parts of the TOE.

Basic internal TSF data transfer protection (FPT_ITT.1)

149 The TSF shall protect TSF data from ***disclosure*** when it is transmitted between separate parts of the TOE.

150 ***Refinement:***

The different memories, the CPU and other functional units of the TOE (e.g. a cryptographic co-processor) are seen as separated parts of the TOE.

This requirement is equivalent to FDP_ITT.1 above but refers to TSF data instead of User Data. Therefore, it should be understood as to refer to the same Data Processing Policy defined under FDP_IFC.1 below.

Subset information flow control (FDP_IFC.1)

151 The TSF shall enforce the ***Data Processing Policy*** on ***all confidential data when they are processed or transferred by the TOE or by the Security IC Embedded Software***.

152 *SFP_2: Data Processing Policy*

User Data of the Composite TOE and TSF data shall not be accessible from the TOE except when the Security IC Embedded Software decides to communicate the User Data via an external interface. The protection shall be applied to confidential data only but without the distinction of attributes controlled by the Security IC Embedded Software.

Random number generation (FCS_RNG.1)

153 The TSF shall provide a ***physical*** random number generator that implements:

- ***(PTG.2.1) A total failure test detects a total failure of entropy source immediately when the RNG has started. When a total failure is detected, no random numbers will be output.***
- ***(PTG.2.2) If a total failure of the entropy source occurs while the RNG is being operated, the RNG prevents the output of any internal random number that depends on some raw random numbers that have been generated after the total failure of the entropy source.***
- ***(PTG.2.3) The online test shall detect non-tolerable statistical defects of the raw random number sequence (i) immediately when the RNG has started, and (ii) while the RNG is being operated. The TSF must not output any random numbers before the power-up online test has finished successfully or when a defect has been detected.***
- ***(PTG.2.4) The online test procedure shall be effective to detect non-tolerable weaknesses of the random numbers soon.***
- ***(PTG.2.5) The online test procedure checks the quality of the raw random number sequence. It is triggered externally. The online test is suitable for detecting non-tolerable statistical defects of the statistical properties of the raw random numbers within an acceptable period of time.***

154 The TSF shall provide ***octets of bits*** that meet

- ***(PTG.2.6) Test procedure A does not distinguish the internal random numbers from output sequences of an ideal RNG.***
- ***(PTG.2.7) The average Shannon entropy per internal random bit exceeds 0.997.***

5.1.2 Additional Security Functional Requirements for the cryptographic services

Cryptographic operation (FCS_COP.1)

155 The TSF shall perform ***the operations in*** *Table 8* in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm ***in*** *Table 8* and cryptographic key sizes ***of*** *Table 8* that meet the ***standards in*** *Table 8**. The list of operations depends on the presence of NesLib, as indicated in* Table 8 **(Restrict).***

Table 8. FCS_COP.1 iterations (cryptographic operations)

Restrict	Iteration label	[assignment: list of cryptographic operations]	[assignment: cryptographic algorithm]	[assignment: cryptographic key sizes]	[assignment: list of standards]
None	TDES	* encryption * decryption - in Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) mode - in Electronic Code Book (ECB) mode	Triple Data Encryption Standard (TDES)(1)	168 bits	*NIST SP 800-67* *NIST SP 800-38A*
None	AES	* encryption (cipher) * decryption (inverse cipher) - in Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) mode - in Electronic Code Book (ECB) mode	Advanced Encryption Standard	128, 192 and 256 bits	*FIPS PUB 197*
If NesLib		* Message authentication Code computation (CMAC) * Authenticated encryption/decryption in Galois Counter Mode (GCM) * Authenticated encryption/decryption in Counter with CBC-MAC (CCM)			*NIST SP 800-38B* *NIST SP 800-38A* *NIST SP 800-38C* *NIST SP 800-38D*
If NesLib and Nescrypt	RSA	* RSA public key operation * RSA private key operation without the Chinese Remainder Theorem * RSA private key operation with the Chinese Remainder Theorem * EMSA PSS and PKCS1 signature scheme coding * RSA Key Encapsulation Method (KEM)	Rivest, Shamir & Adleman's	up to 4096 bits	*PKCS #1 V2.1*

Table 8. FCS_COP.1 iterations (cryptographic operations) (continued)

Restrict	Iteration label	[assignment: list of cryptographic operations]	[assignment: cryptographic algorithm]	[assignment: cryptographic key sizes]	[assignment: list of standards]
If NesLib and Nescrypt	ECC on Weierstrass curves	* private scalar multiplication * prepare Jacobian * public scalar multiplication * point validity check * convert Jacobian to affine coordinates * general point addition * point expansion * point compression * Diffie-Hellman (ECDH) key agreement computation * digital signature algorithm (ECDSA) verification	Elliptic Curves Cryptography on GF(p) on curves in Weierstrass form	up to 640 bits	*IEEE 1363-2000, chapter 7* *IEEE 1363a-2004* *NIST SP 800-56A* *FIPS PUB 186-4* *ANSI X9.62, section 7*
If NesLib and Nescrypt	ECC on Edwards curves	* ed25519 generation * ed25519 verification * ed25519 point decompression	Elliptic Curves Cryptography on GF(p) on curves in Edwards form, with curve 25519	256 bits	*EdDSA rfc* *EDDSA* *EDDSA2*
If NesLib	SHA	* SHA-1(2) * SHA-224 * SHA-256 * SHA-384 * SHA-512 * Protected SHA-1(2) * Protected SHA-256 * Protected SHA-384 * Protected SHA-512	Secure Hash Algorithm	assignment pointless because algorithm has no key	*FIPS PUB 180-2*
		* HMAC using any of the above protected hash functions		up to 256 bits	*FIPS PUB 198-1*

Table 8. FCS_COP.1 iterations (cryptographic operations) (continued)

Restrict	Iteration label	[assignment: list of cryptographic operations]	[assignment: cryptographic algorithm]	[assignment: cryptographic key sizes]	[assignment: list of standards]
If NesLib	Keccak	* SHAKE128, * SHAKE256, * SHA3-224, * SHA3-256, * SHA3-384, * SHA3-512, * Keccak[r,1600-r], * protected SHAKE128, * protected SHAKE256, * protected SHA3-224, * protected SHA3-256, * protected SHA3-384, * protected SHA3-512, * Protected Keccak[r,1600-r]	Keccak	no key for plain functions, variable key length up to security level for protected functions (security level is last number in function names and 1600-c for Keccak)	*FIPS PUB 202*
If NesLib	Keccak-p	* Keccak-p[1600,n_r = 24], * Keccak-p[1600, n_r=12], * protected Keccak-p[1600,n_r = 24], * protected Keccak-p[1600, n_r=12]	Keccak-p	no key for plain functions, any key length up to 256 bits for protected functions	*FIPS PUB 202*
If NesLib and Nescrypt	Diffie-Hellman	Diffie-Hellman	Diffie-Hellman	up to 4096 bits	*ANSI X9.42*
If NesLib	DRBG	* SHA-1[(2)] * SHA-224 * SHA-256 * SHA-384 * SHA-512	Hash-DRBG	None	*NIST SP 800-90* *FIPS PUB 180-2*
		*AES	CTR-DRBG	128, 192 and 256 bits	*NIST SP 800-90* *FIPS PUB 197*

1. Note that DES and triple DES with two keys are no longer recommended as encryption functions. Hence, Security IC Embedded Software may need to use triple DES with three keys to achieve a suitable strength.
2. Note that SHA-1 is no longer recommended as a cryptographic function. Hence, Security IC Embedded Software may need to use another SHA to achieve a suitable strength.

Cryptographic key generation (FCS_CKM.1)

156 If NesLib is embedded only, the TSF shall generate cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key generation algorithm***, in*** *Table 9***,** and specified cryptographic key sizes ***of*** *Table 9* that meet the following ***standards in*** *Table 9***.**

Table 9. FCS_CKM.1 iterations (cryptographic key generation)

Iteration label	[assignment: cryptographic key generation algorithm]	[assignment: cryptographic key sizes]	[assignment: list of standards]
RSA key generation	RSA key pair generation algorithm, optionally protected against side channel attacks, and/or optionally with conditions	up to 4096 bits	*FIPS PUB 140-2* *ISO/IEC 9796-2* *PKCS #1 V2.1*

5.1.3 Additional Security Functional Requirements for the memories protection

157 The following SFRs are extensions to "*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*" Protection Profile (PP), related to the memories protection.

Static attribute initialisation (FMT_MSA.3) / Memories

158 The TSF shall enforce the ***Dynamic Memory Access Control Policy*** to provide ***minimally protective***[(b)] default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.

159 The TSF shall allow ***none*** to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.

Application note:
The security attributes are the set of access rights currently defined. They are dynamically attached to the subjects and objects locations, i.e. each logical address.

Management of security attributes (FMT_MSA.1) / Memories

160 The TSF shall enforce the ***Dynamic Memory Access Control Policy*** to restrict the ability to ***modify*** the security attributes ***current set of access rights*** to ***software running in privileged mode.***

Complete access control (FDP_ACC.2) / Memories

161 The TSF shall enforce the ***Dynamic Memory Access Control Policy*** on ***all subjects (software), all objects (data including code stored in memories)*** and all operations among subjects and objects covered by the SFP.

162 The TSF shall ensure that all operations between any subject controlled by the TSF and any object controlled by the TSF are covered by an access control SFP.

b. See the Datasheet referenced in *Section 7* for actual values.

Security attribute based access control (FDP_ACF.1) / Memories

163 The TSF shall enforce the ***Dynamic Memory Access Control Policy*** to objects based on the following: ***software mode, the object location, the operation to be performed, and the current set of access rights.***

164 The TSF shall enforce the following rules to determine if an operation among controlled subjects and controlled objects is allowed: ***the operation is allowed if and only if the software mode, the object location and the operation matches an entry in the current set of access rights.***

165 The TSF shall explicitly authorise access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: ***none.***

166 The TSF shall explicitly deny access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules:

- ***in User configuration, any access (read, write, execute) to the OST ROM is denied,***
- ***in User configuration, any write access to the ST NVM is denied.***

167 **Note**: It should be noted that this level of policy detail is not needed at the application level. The composite Security Target writer should describe the ES access control and information flow control policies instead. Within the ES High Level Design description, the chosen setting of IC security attributes would be shown to implement the described policies relying on the IC SFP presented here.

168 The following SFP ***Dynamic Memory Access Control Policy*** is defined for the requirement "Security attribute based access control (FDP_ACF.1) / Memories":

169 *SFP_3: Dynamic Memory Access Control Policy*

The TSF must control read, write, execute accesses of software to data, based on the software mode and on the current set of access rights.

Specification of management functions (FMT_SMF.1) / Memories

170 The TSF will be able to perform the following management functions: ***modification of the current set of access rights security attributes by software running in privileged mode, supporting the Dynamic Memory Access Control Policy.***

5.1.4 Additional Security Functional Requirements related to the loading and authentication capabilities

Authentication Proof of Identity (FIA_API.1)

171 The TSF shall provide a ***command based on a cryptographic mechanism*** to prove the identity of the TOE to an external entity.

Limited capabilities (FMT_LIM.1) / Loader

172 The TSF shall be designed and implemented in a manner that limits its capabilities so that in conjunction with “Limited availability (FMT_LIM.2)” the following policy is enforced: ***Loader Limited capability Policy.***

173 *SFP_4: Loader Limited capability Policy*

174 *Deploying Loader functionality after **delivery** does not allow stored user data to be disclosed or manipulated by unauthorized user.*

Limited availability (FMT_LIM.2) / Loader

175 The TSF shall be designed and implemented in a manner that limits its availability so that in conjunction with “Limited capabilities (FMT_LIM.1)” the following policy is enforced: ***Loader Limited availability Policy***.

176 *SFP_5: Loader Limited availability Policy*

177 *The TSF prevents deploying the Loader functionality after **blocking of the loader**.*

178 **Note**: Blocking the loader is just an option.

Inter-TSF trusted channel (FTP_ITC.1) / Loader

179 The TSF shall provide a communication channel between itself and another trusted IT product that is logically distinct from other communication channels and provides assured identification of its end points and protection of the channel data from modification or disclosure.

180 The TSF shall permit another trusted IT product to initiate communication via the trusted channel.

181 The TSF shall initiate communication via the trusted channel for ***Maintenance transaction***.

182 ***Refinement:***

In practice, the communication is not initiated by the TSF.

Basic data exchange confidentiality (FDP_UCT.1) / Loader

183 The TSF shall enforce the *Loader SFP* to receive user data in a manner protected from unauthorized disclosure.

Data exchange integrity (FDP_UIT.1) / Loader

184 The TSF shall enforce the *Loader SFP* to receive user data in a manner protected from modification, deletion, insertion errors.

185 The TSF shall be able to determine on receipt of user data, whether modification, deletion, insertion has occurred.

Subset access control (FDP_ACC.1) / Loader

186 The TSF shall enforce the *Loader SFP* on:

- the subjects ***ST Loader, User Loader, and Delegated Loader,***
- the objects user data in ***User NVM and ST data in ST NVM,***
- the operation ***Maintenance transaction***.

Security attribute based access control (FDP_ACF.1) / Loader

187 The TSF shall enforce the *Loader SFP* to objects based on the following: ***all subjects, objects and attributes defined in the Loader SFP.***

188 The TSF shall enforce the following rules to determine if an operation among controlled subjects and controlled objects is allowed: ***if the user authenticated role is allowed to perform the maintenance transaction and the maintenance transaction is legitimate and the loaded data emanates from an authorized originator.***

Note that the term “data” also addresses Additional Code, as this code is seen as data by the TSF.

189 The TSF shall explicitly authorize access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: ***none.***

190 The TSF shall explicitly deny access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: ***none.***

191 The following SFP ***Loader SFP*** is defined for the requirements “Basic data exchange confidentiality (FDP_UCT.1) / Loader”, “Data exchange integrity (FDP_UIT.1) / Loader”, “Subset access control (FDP_ACC.1) / Loader”, "Security attribute based access control (FDP_ACF.1) / Loader", "Static attribute initialisation (FMT_MSA.3) / Loader", and "Management of security attributes (FMT_MSA.1) / Loader":

192 *SFP_6: Loader SFP*

193 *The TSF must enforce that a maintenance transaction is performed if and only if* ***the user authenticated role is allowed to perform the maintenance transaction and the maintenance transaction is legitimate and the loaded data emanates from an authorized originator.***

The TSF ruling is done according to a fixed access rights matrix, based on the subject, object and security attributes listed below.

The Security Function Policy (SFP) Loader SFP uses the following definitions:

- *the subjects are the ST Loader, the User Loader, and the Delegated Loader,*
- *the objects are ST NVM and User NVM,*
- *the operation is Maintenance transaction,*
- *the security attributes linked to the subjects are the remaining sessions, the number of consecutive authentication failures, the allowed memory areas, the logging capacity, the transaction identification.*

Note that subjects are authorized by cryptographic keys. These keys are considered as authentication data and not as security attributes.

Failure with preservation of secure state (FPT_FLS.1) / Loader

194 The TSF shall preserve a secure state when the following types of failures occur: ***the maintenance transaction is incomplete***.

Static attribute initialisation (FMT_MSA.3) / Loader

195 The TSF shall enforce the ***Loader SFP*** to provide ***restrictive*** default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.

196 The TSF shall allow ***none*** to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.

Management of security attributes (FMT_MSA.1) / Loader

197 The TSF shall enforce the ***Loader SFP*** to restrict the ability to ***modify*** the security attributes ***remaining sessions, transaction identification*** to ***the ST Loader or User Loader.***

Specification of management functions (FMT_SMF.1) / Loader

198 The TSF will be able to perform the following management functions: ***change the role authentication data, change the remaining sessions, block a role, under the Loader SFP.***

Security roles (FMT_SMR.1) / Loader

199 The TSF shall maintain the roles: ***ST Loader, User Loader, Delegated Loader, Secure Diagnostic, and Everybody***.

200 The TSF shall be able to associate users with roles.

Timing of identification (FIA_UID.1) / Loader

201 The TSF shall allow ***boot, authentication command and non-critical queries*** on behalf of the user to be performed before the user is identified.

202 The TSF shall require each user to be successfully identified before allowing any other TSF mediated actions on behalf of that user.

Timing of authentication (FIA_UAU.1) / Loader

203 The TSF shall allow ***boot, authentication command and non-critical queries*** on behalf of the user to be performed before the user is authenticated.

204 The TSF shall require each user to be successfully authenticated before allowing any other TSF mediated actions on behalf of that user.

Audit storage (FAU_SAS.1) / Loader

205 The TSF shall provide ***the Loader*** with the capability to store the ***transaction identification of the loaded data*** in the ***NVM***.

206 ***Refinement:***

The TSF shall systematically store the transaction identification provided by the ST Loader or User Loader together with the loaded data.

Audit review (FAU_SAR.1) / Loader

207 The TSF shall provide ***Everybody*** with the capability to read the ***Product information and the Identification of the last completed maintenance transaction, if any,*** from the audit records.

208 The TSF shall provide the audit records in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the information.

5.1.5 Additional Security Functional Requirements related to the Secure Diagnostic capabilities

Limited capabilities (FMT_LIM.1) / Sdiag

209 The TSF shall be designed and implemented in a manner that limits its capabilities so that in conjunction with “Limited availability (FMT_LIM.2)” the following policy is enforced: ***Sdiag Limited Capability Policy.***

210 *SFP_7: Sdiag Limited Capability Policy*

211 *Deploying Secure Diagnostic capability does not allow stored user data of the Composite TOE to be disclosed or manipulated, TSF data to be disclosed or manipulated, software to be reconstructed and no substantial information about construction of TSF to be gathered which may enable other attacks.*

Limited availability (FMT_LIM.2) / Sdiag

212 The TSF shall be designed and implemented in a manner that limits its availability so that in conjunction with "Limited capabilities (FMT_LIM.1)" the following policy is enforced: ***Sdiag Limited Availability Policy***.

213 *SFP_8: Sdiag Limited Availability Policy*

214 *The TSF prevents deploying the Secure Diagnostic capability unless the Secure Diagnostic mode is explicitly enabled by the authorized user. When the Secure Diagnostic capability is deployed, the TSF allows performing only authorized and authentic diagnostic transactions.*

215 ***Refinement:***

By enabling the Secure Diagnostic capability, the Composite Product Manufacturer gives authority to the IC manufacturer to exercise the Secure Diagnostic capability known to abide by SFP_7.

Inter-TSF trusted channel (FTP_ITC.1) / Sdiag

216 The TSF shall provide a communication channel between itself and another trusted IT product that is logically distinct from other communication channels and provides assured identification of its end points and protection of the channel data from modification or disclosure.

217 The TSF shall permit another trusted IT product to initiate communication via the trusted channel.

218 The TSF shall initiate communication via the trusted channel for ***Secure Diagnostic transaction***.

219 ***Refinement:***

In practice, the communication is initiated by the trusted IT product.

Audit review (FAU_SAR.1) / Sdiag

220 The TSF shall provide ***Everybody*** with the capability to read the ***Secure Diagnostic enable status,*** from the audit records.

221 The TSF shall provide the audit records in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the information.

5.2 TOE security assurance requirements

222 Security Assurance Requirements for the TOE for the evaluation of the TOE are those taken from the Evaluation Assurance Level ***5*** (EAL***5***) and augmented by taking the following components:

- ***ADV_IMP.2, ADV_TDS.5, ALC_CMC.5,*** ALC_DVS.2, ***ALC_FLR.1, ALC_TAT.3*** and AVA_VAN.5.

223 Regarding application note 21 of *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*, the continuously increasing maturity level of evaluations of Security ICs justifies the selection of a higher-level assurance package.

224 The set of security assurance requirements (SARs) is presented in *Table 10*, indicating the origin of the requirement.

Table 10. TOE security assurance requirements

Label	Title	Origin
ADV_ARC.1	Security architecture description	EAL5/*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
ADV_FSP.5	Complete semi-formal functional specification with additional error information	EAL5
ADV_IMP.2	Complete mapping of the implementation representation of the TSF	Security Target
ADV_INT.2	Well-structured internals	EAL5
ADV_TDS.5	Complete semiformal modular design	Security Target
AGD_OPE.1	Operational user guidance	EAL5/*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
AGD_PRE.1	Preparative procedures	EAL5/*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
ALC_CMC.5	Advanced support	Security Target
ALC_CMS.5	Development tools CM coverage	EAL5
ALC_DEL.1	Delivery procedures	EAL5/*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
ALC_DVS.2	Sufficiency of security measures	*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
ALC_FLR.1	Basic flaw remediation	Security Target
ALC_LCD.1	Developer defined life-cycle model	EAL5/*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
ALC_TAT.3	Compliance with implementation standards - all parts	Security Target
ASE_CCL.1	Conformance claims	EAL5/*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
ASE_ECD.1	Extended components definition	EAL5/*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
ASE_INT.1	ST introduction	EAL5/*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
ASE_OBJ.2	Security objectives	EAL5/*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
ASE_REQ.2	Derived security requirements	EAL5/*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
ASE_SPD.1	Security problem definition	EAL5/*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
ASE_TSS.1	TOE summary specification	EAL5/*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
ATE_COV.2	Analysis of coverage	EAL5/*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
ATE_DPT.3	Testing: modular design	EAL5
ATE_FUN.1	Functional testing	EAL5/*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
ATE_IND.2	Independent testing - sample	EAL5/*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
AVA_VAN.5	Advanced methodical vulnerability analysis	*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*

5.3 Refinement of the security assurance requirements

225 As *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* defines refinements for selected SARs, these refinements are also claimed in this Security Target.

226 The main customizing is that the IC Dedicated Software is an operational part of the TOE after delivery, although it is mainly not available to the user.

227 Regarding application note 22 of *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*, the refinements for all the assurance families have been reviewed for the hierarchically higher-level assurance components selected in this Security Target.

228 The text of the impacted refinements of *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* is reproduced in the next sections.

229 For reader's ease, an impact summary is provided in *Table 11*.

Table 11. Impact of EAL5 selection on *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* refinements

Assurance Family	*BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* Level	ST Level	Impact on refinement
ADO_DEL	1	1	None
ALC_DVS	2	2	None
ALC_CMS	4	5	None, refinement is still valid
ALC_CMC	4	5	None, refinement is still valid
ADV_ARC	1	1	None
ADV_FSP	4	5	Presentation style changes, IC Dedicated Software is included
ADV_IMP	1	2	None, refinement is still valid
ATE_COV	2	2	IC Dedicated Software is included
AGD_OPE	1	1	None
AGD_PRE	1	1	None
AVA_VAN	5	5	None

5.3.1 Refinement regarding functional specification (ADV_FSP)

230 ~~Although the IC Dedicated Test Software is a part of the TOE, the test functions of the IC Dedicated Test Software are not described in the Functional Specification because the IC Dedicated Test Software is considered as a test tool delivered with the TOE but not providing security functions for the operational phase of the TOE.~~ ***The IC Dedicated Software provides security functionalities as soon as the TOE becomes operational (boot software). These are properly identified in the delivered documentation.***

231 The Functional Specification ***refers to datasheet to*** trace security features that do not provide any external interface but that contribute to fulfil the SFRs e.g. like physical protection. Thereby they are part of the complete instantiation of the SFRs.

232 The Functional Specification ***refers to design specifications to detail the*** mechanisms against physical attacks ***described*** in a more general way only, but detailed enough to be

able to support Test Coverage Analysis also for those mechanisms where inspection of the layout is of relevance or tests beside the TSFI may be needed.

233 The Functional Specification ***refers to data sheet to*** specify operating conditions of the TOE. These conditions include but are not limited to the frequency of the clock, the power supply, and the temperature.

234 All functions and mechanisms which control access to the functions provided by the IC Dedicated Test Software (refer to the security functional requirement (FMT_LIM.2)) ***are part of the*** Functional Specification. Details will be given in the document for ADV_ARC~~, refer to Section 6.2.1.5.~~ In addition, all these functions and mechanisms ***are*** subsequently ~~be~~ refined according to all relevant requirements of the Common Criteria assurance class ADV because these functions and mechanisms are active after TOE Delivery and need to be part of the assurance aspects Tests (class ATE) and Vulnerability Assessment (class AVA). Therefore, all necessary information ***is*** provided to allow tests and vulnerability assessment.

235 Since the selected higher-level assurance component requires a security functional specification presented in a “semi-formal style" (ADV_FSP.5.2C) the changes affect the style of description, the *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* refinements can be applied with changes covering the IC Dedicated Test Software and are valid for ADV_FSP.5.

5.3.2 Refinement regarding test coverage (ATE_COV)

236 The TOE ***is*** tested under different operating conditions within the specified ranges. These conditions include but are not limited to the frequency of the clock, the power supply, and the temperature. This means that “Fault tolerance (FRU_FLT.2)” ***is*** proven for the complete TSF. The tests ~~must~~ also cover functions which may be affected by “ageing” (such as EEPROM writing).

237 The existence and effectiveness of measures against physical attacks (as specified by the functional requirement FPT_PHP.3) cannot be tested in a straightforward way. Instead ***STMicroelectronics provides*** evidence that the TOE actually has the particular physical characteristics (especially layout design principles). This ***is*** done by checking the layout (implementation or actual) in an appropriate way. The required evidence pertains to the existence of mechanisms against physical attacks (unless being obvious).

238 ~~The IC Dedicated Test Software is seen as a “test tool” being delivered as part of the TOE. However, the Test Features do not provide security functionality. Therefore, Test Features need not to be covered by the Test Coverage Analysis but all functions and mechanisms which limit the capability of the functions (cf. FMT_LIM.1) and control access to the functions (cf. FMT_LIM.2) provided by the IC Dedicated Test Software must be part of the Test Coverage Analysis.~~ ***The IC Dedicated Software provides security functionalities as soon as the TOE becomes operational (boot software). These are part of the Test Coverage Analysis.***

5.4 Security Requirements rationale

5.4.1 Rationale for the Security Functional Requirements

239 Just as for the security objectives rationale of *Section 4.3*, the main line of this rationale is that the inclusion of all the security requirements of the *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* protection profile, together with those in *AUG*, and with those introduced in this Security Target, guarantees that all the security objectives identified in *Section 4* are suitably addressed by

the security requirements stated in this chapter, and that the latter together form an internally consistent whole.

Table 12. Security Requirements versus Security Objectives

Security Objective	TOE Security Functional and Assurance Requirements
BSI.O.Leak-Inherent	*Basic internal transfer protection FDP_ITT.1* *Basic internal TSF data transfer protection FPT_ITT.1* *Subset information flow control FDP_IFC.1*
BSI.O.Phys-Probing	*Stored data confidentiality FDP_SDC.1* *Resistance to physical attack FPT_PHP.3*
BSI.O.Malfunction	*Limited fault tolerance FRU_FLT.2* *Failure with preservation of secure state FPT_FLS.1*
BSI.O.Phys-Manipulation	*Stored data integrity monitoring and action FDP_SDI.2* *Resistance to physical attack FPT_PHP.3*
BSI.O.Leak-Forced	All requirements listed for *BSI.O.Leak-Inherent* *FDP_ITT.1*, *FPT_ITT.1*, *FDP_IFC.1* plus those listed for *BSI.O.Malfunction* and *BSI.O.Phys-Manipulation* *FRU_FLT.2*, *FPT_FLS.1*, *FDP_SDI.2*, *FPT_PHP.3*
BSI.O.Abuse-Func	*Limited capabilities FMT_LIM.1 / Test* *Limited availability FMT_LIM.2 / Test* *Limited capabilities - Secure Diagnostic FMT_LIM.1 / Sdiag* *Limited availability - Secure Diagnostic FMT_LIM.2 / Sdiag* *Inter-TSF trusted channel - Secure Diagnostic FTP_ITC.1 / Sdiag* *Audit review - Secure Diagnostic FAU_SAR.1 / Sdiag* plus those for *BSI.O.Leak-Inherent, BSI.O.Phys-Probing, BSI.O.Malfunction, BSI.O.Phys-Manipulation, BSI.O.Leak-Forced* *FDP_ITT.1*, *FPT_ITT.1*, *FDP_IFC.1*, *FDP_SDC.1*, *FDP_SDI.2*, *FPT_PHP.3*, *FRU_FLT.2*, *FPT_FLS.1*
BSI.O.Identification	*Audit storage FAU_SAS.1*
BSI.O.RND	*Random number generation FCS_RNG.1* plus those for *BSI.O.Leak-Inherent, BSI.O.Phys-Probing, BSI.O.Malfunction, BSI.O.Phys-Manipulation, BSI.O.Leak-Forced* *FDP_ITT.1*, *FPT_ITT.1*, *FDP_IFC.1*, *FDP_IFC.1*, *FDP_SDC.1*, *FPT_PHP.3*, *FRU_FLT.2*, *FPT_FLS.1*
BSI.OE.Resp-Appl	Not applicable
BSI.OE.Process-Sec-IC	Not applicable
BSI.OE.Lim-Block-Loader	Not applicable
BSI.OE.Loader-Usage	Not applicable
BSI.OE.TOE-Auth	Not applicable
OE.Enable-Disable-Secure-Diag	Not applicable

Table 12. Security Requirements versus Security Objectives

Security Objective	TOE Security Functional and Assurance Requirements
OE.Secure-Diag-Usage	Not applicable
BSI.O.Authentication	*Authentication Proof of Identity FIA_API.1*
BSI.O.Cap-Avail-Loader	*Limited capabilities FMT_LIM.1 / Loader* *Limited availability FMT_LIM.2 / Loader*
BSI.O.Ctrl-Auth-Loader	"*Inter-TSF trusted channel - Loader*" *FTP_ITC.1 / Loader* "*Basic data exchange confidentiality - Loader*" *FDP_UCT.1 / Loader* "*Data exchange integrity - Loader*" *FDP_UIT.1 / Loader* "*Subset access control - Loader*" *FDP_ACC.1 / Loader* "*Security attribute based access control - Loader*" *FDP_ACF.1 / Loader* "*Static attribute initialisation - Loader*" *FMT_MSA.3 / Loader* "*Management of security attribute - Loader*" *FMT_MSA.1 / Loader* "*Specification of management functions - Loader*" *FMT_SMF.1 / Loader* "*Security roles - Loader*" *FMT_SMR.1 / Loader* "*Timing of identification - Loader*" *FIA_UID.1 / Loader* "*Timing of authentication - Loader*" *FIA_UAU.1 / Loader*
ANSSI.O.Prot-TSF-Confidentiality	"*Inter-TSF trusted channel - Loader*" *FTP_ITC.1 / Loader* "*Basic data exchange confidentiality - Loader*" *FDP_UCT.1 / Loader* "*Data exchange integrity - Loader*" *FDP_UIT.1 / Loader* "*Subset access control - Loader*" *FDP_ACC.1 / Loader* "*Security attribute based access control - Loader*" *FDP_ACF.1 / Loader* "*Static attribute initialisation - Loader*" *FMT_MSA.3 / Loader* "*Management of security attribute - Loader*" *FMT_MSA.1 / Loader* "*Specification of management functions - Loader*" *FMT_SMF.1 / Loader* "*Security roles - Loader*" *FMT_SMR.1 / Loader* "*Timing of identification - Loader*" *FIA_UID.1 / Loader* "*Timing of authentication - Loader*" *FIA_UAU.1 / Loader*

Table 12. Security Requirements versus Security Objectives

Security Objective	TOE Security Functional and Assurance Requirements
ANSSI.O.Secure-Load-ACode	"*Inter-TSF trusted channel - Loader*" *FTP_ITC.1 / Loader* "*Basic data exchange confidentiality - Loader*" *FDP_UCT.1 / Loader* "*Data exchange integrity - Loader*" *FDP_UIT.1 / Loader* "*Subset access control - Loader*" *FDP_ACC.1 / Loader* "*Security attribute based access control - Loader*" *FDP_ACF.1 / Loader* "*Static attribute initialisation - Loader*" *FMT_MSA.3 / Loader* "*Management of security attribute - Loader*" *FMT_MSA.1 / Loader* "*Specification of management functions - Loader*" *FMT_SMF.1 / Loader* "*Security roles - Loader*" *FMT_SMR.1 / Loader* "*Timing of identification - Loader*" *FIA_UID.1 / Loader* "*Timing of authentication - Loader*" *FIA_UAU.1 / Loader* "*Audit storage - Loader*" *FAU_SAS.1 / Loader*
ANSSI.O.Secure-AC-Activation	"*Failure with preservation of secure state - Loader*" *FPT_FLS.1 / Loader*
ANSSI.O.TOE-Identification	"*Audit storage - Loader*" *FAU_SAS.1 / Loader* "*Audit review - Loader*" *FAU_SAR.1 / Loader* "*Stored data integrity monitoring and action*" *FDP_SDI.2*
O.Secure-Load-AMemImage	"*Inter-TSF trusted channel - Loader*" *FTP_ITC.1 / Loader* "*Basic data exchange confidentiality - Loader*" *FDP_UCT.1 / Loader* "*Data exchange integrity - Loader*" *FDP_UIT.1 / Loader* "*Subset access control - Loader*" *FDP_ACC.1 / Loader* "*Security attribute based access control - Loader*" *FDP_ACF.1 / Loader* "*Static attribute initialisation - Loader*" *FMT_MSA.3 / Loader* "*Management of security attribute - Loader*" *FMT_MSA.1 / Loader* "*Specification of management functions - Loader*" *FMT_SMF.1 / Loader* "*Security roles - Loader*" *FMT_SMR.1 / Loader* "*Timing of identification - Loader*" *FIA_UID.1 / Loader* "*Timing of authentication - Loader*" *FIA_UAU.1 / Loader* "*Audit storage - Loader*" *FAU_SAS.1 / Loader*
O.MemImage-Identification	"*Failure with preservation of secure state - Loader*" *FPT_FLS.1 / Loader* "*Audit storage - Loader*" *FAU_SAS.1 / Loader* "*Audit review - Loader*" *FAU_SAR.1 / Loader* "*Stored data integrity monitoring and action*" *FDP_SDI.2*
OE.Composite-TOE-Id	Not applicable
OE.TOE-Id	Not applicable

Table 12. Security Requirements versus Security Objectives

Security Objective	TOE Security Functional and Assurance Requirements
AUG1.O.Add-Functions	*Cryptographic operation FCS_COP.1* *Cryptographic key generation FCS_CKM.1*
AUG4.O.Mem-Access	*Complete access control FDP_ACC.2 / Memories* *Security attribute based access control FDP_ACF.1 / Memories* *Static attribute initialisation FMT_MSA.3 / Memories* *Management of security attribute FMT_MSA.1 / Memories* *Specification of management functions FMT_SMF.1 / Memories*

240 As origins of security objectives have been carefully kept in their labelling, and origins of security requirements have been carefully identified in *Table 7* and *Table 12*, it can be verified that the justifications provided by the *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* protection profile and *AUG* can just be carried forward to their union.

241 From *Table 5*, it is straightforward to identify additional security objectives for the TOE (*AUG1.O.Add-Functions* and *AUG4.O.Mem-Access*) tracing back to *AUG*, additional objectives (*ANSSI.O.Prot-TSF-Confidentiality*, *ANSSI.O.Secure-Load-ACode*, *ANSSI.O.Secure-AC-Activation* and *ANSSI.O.TOE-Identification*) tracing back to *ANSSI-CC-NOTE-06/2.0 EN* / *ANSSI-CC-CER/F/06.002*, and additional objectives (*O.Secure-Load-AMemImage*, and *O.MemImage-Identification*) introduced in this Security Target. This rationale must show that security requirements suitably address them all.

242 Furthermore, a careful observation of the requirements listed in *Table 7* and *Table 12* shows that:

- there are security requirements introduced from *AUG* (*FCS_COP.1*, *FDP_ACC.2 / Memories*, *FDP_ACF.1 / Memories*, *FMT_MSA.3 / Memories* and *FMT_MSA.1 / Memories*),
- there are additional security requirements introduced by this Security Target (*FCS_CKM.1*, *FMT_MSA.3 / Loader*, *FMT_MSA.1 / Loader*, *FMT_SMF.1 / Loader*, *FMT_SMR.1 / Loader*, *FIA_UID.1 / Loader*, *FIA_UAU.1 / Loader*, *FPT_FLS.1 / Loader*, *FAU_SAS.1 / Loader*, *FAU_SAR.1 / Loader*, *FMT_SMF.1 / Memories, FTP_ITC.1 / Sdiag, FAU_SAR.1 / Sdiag, FMT_LIM.1 / Sdiag, FMT_LIM.2 / Sdiag,* and various assurance requirements of EAL5+).

243 Though it remains to show that:

- security objectives from this Security Target, from *ANSSI-CC-NOTE-06/2.0 EN* / *ANSSI-CC-CER/F/06.002* and from *AUG* are addressed by security requirements stated in this chapter,
- additional security requirements from this Security Target and from *AUG* are mutually supportive with the security requirements from the *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* protection profile, and they do not introduce internal contradictions,
- all dependencies are still satisfied.

244 The justification that the additional security objectives are suitably addressed, that the additional security requirements are mutually supportive and that, together with those already in *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*, they form an internally consistent whole, is provided in the next subsections.

5.4.2 Additional security objectives are suitably addressed

Security objective "Dynamic Area based Memory Access Control (*AUG4.O.Mem-Access*)"

245 The justification related to the security objective "***Dynamic*** Area based Memory Access Control (*AUG4.O.Mem-Access*)" is as follows:

246 The security functional requirements "*Complete access control (FDP_ACC.2) / Memories*" ***and "****Security attribute based access control (FDP_ACF.1) / Memories****"***, with the related Security Function Policy (SFP) "***Dynamic Memory Access Control Policy***" exactly require to implement a ***Dynamic*** area based memory access control as demanded by *AUG4.O.Mem-Access*. Therefore, *FDP_ACC.2 / Memories* ***and*** *FDP_ACF.1 / Memories* with ***their*** SFP ***are*** suitable to meet the security objective.

247 The security functional requirement "*Static attribute initialisation (FMT_MSA.3) / Memories*" requires that the TOE provides default values for security attributes. The ability to update the security attributes is restricted to privileged subject(s) ***as further detailed in the security functional requirement "****Management of security attributes (FMT_MSA.1) / Memories****"***. These management functions ensure that the required access control can be realised using the functions provided by the TOE.

Security objective "Additional Specific Security Functionality (*AUG1.O.Add-Functions*)"

248 The justification related to the security objective "Additional Specific Security Functionality (*AUG1.O.Add-Functions*)" is as follows:

249 The security functional requirements "*Cryptographic operation (FCS_COP.1)*" ***and*** "*Cryptographic key generation (FCS_CKM.1)*" exactly require those functions to be implemented that are demanded by *AUG1.O.Add-Functions***.** Therefore, *FCS_COP.1* is suitable to meet the security objective, ***together with*** *FCS_CKM.1*.

Security objective "Protection against Abuse of Functionality (*BSI.O.Abuse-Func*)"

250 This objective states that abuse of functions (especially provided by the IC Dedicated Test Software, for instance in order to read secret data) must not be possible in Phase 7 of the life-cycle. There are two possibilities to achieve this: (i) They cannot be used by an attacker (i. e. its availability is limited) or (ii) using them would not be of relevant use for an attacker (i. e. its capabilities are limited) since the functions are designed in a specific way. The first possibility is specified by "*Limited availability (FMT_LIM.2) / Test*" ***and*** "*Limited availability (FMT_LIM.2) / Sdiag*", and the second one by "*Limited capabilities (FMT_LIM.1) / Test*" ***and*** "*Limited capabilities (FMT_LIM.1) / Sdiag*". Since these requirements are combined to support the policy, which is suitable to fulfil O.Abuse-Func, ***these*** security functional requirements together are suitable to meet the objective.

251 Other security functional requirements which prevent attackers from circumventing the functions implementing these two security functional requirements (for instance by manipulating the hardware) also support the objective. The relevant ***Security Functional requirements*** are also listed in *Table 12*.

Security objective “Access control and authenticity for the Loader (*BSI.O.Ctrl-Auth-Loader*)”

252 The justification related to the security objective “Access control and authenticity for the Loader (*BSI.O.Ctrl-Auth-Loader*)” is as follows:

253 The ***security functional requirement*** "*Subset access control (FDP_ACC.1) / Loader*" defines the subjects, objects and operations of the Loader SFP enforced by the SFR *FTP_ITC.1 / Loader*, *FDP_UCT.1 / Loader*, *FDP_UIT.1 / Loader* and *FDP_ACF.1 / Loader*.
The ***security functional requirement*** "*Inter-TSF trusted channel (FTP_ITC.1) / Loader*" requires the TSF to establish a trusted channel with assured identification of its end points and protection of the channel data from modification or disclosure.
The ***security functional requirement*** "*Basic data exchange confidentiality (FDP_UCT.1) / Loader*" requires the TSF to receive data protected from unauthorized disclosure.
The ***security functional requirement*** "*Data exchange integrity (FDP_UIT.1) / Loader*" requires the TSF to verify the integrity ***and the rightfulness*** of the received data.
The ***security functional requirement*** "*Security attribute based access control (FDP_ACF.1) / Loader*" requires the TSF to implement access control for the Loader functionality.
Therefore, *FTP_ITC.1 / Loader*, *FDP_UCT.1 / Loader*, *FDP_UIT.1 / Loader*, *FDP_ACC.1 / Loader* and *FDP_ACF.1 / Loader* with their SFP are suitable to meet the security objective.

254 Complementary, the security functional requirement "*Static attribute initialisation (FMT_MSA.3) / Loader*" requires that the TOE provides default values for security attributes. The ability to update the security attributes is restricted to privileged subject(s) as further detailed in the security functional requirement "*Management of security attributes (FMT_MSA.1) / Loader*"
The security functional requirements "*Security roles (FMT_SMR.1) / Loader*", "*Timing of identification (FIA_UID.1) / Loader*" and "*Timing of authentication (FIA_UAU.1) / Loader*" specify the roles that the TSF recognises and the actions authorized before their identification.
The security functional requirement "*Specification of management functions (FMT_SMF.1) / Loader*" provides additional controlled facility for adapting the loader behaviour to the user’s needs. These management functions ensure that the required access control, associated to the loading feature, can be realized using the functions provided by the TOE.

Security objectives “Protection of the confidentiality of the TSF (*ANSSI.O.Prot-TSF-Confidentiality*)”, “Secure loading of the Additional Code (*ANSSI.O.Secure-Load-ACode*)” and “Secure loading of the Additional Memory Image (*O.Secure-Load-AMemImage*)”

255 The justification related to the security objectives “Protection of the confidentiality of the TSF (*ANSSI.O.Prot-TSF-Confidentiality*)”, “Secure loading of the Additional Code (*ANSSI.O.Secure-Load-ACode*)” and “Secure loading of the Additional Memory Image (*O.Secure-Load-AMemImage*)” is as follows:

256 The security functional requirement "*Subset access control (FDP_ACC.1) / Loader*" defines the subjects, objects and operations of the Loader SFP enforced by the SFR FTP_ITC.1, FDP_UCT.1, FDP_UIT.1 and FDP_ACF.1/Loader.
The security functional requirement "*Inter-TSF trusted channel (FTP_ITC.1) / Loader*" requires the TSF to establish a trusted channel with assured identification of its end points and protection of the channel data from modification or disclosure.
The security functional requirement "*Basic data exchange confidentiality (FDP_UCT.1) / Loader*" requires the TSF to receive data protected from unauthorized disclosure.

The security functional requirement "*Data exchange integrity (FDP_UIT.1) / Loader*" requires the TSF to verify the integrity of the received data.
The security functional requirement "*Security attribute based access control (FDP_ACF.1) / Loader*" requires the TSF to implement access control for the Loader functionality.
The security functional requirement "*Static attribute initialisation (FMT_MSA.3) / Loader*" requires that the TOE provides default values for security attributes.
The ability to update the security attributes is restricted to privileged subject(s) as further detailed in the security functional requirement "*Management of security attributes (FMT_MSA.1) / Loader*".
The security functional requirements "*Security roles (FMT_SMR.1) / Loader*", "*Timing of identification (FIA_UID.1) / Loader*" and "*Timing of authentication (FIA_UAU.1) / Loader*" specify the roles that the TSF recognises and the actions authorized before their identification.
The security functional requirement "*Specification of management functions (FMT_SMF.1) / Loader*" provides additional controlled facility for adapting the loader behaviour to the user's needs. These management functions ensure that the required access control, associated to the loading feature, can be realised using the functions provided by the TOE.
The security functional requirement "*Audit storage (FAU_SAS.1) / Loader*" requires to store the identification data needed to enforce that only the allowed version of the Additional Memory Image can be loaded on the Initial TOE.

257 Therefore, *FTP_ITC.1 / Loader*, *FDP_UCT.1 / Loader*, *FDP_UIT.1 / Loader*, *FDP_ACC.1 / Loader*, *FDP_ACF.1 / Loader* together with *FMT_MSA.3 / Loader*, *FMT_MSA.1 / Loader*, *FMT_SMR.1 / Loader*, *FMT_SMF.1 / Loader*, *FIA_UID.1 / Loader*, *FIA_UAU.1 / Loader,* and *FAU_SAS.1 / Loader* are suitable to meet these security objectives.

Security objective "Secure activation of the Additional Code (*ANSSI.O.Secure-AC-Activation*)"

258 The justification related to the security objective "Secure activation of the Additional Code (*ANSSI.O.Secure-AC-Activation*)" is as follows:

259 The security functional requirement "*Audit storage (FAU_SAS.1) / Loader*" requires the TSF to fail secure unless the Loading of the Additional Memory Image, including update of the Identification data, is comprehensive, as specified by *ANSSI.O.Secure-AC-Activation*.

260 Therefore, *FPT_FLS.1 / Loader* is suitable to meet this security objective.

Security objective "Secure identification of the TOE (*ANSSI.O.TOE-Identification*)"

261 The justification related to the security objective "Secure identification of the TOE (*ANSSI.O.TOE-Identification*)" is as follows:

262 The security functional requirement "*Audit storage (FAU_SAS.1) / Loader*" requires the TSF to store the Identification Data of the Memory Images.
The security functional requirement "*Stored data integrity monitoring and action (FDP_SDI.2)*" requires the TSF to detect the integrity errors of the stored data and react in case of detected errors.
The security functional requirement "*Audit review (FAU_SAR.1) / Loader*" allows any user to read this Identification Data.

263 Therefore, *FAU_SAS.1 / Loader*, and *FAU_SAR.1 / Loader* together with *FDP_SDI.2* are suitable to meet this security objective.

Security objective “Secure identification of the Memory Image (*O.MemImage-Identification*)”

264 The justification related to the security objective “Secure identification of the Memory Image (*O.MemImage-Identification*)” is as follows:

265 The security functional requirement "*Audit storage (FAU_SAS.1) / Loader*" requires the TSF to store the Identification Data of the Memory Images.
The security functional requirement "*Stored data integrity monitoring and action (FDP_SDI.2)*" requires the TSF to detect the integrity errors of the stored user data and react in case of detected errors.
The security functional requirement "*Audit review (FAU_SAR.1) / Loader*" allows any user to read this Identification Data.
The security functional requirement "*Audit storage (FAU_SAS.1) / Loader*" requires the TSF to fail secure unless the Loading of the Additional Memory Image, including update of the Identification data, is comprehensive, as specified by *ANSSI.O.Secure-AC-Activation*.

266 Therefore, *FAU_SAS.1 / Loader*, *FAU_SAR.1 / Loader* together with *FDP_SDI.2* and *FPT_FLS.1 / Loader* are suitable to meet this security objective.

5.4.3 Additional security requirements are consistent

"Cryptographic operation (*FCS_COP.1*) & key generation (*FCS_CKM.1*)"

267 These security requirements have already been argued in *Section : Security objective “Additional Specific Security Functionality (AUG1.O.Add-Functions)”* above.

"Static attribute initialisation (*FMT_MSA.3 / Memories*),
Management of security attributes (*FMT_MSA.1 / Memories*),
Complete access control (*FDP_ACC.2 / Memories*),
Security attribute based access control (*FDP_ACF.1 / Memories*)"

268 These security requirements have already been argued in *Section : Security objective “Dynamic Area based Memory Access Control (AUG4.O.Mem-Access)”* above.

"Static attribute initialisation (*FMT_MSA.3 / Loader*),
Management of security attributes (*FMT_MSA.1 / Loader*),
Specification of management function (*FMT_SMF.1 / Loader*),
Security roles (*FMT_SMR.1 / Loader*),
Timing of identification (*FIA_UID.1 / Loader*),
Timing of authentication (*FIA_UAU.1 / Loader*)"

269 These security requirements have already been argued in *Section : Security objective “Protection against Abuse of Functionality (BSI.O.Abuse-Func)”* and *Section : Security objectives “Protection of the confidentiality of the TSF (ANSSI.O.Prot-TSF-Confidentiality)”, “Secure loading of the Additional Code (ANSSI.O.Secure-Load-ACode)” and “Secure loading of the Additional Memory Image (O.Secure-Load-AMemImage)”* above.

"Audit storage (*FAU_SAS.1 / Loader*),
Audit review (*FAU_SAR.1 / Loader*)"

270 These security requirements have already been argued in *Section : Security objective “Secure identification of the TOE (ANSSI.O.TOE-Identification)”* and *Section : Security objective “Secure identification of the Memory Image (O.MemImage-Identification)”* above.

"Failure with preservation of secure state (*FPT_FLS.1 / Loader*)"

271 This security requirement has already been argued in *Section : Security objective "Secure activation of the Additional Code (ANSSI.O.Secure-AC-Activation)"* and *Section : Security objective "Secure identification of the Memory Image (O.MemImage-Identification)"* above.

"Inter-TSF trusted channel(*FTP_ITC.1 / Sdiag*),
Audit review (*FAU_SAR.1 / Sdiag*),
Limited capabilities (*FMT_LIM.1 / Sdiag*),
Limited availability (*FMT_LIM.2 / Sdiag*)

272 These security requirements have already been argued in *Section : Security objective "Protection against Abuse of Functionality (BSI.O.Abuse-Func)"* above.

5.4.4 Dependencies of Security Functional Requirements

273 All dependencies of Security Functional Requirements have been fulfilled in this Security Target except :

- those justified in the *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* protection profile security requirements rationale,
- those justifed in *AUG* security requirements rationale,
- the dependency of *FCS_COP.1* and *FCS_CKM.1* on FCS_CKM.4 (see discussion below),
- the dependency of *FAU_SAR.1 / Loader* on FAU_GEN.1 (see discussion below),
- the dependency of *FAU_SAR.1 / Sdiag* on FAU_GEN.1 (see discussion below).

274 Details are provided in *Table 13* below.

Table 13. Dependencies of security functional requirements

Label	Dependencies	Fulfilled by security requirements in this Security Target	Dependency already in *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* or in *AUG*
FRU_FLT.2	FPT_FLS.1	Yes	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
FPT_FLS.1	None	No dependency	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
FMT_LIM.1 / Test	FMT_LIM.2 / Test	Yes	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
FMT_LIM.2 / Test	FMT_LIM.1 / Test	Yes	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
FMT_LIM.1 / Loader	FMT_LIM.2 / Loader	Yes	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
FMT_LIM.2 / Loader	FMT_LIM.1 / Loader	Yes	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
FMT_LIM.1 / Sdiag	FMT_LIM.2 / Sdiag	Yes	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
FMT_LIM.2 / Sdiag	FMT_LIM.1 / Sdiag	Yes	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
FAU_SAS.1	None	No dependency	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
FDP_SDC.1	None	No dependency	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
FDP_SDI.2	None	No dependency	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
FPT_PHP.3	None	No dependency	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*

Table 13. Dependencies of security functional requirements (continued)

Label	Dependencies	Fulfilled by security requirements in this Security Target	Dependency already in *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* or in *AUG*
FDP_ITT.1	FDP_ACC.1 or FDP_IFC.1	Yes	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
FPT_ITT.1	None	No dependency	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
FDP_IFC.1	FDP_IFF.1	No, see *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
FCS_RNG.1	None	No dependency	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
FCS_COP.1	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM.1]	Yes, by FDP_ITC.1 and FCS_CKM.1, see discussion below	Yes, *AUG* ***#1***
	FCS_CKM.4	No, see discussion below	
FCS_CKM.1	[FDP_CKM.2 or FCS_COP.1]	Yes, by FCS_COP.1	
	FCS_CKM.4	No, see discussion below	
FDP_ACC.2 / Memories	FDP_ACF.1 / Memories	Yes	***No,*** *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
FDP_ACF.1 / Memories	FDP_ACC.1 / Memories	Yes, by FDP_ACC.2 / Memories	Yes, *AUG* ***#4***
	FMT_MSA.3 / Memories	Yes	
FMT_MSA.3 / Memories	FMT_MSA.1 / Memories	Yes	Yes, *AUG* ***#4***
	FMT_SMR.1 / Memories	No, see *AUG* ***#4***	
FMT_MSA.1 / Memories	[FDP_ACC.1 / Memories or FDP_IFC.1]	Yes, by FDP_ACC.2 / Memories and FDP_IFC.1	Yes, *AUG* ***#4***
	FMT_SMF.1 / Memories	Yes	***No,*** *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
	FMT_SMR.1 / Memories	No, see *AUG* ***#4***	Yes, *AUG* ***#4***
FMT_SMF.1 / Memories	None	No dependency	***No,*** *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
FIA_API.1	None	No dependency	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
FTP_ITC.1 / Loader	None	No dependency	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*

Table 13. Dependencies of security functional requirements (continued)

Label	Dependencies	Fulfilled by security requirements in this Security Target	Dependency already in *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* or in *AUG*
FDP_UCT.1 / Loader	[FTP_ITC.1 / Loader or FTP_TRP.1 / Loader]	Yes, by FTP_ITC.1 / Loader	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
	[FDP_ACC.1 / Loader or FDP_IFC.1 / Loader]	Yes, by FDP_ACC.1 / Loader	
FDP_UIT.1 / Loader	[FTP_ITC.1 / Loader or FTP_TRP.1 / Loader]	Yes, by FTP_ITC.1 / Loader	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*
	[FDP_ACC.1 / Loader or FDP_IFC.1 / Loader]	Yes, by FDP_ACC.1 / Loader	
FDP_ACC.1 / Loader	FDP_ACF.1 / Loader	Yes	***No,*** *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
FDP_ACF.1 / Loader	FDP_ACC.1 / Loader	Yes	***No,*** *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
	FMT_MSA.3 / Loader	Yes	
FMT_MSA.3 / Loader	FMT_MSA.1 / Loader	Yes	***No,*** *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
	FMT_SMR.1 / Loader	Yes	
FMT_MSA.1 / Loader	[FDP_ACC.1 / Loader or FDP_IFC.1]	Yes	***No,*** *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
	FDP_SMF.1 / Loader	Yes	
	FDP_SMR.1 / Loader	Yes	
FMT_SMR.1 / Loader	FIA_UID.1 / Loader	Yes	***No,*** *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
FIA_UID.1 / Loader	None	No dependency	***No,*** *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
FIA_UAU.1 / Loader	FIA_UID.1 / Loader	Yes	***No,*** *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
FDP_SMF.1 / Loader	None	No dependency	***No,*** *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
FPT_FLS.1 / Loader	None	No dependency	***No,*** *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
FAU_SAS.1 / Loader	None	No dependency	Yes, *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*

Table 13. Dependencies of security functional requirements (continued)

Label	Dependencies	Fulfilled by security requirements in this Security Target	Dependency already in *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014* or in *AUG*
FAU_SAR.1 / Loader	FAU_GEN.1	No, by FAU_SAS.1 / Loader instead, see discussion below	***No,*** *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
FTP_ITC.1 / Sdiag	None	No dependency	***No,*** *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*
FAU_SAR.1 / Sdiag	FAU_GEN.1	No, see discussion below	***No,*** *CCMB-2017-04-002 R5*

275 Part 2 of the Common Criteria defines the dependency of "*Cryptographic operation (FCS_COP.1)*" on "Import of user data without security attributes (FDP_ITC.1)" or "Import of user data with security attributes (FDP_ITC.2)" or "Cryptographic key generation (FCS_CKM.1)". In this particular TOE, "*Cryptographic key generation (FCS_CKM.1)*" may be used for the purpose of creating cryptographic keys, but also, the ES has all possibilities to implement its own creation function, in conformance with its security policy.

276 Part 2 of the Common Criteria defines the dependency of "*Cryptographic operation (FCS_COP.1)*" and "*Cryptographic key generation (FCS_CKM.1)*" on "Cryptographic key destruction (FCS_CKM.4)". In this particular TOE, there is no specific function for the destruction of the keys. The ES has all possibilities to implement its own destruction function, in conformance with its security policy. Therefore, FCS_CKM.4 is not defined in this ST.

277 Part 2 of the Common Criteria defines the dependency of "*Audit review (FAU_SAR.1) / Loader*" on "Audit data generation (FAU_GEN.1)". In this particular TOE, "*Audit storage (FAU_SAS.1) / Loader*" is used to ensure the storage of audit data, because FAU_GEN.1 is too comprehensive to be used in this context. Therefore this dependency is fulfilled by "*Audit storage (FAU_SAS.1) / Loader*" instead.

278 Part 2 of the Common Criteria defines the dependency of "*Audit review (FAU_SAR.1) / Sdiag*" on "Audit data generation (FAU_GEN.1)". In this particular TOE, there is no specific function for audit data generation, the data to be audited are just stored. Therefore, FAU_GEN.1 is not defined in this ST.

5.4.5 Rationale for the Assurance Requirements

Security assurance requirements added to reach EAL5 (*Table 10*)

279 Regarding application note 21 of *BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014*, this Security Target chooses EAL5 with augmentations because developers and users require a high level of independently assured security in a planned development and require a rigorous development approach without incurring unreasonable costs attributable to specialist security engineering techniques.

280 EAL5 represents a meaningful increase in assurance from EAL4 by requiring semiformal design descriptions, a more structured (and hence analyzable) architecture, and improved mechanisms and/or procedures that provide confidence that the TOE will not be tampered during development.

281 The assurance components in an evaluation assurance level (EAL) are chosen in a way that they build a mutually supportive and complete set of components. All dependencies introduced by the requirements chosen for augmentation are fulfilled. Therefore, these

components add additional assurance to EAL5, but the mutual support of the requirements and the internal consistency is still guaranteed.

282 Note that detailed and updated refinements for assurance requirements are given in *Section 5.3*.

Dependencies of assurance requirements

283 Dependencies of security assurance requirements are mostly fulfilled by the EAL5 package selection.

284 The augmentation to this package is identified in paragraph *222* and all dependencies introduced by this augmentation are satisfied.

6 TOE summary specification (ASE_TSS)

285 This section demonstrates how the TOE meets each Security Functional Requirement, which will be further detailed in the ADV_FSP documents.

286 The complete TOE summary specification has been presented and evaluated in the *ST31H320 D01 including optional cryptographic library NESLIB Security Target*.

287 For confidentiality reasons, the TOE summary specification is not fully reproduced here.

6.1 Limited fault tolerance (FRU_FLT.2)

288 The TSF provides limited fault tolerance, by managing a certain number of faults or errors that may happen, related to random number generation, power supply, data flows and cryptographic operations, thus preventing risk of malfunction.

6.2 Failure with preservation of secure state (FPT_FLS.1)

289 The TSF provides preservation of secure state by detecting and managing the following events, resulting in an immediate interruption or reset:

- Die integrity violation detection,
- Errors on memories,
- Glitches,
- High voltage supply,
- CPU errors,
- MPU errors,
- External clock incorrect frequency,
- Sequence control,
- etc..

290 The ES can generate a software reset.

6.3 Limited capabilities (FMT_LIM.1) / Test, Limited capabilities (FMT_LIM.1) / Sdiag, Limited capabilities (FMT_LIM.1) / Loader, Limited availability (FMT_LIM.2) / Test, Limited availability (FMT_LIM.2) / Sdiag & Limited availability (FMT_LIM.2) / Loader

291 The TOE is either in Test, Admin or User configuration.

292 The TOE may also be in Basic Diagnostic (aka Diagnostic), Secure Diagnostic or Genuine Check volatile configuration.

293 The Test and Diagnostics configurations are reserved to ST.

294 The TSF ensures the switching and the control of TOE configuration, the corresponding access control and the control of the corresponding capabilities.The transition controls rely

on several strong mechanisms. Part of the transitions are only possible in the STMicroelectronics audited environment.

295 The TSF reduces the available features depending on the TOE configuration.

296 The customer can choose to disable irreversibly the Loading capability.

297 The customer can choose to irreversibly enable or disable the Secure Diagnostic capability. Only if the customer enables it, for quality investigation purpose, ST can exercise the Secure Diagnostic capability with a secure protocol, in an audited environment.

6.4 Inter-TSF trusted channel (FTP_ITC.1) / Sdiag

298 In Secure Diagnostic volatile configuration, the System Firmware provides a secure channel to allow another IT product to operate a Secure Diagnostic transaction.

6.5 Audit review (FAU_SAR.1) / Sdiag

299 The System Firmware allows to read the Secure Diagnostic status (permanently disabled, permanently enabled, disabled but still configurable).

6.6 Stored data confidentiality (FDP_SDC.1)

300 The TSF ensures confidentiality of the User Data in all the memories where it can be stored.

6.7 Stored data integrity monitoring and action (FDP_SDI.2)

301 The TSF ensures stored data integrity, in all the possible memory areas, depending on the integrity control attributes.

6.8 Audit storage (FAU_SAS.1)

302 In User configuration, the TOE provides commands to store data and/or pre-personalisation data and/or supplements of the ES in the NVM. These commands are only available to authorized processes, and only until phase 6.

6.9 Resistance to physical attack (FPT_PHP.3)

303 The TSF ensures resistance to physical tampering, thanks to the following features:

- The TOE implements a set of countermeasures that reduce the exploitability of physical probing.
- The TOE is physically protected by active shields that command an automatic reaction on die integrity violation detection.

6.10 Basic internal transfer protection (FDP_ITT.1), Basic internal TSF data transfer protection (FPT_ITT.1) & Subset information flow control (FDP_IFC.1)

304 The TSF prevents the disclosure of internal and user data thanks to:

- Memories scrambling and encryption,
- Bus encryption,
- Mechanisms for operation execution concealment,
- etc...

6.11 Random number generation (FCS_RNG.1)

305 The TSF provides 8-bit true random numbers that can be qualified with the test metrics required by the *BSI-AIS20/AIS31* standard for a PTG.2 class device.

6.12 Cryptographic operation: TDES operation (FCS_COP.1) / TDES

306 The TOE provides an EDES+ accelerator that has the capability to perform 3-key Triple DES encryption and decryption in Electronic Code Book (ECB) and Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) modes conformant to *NIST SP 800-67* and *NIST SP 800-38A*.

307 If Neslib is embedded, the cryptographic library Neslib instantiates the same standard DES cryptographic operations.

6.13 Cryptographic operation: AES operation (FCS_COP.1) / AES

308 The AES accelerator provides the following standard AES cryptographic operations for key sizes of 128, 192 and 256 bits, conformant to *FIPS PUB 197* with intrinsic counter-measures against attacks:

- cipher,
- inverse cipher.

309 The AES accelerator can operate in Electronic Code Book (ECB) and Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) mode.

310 If Neslib is embedded, the cryptographic library Neslib instantiates the same standard AES cryptographic operations, and additionally provides:

- message authentication Code computation (CMAC),
- authenticated encryption/decryption in Galois Counter Mode (GCM),
- authenticated encryption/decryption in Counter with CBC-MAC (CCM).

6.14 Cryptographic operation: RSA operation (FCS_COP.1) / RSA if NesLib

311 The cryptographic library NesLib provides to the ES developer the following RSA functions, all conformant to *PKCS #1 V2.1*:

- RSA public key cryptographic operation for modulus sizes up to 4096 bits,
- RSA private key cryptographic operation with or without CRT for modulus sizes up to 2048 bits,
- RSA signature formatting,
- RSA Key Encapsulation Method.

6.15 Cryptographic operation: Elliptic Curves Cryptography operation (FCS_COP.1) / ECC if NesLib

312 The cryptographic library NesLib provides to the ES developer the following efficient basic functions for Elliptic Curves Cryptography over prime fields on curves in Weierstrass form, all conformant to *IEEE 1363-2000* and *IEEE 1363a-2004*, including:

- private scalar multiplication,
- preparation of Elliptic Curve computations in affine coordinates,
- public scalar multiplication,
- point validity check,
- Jacobian conversion to affine coordinates,
- general point addition,
- point expansion and compression.

313 Additionally, the cryptographic library NesLib provides functions dedicated to the two most used elliptic curves cryptosystems:

- Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman (ECDH), as specified in *NIST SP 800-56A*,
- Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) verification, as stipulated in *FIPS PUB 186-4* and specified in *ANSI X9.62*, section 7.

314 The cryptographic library NesLib provides to the ES developer the following efficient basic functions for Elliptic Curves Cryptography over prime fields on curves in Edwards form, with curve 25519, all conformant to *EdDSA rfc*, including:

- generation,
- verification,
- point decompression.

6.16 Cryptographic operation: SHA-1 & SHA-2 operation (FCS_COP.1) / SHA, if NesLib

315 The cryptographic library NesLib provides the SHA-1[c], SHA-224, SHA-256, SHA-384, SHA-512 secure hash functions conformant to *FIPS PUB 180-2*.

c. Note that SHA-1 is no longer recommended as a cryptographic function. Hence, Security IC Embedded Software may need to use another SHA to achieve a suitable strength.

316 The cryptographic library NesLib provides the SHA-1[(c)], SHA-256, SHA-384, SHA-512 secure hash functions conformant to *FIPS PUB 180-2*, and offering resistance against side channel and fault attacks.

317 Additionally, the cryptographic library NesLib offers support for the HMAC mode of use, as specified in *FIPS PUB 198-1*, to be used in conjunction with the protected versions of SHA-1[(c)], SHA-256, SHA-384, and SHA-512.

6.17 Cryptographic operation: Keccak & SHA-3 operation (FCS_COP.1) / Keccak, if NesLib

318 The cryptographic library NesLib provides the operation of the following extendable output functions conformant to *FIPS PUB 202*:

- SHAKE128,
- SHAKE256,
- Keccak[r,c] with choice of r < 1600 and c = 1600 - r.

319 The cryptographic library NesLib provides the operation of the following hash functions, conformant to *FIPS PUB 202*:

- SHA3-224,
- SHA3-256,
- SHA3-384,
- SHA3-512.

320 The cryptographic library NesLib provides the operation of the following extendable output functions conformant to *FIPS PUB 202*, offering resistance against side channel and fault attacks:

- SHAKE128,
- SHAKE256,
- Keccak[r,c] with choice of r < 1600 and c = 1600 - r.

321 The cryptographic library NesLib provides the operation of the following hash functions, conformant to *FIPS PUB 202*, offering resistance against side channel and fault attacks:

- SHA3-224,
- SHA3-256,
- SHA3-384,
- SHA3-512.

6.18 Cryptographic operation: Keccak-p operation (FCS_COP.1) / Keccak-p, if NesLib

322 The cryptographic library NesLib provides a toolbox for building modes on top of the following permutations, conformant to *FIPS PUB 202*:

- Keccak-p[1600,n_r = 24],
- Keccak-p[1600,n_r = 12].

323 The cryptographic library NesLib provides a toolbox for building modes on top of the following permutations, conformant to *FIPS PUB 202*, offering resistance against side channel and fault attacks:

- Keccak-p[1600,n_r = 24],
- Keccak-p[1600,n_r = 12].

6.19 Cryptographic operation: Diffie-Hellman operation (FCS_COP.1) / Diffie-Hellman, if NesLib

324 The cryptographic library NesLib provides the Diffie-Hellman key establishment operation over GF(p) for size of modulus p up to 4096 bits, conformant to *ANSI X9.42*.

6.20 Cryptographic operation: DRBG operation (FCS_COP.1) / DRBG, if NesLib

325 The cryptographic library NesLib gives support for a DRBG generator, based on cryptographic algorithms specified in *NIST SP 800-90*.

326 The cryptographic library NesLib implements two of the DRBG specified in *NIST SP 800-90*:

- Hash-DRBG,
- CTR-DRBG.

6.21 Cryptographic key generation: RSA key generation (FCS_CKM.1) / RSA_key_generation, if NesLib

327 The cryptographic library NesLib provides standard RSA public and private key computation for key sizes upto 4096 bits conformant to *FIPS PUB 140-2, ISO/IEC 9796-2* and *PKCS #1 V2.1*, optionally with conditions and/or optionally offering resistance against side channel and fault attacks.

6.22 Static attribute initialisation (FMT_MSA.3) / Memories

328 The TOE enforces a default memory protection policy when none other is programmed by the ES.

6.23 Management of security attributes (FMT_MSA.1) / Memories & Specification of management functions (FMT_SMF.1) / Memories

329 The TOE provides a dynamic Memory Protection Unit (MPU), that can be configured by the ES.

6.24 Complete access control (FDP_ACC.2) / Memories & Security attribute based access control (FDP_ACF.1) / Memories

330 The TOE enforces the dynamic memory protection policy for data access and code access thanks to a dynamic Memory Protection Unit (MPU), programmed by the ES. Overriding the MPU set of access rights, the TOE enforces additional protections on specific parts of the memories.

6.25 Authentication Proof of Identity (FIA_API.1)

331 In Admin configuration or Genuine check configuration, the System Firmware provides commands based on a cryptographic mechanism which allows another IT product to check that the TOE is a genuine TOE.

6.26 Inter-TSF trusted channel (FTP_ITC.1) / Loader, Basic data exchange confidentiality (FDP_UCT.1) / Loader, Data exchange integrity (FDP_UIT.1) / Loader & Audit storage (FAU_SAS.1) / Loader

332 In Admin configuration, the System Firmware provides a secure channel to allow another IT product to operate a maintenance transaction.

333 The identification data associated with the memory update is automatically logged during the session.

6.27 Subset access control (FDP_ACC.1) / Loader & Security attribute based access control (FDP_ACF.1) / Loader

334 In Admin configuration, during a maintenance transaction, the System Firmware verifies if the Loader access conditions are satisfied and returns an error when this is not the case.

335 In particular, the additional memory update must be intended to be assembled with the memory update previously loaded.

6.28 Failure with preservation of secure state (FPT_FLS.1) / Loader

336 In Admin configuration, the System Firmware enforces that a maintenance transaction can only end when it is consistent or canceled by an erase.

6.29 Static attribute initialisation (FMT_MSA.3) / Loader

337 In Admin configuration, the System Firmware provides restrictive default values for the Flash Loader security attributes.

6.30 Management of security attributes (FMT_MSA.1) / Loader & Specification of management functions (FMT_SMF.1) / Loader

338 In Admin configuration, the System Firmware provides the capability for an authorized user to change part of the Flash Loader security attributes.

6.31 Security roles (FMT_SMR.1) / Loader

339 The System Firmware supports the assignment of roles to users through the assignment of different keys for the different roles. This allows to distinguish between the roles of ST Loader, User Loader, Delegated Loader, Secure Diagnostic, and Everybody.

6.32 Timing of identification (FIA_UID.1) / Loader & Timing of authentication (FIA_UAU.1) / Loader

340 The System Firmware identifies the user through the key selected for authentication. This is performed by verifying an encryption, thus preventing to unveil the key.

341 After this authentication, both parties share a session key.

342 A limited number of operations is allowed on behalf of the user before the user is identified and authenticated, such as boot, authentication and non-critical queries.

6.33 Audit review (FAU_SAR.1) / Loader

343 In Admin configuration, the System Firmware allows to read the product information and the identification data of all memory updates previously loaded on the TOE.

7 Identification

Table 14. TOE components

IC Maskset name	IC version	Master identification number [1]	Firmware version	OST version	Optional NesLib crypto library version
K8N0A	E	00DE	3.0.1	4.0	6.2.1

1. Part of the product information.

Table 15. Guidance documentation

Component description	Reference	Version
ST31H320: ST31 Secure microcontroller with enhanced security and with up to 320 Kbytes Flash - Datasheet	DS_ST31H320	2.0
ARM® Cortex SC000 Technical Reference Manual	ARM_DDI_0456	A
ARMv6-M Architecture Reference Manual	ARM_DDI_0419	C
ST31 Firmware V3 User Manual	UM_ST31G_H_FWv3	9
NesLib 6.2 library - User manual	UM_NESLIB_6.2	2.0
ST31G, ST31H Secure MCU family - NesLib 6.2 security recommendations	AN_SECU_ST31G_H_NESLIB_6.2	8.0
NesLib 6.2.1 for ST31 Platforms - Release note	RN_ST31_NESLIB_6.2.1	5.0
ST31G and ST31H Secure MCU platforms Security Guidance	AN_SECU_ST31G_H	6.0
ST31G and ST31H - AIS31 Compliant Random Number - User Manual	UM_31G_31H_AIS31	1.0
ST31 - AIS31 Reference implementation - Startup, online and total failure tests - Application Note	AN_31G_31H_AIS31	1.0

Table 16. Sites list

Site	Address	Activities[1]
ST Rousset	STMicroelectronics 190 Avenue Célestin Coq ZI de Rousset-Peynier 13106 Rousset Cedex FRANCE	DEV FE EWS WHS
ST Ang Mo Kio 1	STMicroelectronics 5A Serangoon North Avenue 5 554574 Singapore	DEV

Table 16. Sites list (continued)

Site	Address	Activities[1]
ST Zaventem	STMicroelectronics Green Square, Lambroekstraat 5, Building B 3d floor 1831 Diegem/Machelen Belgium	DEV
ST Grenoble	STMicroelectronics 12 rue Jules Horowitz, BP 217 38019 Grenoble Cedex France	DEV
ST Rennes	STMicroelectronics 10 rue de Jouanet, ePark 35700 Rennes France	DEV
ST Sophia	STMicroelectronics 635 route des lucioles 06560 Valbonne France	DEV
ST Tunis	STMicroelectronics Cité Technologique des Communications, BP 21 2088 La Gazelle Cedex Tunisia	IT
ST Gardanne	CMP Georges Charpak 880 Avenue de Mimet 13541 Gardanne France	BE
ST Crolles	STMicroelectronics 850 rue Jean Monnet 38926 Crolles France	FE MASK
ST Toa Payoh	STMicroelectronics 629 Lorong 4/6 Toa Payoh 319521 Singapore	EWS
ST Shenzen	STS Microelectronics 16 Tao hua Rd., Futian free trade zone 518048 Shenzhen P.R. China	BE

Table 16. Sites list (continued)

Site	Address	Activities[1]
ST Bouskoura	STMicroelectronics 101 Boulevard des Muriers – BP97 20 180 Bouskoura Maroc	BE WHS
ST Calamba	STMicroelectronics 9 Mountain Drive, LISP II, Brgy La mesa Calamba 4027 Philipines	BE WHS
ST Ang Mo Kio 6	STMicroelectronics 18 Ang Mo Kio Industrial park 2 554574 Singapore	BE WHS
ST Loyang	STMicroelectronics 7 Loyang Drive 508938 Singapore	WHS
Amkor ATP1	AMKOR Technologies ATP1: Km 22 East Service Rd. South superhighway, Muntipula City 1771 Philippines	BE
Amkor ATP3/4	AMKOR Technologies ATP3/4: 119 N. Science Avenue, Laguna Technopark, Binan, Laguna, 4024 Philippines	BE
Smartflex	Smartflex Technologies 27 Ubi road 4, MSL building #04-04, 408618 Singapore	BE
Feiliks	Feili Logistics (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. Zhongbao Logistics Building, No. 28 Taohua Road, FFTZ, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518038, China	WHS
DNP	Dai Nippon Printing Co., Ltd 2-2-1 Fukuoka Kamifukuoka-shi Saitama-Ken 356-0011 Japan	MASK
DPE	Dai Nippon Printing Europe Via C. Olivetti 2/A I-20041 Agrate Brianza Italy	MASK

1. DEV = development, FE = front end manudacturing, EWS = electrical wafer sort and pre-perso, BE = back end manufacturing, MASK = mask manufacturing, WHS = warehouse, IT = Network infrastructure

8 References

Table 17. ST31H320 D01 platform Security Target

Component description	Reference	Version
ST31H320 D01 including optional cryptographic library NESLIB Security Target	SMD_ST31H320_ST_19_001	D01.4

Table 18. Common Criteria

Component description	Reference	Version
Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation - Part 1: Introduction and general model, April 2017	CCMB-2017-04-001 R5	3.1 Rev 5
Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation - Part 2: Security functional components, April 2017	CCMB-2017-04-002 R5	3.1 Rev 5
Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation - Part 3: Security assurance components, April 2017	CCMB-2017-04-003 R5	3.1 Rev 5

Table 19. Protection Profile

Component description	Reference	Version
Eurosmart - Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages	BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014	1.0

Table 20. Other standards

Ref	Identifier	Description
[1]	BSI-AIS20/AIS31	A proposal for: Functionality classes for random number generators, W. Killmann & W. Schindler BSI, Version 2.0, 18-09-2011
[2]	NIST SP 800-67	NIST SP 800-67, Recommendation for the Triple Data Encryption Algorithm (TDEA) Block Cipher, revised January 2012, National Institute of Standards and Technology
[3]	FIPS PUB 140-2	FIPS PUB 140-2, Security Requirements for Cryptographic Modules, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), up to change notice December 3, 2002
[4]	FIPS PUB 180-2	FIPS PUB 180-2 Secure Hash Standard with Change Notice 1 dated February 25,2004, National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S.A., 2004
[5]	FIPS PUB 186-4	FIPS PUB 186-4, Digital Signature Standard (DSS), National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), July 2013
[6]	FIPS PUB 197	FIPS PUB 197, Advanced Encryption Standard (AES), National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S. Department of Commerce, November 2001

Table 20. Other standards

Ref	Identifier	Description
[7]	ISO/IEC 9796-2	ISO/IEC 9796, Information technology - Security techniques - Digital signature scheme giving message recovery - Part 2: Integer factorization based mechanisms, ISO, 2002
[8]	NIST SP 800-38A	NIST SP 800-38A Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation, 2001, with Addendum Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation: Three Variants of Ciphertext Stealing for CBC Mode, October 2010
[9]	ISO/IEC 14888	ISO/IEC 14888, Information technology - Security techniques - Digital signatures with appendix - Part 1: General (1998), Part 2: Identity-based mechanisms (1999), Part 3: Certificate based mechanisms (2006), ISO
[10]	AUG	Smartcard Integrated Circuit Platform Augmentations, Atmel, Hitachi Europe, Infineon Technologies, Philips Semiconductors, Version 1.0, March 2002.
[11]	MIT/LCS/TR-212	On digital signatures and public key cryptosystems, Rivest, Shamir & Adleman Technical report MIT/LCS/TR-212, MIT Laboratory for computer sciences, January 1979
[12]	IEEE 1363-2000	IEEE 1363-2000, Standard Specifications for Public Key Cryptography, IEEE, 2000
[13]	IEEE 1363a-2004	IEEE 1363a-2004, Standard Specifications for Public Key Cryptography - Amendment 1:Additional techniques, IEEE, 2004
[14]	PKCS #1 V2.1	PKCS #1 V2.1 RSA Cryptography Standard, RSA Laboratories, June 2002
[15]	MOV 97	Alfred J. Menezes, Paul C. van Oorschot and Scott A. Vanstone, Handbook of Applied Cryptography, CRC Press, 1997
[16]	NIST SP 800-38B	NIST special publication 800-38B, Recommandation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation: The CMAC Mode for Authentication, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), May 2005
[17]	NIST SP 800-38C	NIST special publication 800-38C, Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation: The CCM Mode for Authentication and Confidentiality, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), May 2004
[18]	NIST SP 800-38D	NIST special publication 800-38D, Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation: Galois/Counter mode (GCM) and GMAC, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), November 2007
[19]	NIST SP 800-90	NIST Special Publication 800-90, Recommendation for random number generation using deterministic random bit generators (Revised), National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), March 2007
[20]	FIPS PUB 198-1	FIPS PUB 198-1, The Keyed-Hash Message Authentication Code (HMAC), National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), July 2008

Table 20. Other standards

Ref	Identifier	Description
[21]	NIST SP 800-56A	NIST SP 800-90A Revision 2, Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), May 2013
[22]	ANSI X9.31	ANSI X9.31, Digital Signature Using Reversible Public Key Cryptography for the Financial Services Industry (rDSA), American National Standard for Financial Services, 1998
[23]	ANSI X9.42	ANSI X9.42, Public Key Cryptography for the Financial Services Industry: Agreement of Symmetric Keys Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography, American National Standard for Financial Services, 2003 (R2013)
[24]	ANSI X9.62	ANSI X9.62, Public Key Cryptography for the Financial Services Industry, The Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA), American National Standard for Financial Services, 2005
[25]	FIPS PUB 202	FIPS PUB 202, SHA-3 Standard: Permutation-Based Hash and Extendable-Output Functions, August 2015
[26]	EdDSA rfc	S. Josefsson and I. Liusvaara,, Edwards-curve Digital Signature Algorithm (EdDSA) draft-irtf-cfrg-eddsa-08, Network Working Group Internet-Draft, IETF, August 19, 2016, available from *https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-irtf-cfrg-eddsa-08*
[27]	EDDSA	Bernstein, D., Duif, N., Lange, T., Schwabe, P., and B. Yang, "High-speed high-security signatures", *http://ed25519.cr.yp.to/ed25519-20110926.pdf* September 2011
[28]	EDDSA2	Bernstein, D., Josefsson, S., Lange, T., Schwabe, P., and B. Yang, "EdDSA for more curves", WWW *http://ed25519.cr.yp.to/eddsa-20150704.pdf* July 2015
[29]	NOTE 12.1	Note d'application: Modélisation formelle des politiques de sécurité d'une cible d'évaluation NOTE/12.1, N°587/SGDN/DCSSI/SDR DCSSI, 25-03-2008
[30]	ANSSI-CC-NOTE-06/2.0 EN	Security requirements for post-delivery code loading, ANSSI, January 2015
[31]	ANSSI-CC-CER/F/06.002	PP0084: Interpretations, ANSSI, April 2016

Appendix A Glossary

A.1 Terms

Additional Code

From the loader perspective, code activated by the Atomic Activation on the Initial TOE to generate the final TOE. For instance, Additional Code could: correct flaws, add new functionalities, update the operating system. An Additional Code is a particular « memory image » that has been activated in an authorized way on behalf of the TOE owner.

Authorised user

A user who may, in accordance with the TSP, perform an operation.

Composite product

Security IC product which includes the Security Integrated Circuit (i.e. the TOE) and the Embedded Software and is evaluated as composite target of evaluation.

End-consumer

User of the Composite Product in Phase 7.

Final TOE

From the loader perspective, the Final TOE is generated from the Initial TOE and the Additional Code. It is the resulting product of the Atomic Activation of the Additional Code onto the Initial TOE. Here the term TOE denotes the TOE itself as well as the composite TOE considered as a memory image which both may be maintained by a maintenance transaction.

Integrated Circuit (IC)

Electronic component(s) designed to perform processing and/or memory functions.

IC Dedicated Software

IC proprietary software embedded in a Security IC (also known as IC firmware) and developed by ***ST***. Such software is required for testing purpose (IC Dedicated Test Software) but may provide additional services to facilitate usage of the hardware and/or to provide additional services (IC Dedicated Support Software).

IC Dedicated Test Software

That part of the IC Dedicated Software which is used to test the TOE before TOE Delivery but which does not provide any functionality thereafter.

IC developer

Institution (or its agent) responsible for the IC development.

IC manufacturer

Institution (or its agent) responsible for the IC manufacturing, testing, and pre-personalization.

IC packaging manufacturer

Institution (or its agent) responsible for the IC packaging and testing.

Initialisation data

Initialisation Data defined by the TOE Manufacturer to identify the TOE and to keep track of the Security IC's production and further life-cycle phases are considered as belonging to the TSF data. These data are for instance used for traceability and for TOE identification (identification data)

Initial TOE

From the loader perspective, the Initial TOE is the product on which the Additional Code is loaded and with the Loader as part of the embedded software. Here the term TOE denotes the TOE itself as well as the composite TOE which both may be maintained by loading of an additional memory image.

Loader

The Loader is the software developed by the Product Manufacturer. It is used to load and activate the Additional Code into the Product FLASH ~~or EEPROM~~ memory. The Loader is included in the ~~embedded~~ dedicated software and is ~~considered as~~ part of the ~~Initial~~ TOE.

Maintenance transaction

Modification of an initial memory image by an additional memory image resulting in a final memory image.

Memory image

Set of mappings of memory addresses onto data.

Object

An entity within the TSC that contains or receives information and upon which subjects perform operations.

Packaged IC

Security IC embedded in a physical package such as micromodules, DIPs, SOICs or TQFPs.

Pre-personalization data

Any data supplied by the Card Manufacturer that is injected into the non-volatile memory by the Integrated Circuits manufacturer (Phase 3). These data are for instance used for traceability and/or to secure shipment between phases.

Secret

Information that must be known only to authorised users and/or the TSF in order to enforce a specific SFP.

Security IC

Composition of the TOE, the Security IC Embedded Software, User Data, and the package.

Security IC Embedded SoftWare (ES)

Software embedded in the Security IC and not developed by the IC designer. The Security IC Embedded Software is designed in Phase 1 and embedded into the Security IC in Phase 3.

Security IC embedded software (ES) developer

Institution (or its agent) responsible for the security IC embedded software development and the specification of IC pre-personalization requirements, if any.

Security attribute

Information associated with subjects, users and/or objects that is used for the enforcement of the TSP.

Sensitive information

Any information identified as a security relevant element of the TOE such as:

- the application data of the TOE (such as IC pre-personalization requirements, IC and system specific data),
- the security IC embedded software,
- the IC dedicated software,
- the IC specification, design, development tools and technology.

Smartcard

A card according to ISO 7816 requirements which has a non volatile memory and a processing unit embedded within it.

Subject

An entity within the TSC that causes operations to be performed.

Test features

All features and functions (implemented by the IC Dedicated Software and/or hardware) which are designed to be used before TOE Delivery only and delivered as part of the TOE.

TOE Delivery

The period when the TOE is delivered which is after Phase 3 ***or Phase 4 in this Security target***.

TSF data

Data created by and for the TOE, that might affect the operation of the TOE.

User

Any entity (human user or external IT entity) outside the TOE that interacts with the TOE.

User data

All data managed by the Smartcard Embedded Software in the application context. User data comprise all data in the final Smartcard IC except the TSF data.

A.2 Abbreviations

Table 21. List of abbreviations

Term	Meaning
AIS	Application notes and Interpretation of the Scheme (BSI).
BE	Back End manufacturing.
BSI	Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik.
CBC	Cipher Block Chaining.
CC	Common Criteria Version 3.1.
CPU	Central Processing Unit.
CRC	Cyclic Redundancy Check.
DCSSI	Direction Centrale de la Sécurité des Systèmes d'Information.
DES	Data Encryption Standard.

Table 21. List of abbreviations (continued)

Term	Meaning
DEV	Development.
DIP	Dual-In-Line Package.
DRBG	Deterministic Random Bit Generator.
EAL	Evaluation Assurance Level.
ECB	Electronic Code Book.
EDES	Enhanced DES.
EEPROM	Electrically Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory.
ES	Security IC Embedded Software.
EWS	Electrical Wafer Sort.
FE	Front End manufacturing.
FIPS	Federal Information Processing Standard.
I/O	Input / Output.
IC	Integrated Circuit.
ISO	International Standards Organisation.
IT	Information Technology.
LPU	Library Protection Unit.
MASK	Mask manufacturing.
MPU	Memory Protection Unit.
NESCRYPT	Next Step Cryptography Accelerator.
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology.
NVM	Non Volatile Memory.
OSP	Organisational Security Policy.
OST	Operating System for Test.
PP	Protection Profile.
PUB	Publication Series.
RAM	Random Access Memory.
RF	Radio Frequency.
RF UART	Radio Frequency Universal Asynchronous Receiver Transmitter.
ROM	Read Only Memory.
RSA	Rivest, Shamir & Adleman.
SAR	Security Assurance Requirement.
SFP	Security Function Policy.
SFR	Security Functional Requirement.

Table 21. List of abbreviations (continued)

Term	Meaning
SOIC	Small Outline IC.
ST	Context dependent : STMicroelectronics or Security Target.
TDES	Triple Data Encryption Standard
TOE	Target of Evaluation.
TQFP	Thin Quad Flat Package.
TRNG	True Random Number Generator.
TSC	TSF Scope of Control.
TSF	TOE Security Functionality.
TSFI	TSF Interface.
TSP	TOE Security Policy.
TSS	TOE Summary Specification.
WHS	Warehouse.

Please Read Carefully:

Information in this document is provided solely in connection with ST products. STMicroelectronics NV and its subsidiaries ("ST") reserve the right to make changes, corrections, modifications or improvements, to this document, and the products and services described herein at any time, without notice.

All ST products are sold pursuant to ST's terms and conditions of sale.

Purchasers are solely responsible for the choice, selection and use of the ST products and services described herein, and ST assumes no liability whatsoever relating to the choice, selection or use of the ST products and services described herein.

No license, express or implied, by estoppel or otherwise, to any intellectual property rights is granted under this document. If any part of this document refers to any third party products or services it shall not be deemed a license grant by ST for the use of such third party products or services, or any intellectual property contained therein or considered as a warranty covering the use in any manner whatsoever of such third party products or services or any intellectual property contained therein.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SET FORTH IN ST'S TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SALE ST DISCLAIMS ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTY WITH RESPECT TO THE USE AND/OR SALE OF ST PRODUCTS INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE (AND THEIR EQUIVALENTS UNDER THE LAWS OF ANY JURISDICTION), OR INFRINGEMENT OF ANY PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR OTHER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHT.

ST PRODUCTS ARE NOT DESIGNED OR AUTHORIZED FOR USE IN: (A) SAFETY CRITICAL APPLICATIONS SUCH AS LIFE SUPPORTING, ACTIVE IMPLANTED DEVICES OR SYSTEMS WITH PRODUCT FUNCTIONAL SAFETY REQUIREMENTS; (B) AERONAUTIC APPLICATIONS; (C) AUTOMOTIVE APPLICATIONS OR ENVIRONMENTS, AND/OR (D) AEROSPACE APPLICATIONS OR ENVIRONMENTS. WHERE ST PRODUCTS ARE NOT DESIGNED FOR SUCH USE, THE PURCHASER SHALL USE PRODUCTS AT PURCHASER'S SOLE RISK, EVEN IF ST HAS BEEN INFORMED IN WRITING OF SUCH USAGE, UNLESS A PRODUCT IS EXPRESSLY DESIGNATED BY ST AS BEING INTENDED FOR "AUTOMOTIVE, AUTOMOTIVE SAFETY OR MEDICAL" INDUSTRY DOMAINS ACCORDING TO ST PRODUCT DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS. PRODUCTS FORMALLY ESCC, QML OR JAN QUALIFIED ARE DEEMED SUITABLE FOR USE IN AEROSPACE BY THE CORRESPONDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY.

Resale of ST products with provisions different from the statements and/or technical features set forth in this document shall immediately void any warranty granted by ST for the ST product or service described herein and shall not create or extend in any manner whatsoever, any liability of ST.

ST and the ST logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of ST in various countries.

Information in this document supersedes and replaces all information previously supplied.

The ST logo is a registered trademark of STMicroelectronics. All other names are the property of their respective owners.

© 2019 STMicroelectronics - All rights reserved

STMicroelectronics group of companies

Australia - Belgium - Brazil - Canada - China - Czech Republic - Finland - France - Germany - Hong Kong - India - Israel - Italy - Japan - Malaysia - Malta - Morocco - Philippines - Singapore - Spain - Sweden - Switzerland - United Kingdom - United States of America

www.st.com