

## **Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report**

# BSI-DSZ-CC-0831-V7-2023-MA-02 SMGW, Version 2.1

from

#### **Power Plus Communications AG**



SOGIS
Recognition Agreement
for components up to
EAL 4

The IT product identified in this report was assessed according to the procedures on Assurance Continuity [1] and the developer's Impact Analysis Report (IAR). The baseline for this assessment was the Certification Report, the Security Target and the Evaluation Technical Report of the product certified by the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI) under BSI-DSZ-CC-0831-V7-2023 updated by BSI-DSZ-CC-0831-V7-2023-MA-01.



The certified product itself did not change. The changes are related to an update of the life cycle security aspect ALC\_DEL.

Considering the nature of the change leads to the conclusion that it is classified as a <u>minor change</u> and that certificate maintenance is the correct path to continuity of assurance.

The resistance to attacks has <u>not</u> been re-assessed in the course of this maintenance process. Therefore, the assurance statement as outlined in the Certification Report BSI-DSZ-CC-0831-V7-2023 dated 11 December 2023 is of relevance and has to be considered when using the product. Details can be found on the following pages.

This report is an addendum to the Certification Report BSI-DSZ-CC-0831-V7-2023.



Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement recognition for components up to EAL 2 and ALC\_FLR only



Bonn, 10 July 2024

The Federal Office for Information Security

#### **Assessment**

The IT product identified in this report was assessed according to the procedures on Assurance Continuity [1] and the Impact Analysis Report (IAR) [2]. The baseline for this assessment was the Certification Report of the certified product (Target of Evaluation, TOE) [3], its Security Target [4] and the Evaluation Technical Report as outlined in [3].

The vendor for the SMGW, Version 2.1, Power Plus Communications AG, submitted an IAR [2] to the BSI for approval. The IAR is intended to satisfy the requirements according to the procedures on Assurance Continuity [1]. In accordance with those requirements, the IAR describes (i) the changes made to the certified TOE, (ii) the evidence updated as a result of the changes and (iii) the security impact of the changes.

The certified product itself did not change.

The changes are related to an update of the life cycle security aspect ALC\_DEL. A new secure delivery variant was added. The partial ALC re-evaluation was performed by the ITSEF TÜV Informationstechnik GmbH. The procedure led to an updated version of the Evaluation Technical Report (ETR) [6] and an editorial update of the Security Target [5]. The Common Criteria assurance requirements for ALC are fulfilled as claimed in the Security Target [5].

### Conclusion

The maintained change is at the level of the life cycle security aspect ALC\_DEL. The change has no effect on product assurance, but the updated guidance documentation [7] has to be followed.

Considering the nature of the change leads to the conclusion that it is classified as a minor change and that certificate maintenance is the correct path to continuity of assurance.

The resistance to attacks has <u>not</u> been re-assessed in the course of this maintenance process. Therefore, the assurance statement as outlined in the Certification Report BSI-DSZ-CC-0831-V7-2023 dated 11 December 2023 is of relevance and has to be considered when using the product.

#### Obligations and notes for the usage of the product:

All aspects of assumptions, threats and policies as outlined in the Security Target not covered by the TOE itself need to be fulfilled by the operational environment of the TOE.

The customer or user of the product shall consider the results of the certification within his system risk management process. In order for the evolution of attack methods and techniques to be covered, he should define the period of time until a re-assessment for the TOE is required and thus requested from the sponsor of the certificate.

Additional Note: The strength of the cryptographic algorithms was not rated in the course of the product certification and this maintenance procedure (see BSIG<sup>1</sup> Section 9, Para. 4, Clause 2).

For details on results of the evaluation of cryptographic aspects refer to the Certification Report [3] chapter 9.2.

This report is an addendum to the Certification Report [3].

#### References

- [1] Common Criteria document "Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements", Version 3.1, 29 February 2024
  - Common Criteria document "Assurance Continuity: SOG-IS Requirements", Version 1.2, March 2024
- [2] Impact Analysis Report Partielle ALC-Reevaluierung, Version 1.0, 13 June 2024 (confidential document)
- [3] Certification Report BSI-DSZ-CC-0831-V7-2023 for SMGW Version 2.1, 11 December 2023, Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report BSI-DSZ-CC-0831-V7-2023-MA-01 for SMGW Version 2.1, 27 June 2024, Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik
- [4] Security Target BSI-DSZ-CC-0831-V7-2023, Version 1.7, 19 October 2023, Security Target, SMGW Version 2.1, Power Plus Communications AG
- [5] Security Target BSI-DSZ-CC-0831-V7-2023, Version 1.10, 08 July 2024, Security Target, SMGW Version 2.1, Power Plus Communications AG
- [6] Evaluation Technical Report, Version 2, 08 July 2024, TÜV Informationstechnik GmbH (confidential document)
- [7] Auslieferungs- und Fertigungsprozeduren, Anhang Sichere Auslieferung, Version 1.13, 08 July 2024, Power Plus Communications AG SHA-256 hash value: 5a54d0b95e8473e6c998049f71b6b27ab4fd0daab8363aea39b94d825efe99c9

<sup>1</sup> Act on the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI-Gesetz - BSIG) of 14 August 2009, Bundesgesetzblatt I p. 2821