

**MAINTENANCE REPORT MR1  
(supplementing Certification Report No. CRP298)**

**Mobile Felica on Sm@rtSIM CX Virgo platform  
Version 5.0**

Issue 1.0  
September 2017

© Crown Copyright 2017 – All Rights Reserved

Reproduction is authorised, provided  
that this report is copied in its entirety.

**NCSC Certification Body**  
Industry Enabling Services, NCSC  
Hubble Road  
CHELTENHAM  
GL51 0EX  
United Kingdom

### CERTIFICATION STATEMENT (ADDENDUM)

Sponsor	FeliCa Networks Inc.	Developer	FeliCa Networks Inc.
Product Name, Version	Mobile FeliCa Applet on Sm@rtSIM CX Virgo platform 5.0		
Platform/Integrated Circuit	BCM_SPS02		
Description	Mobile FeliCa Applet on Sm@rtSIM CX Virgo platform		
CC Version	Version 3.1 Release 4		
CC Part 2	Extended	CC Part 3	Conformant
PP(s) or (c)PP Conformance	None		
EAL	CC EAL 4 augmented by ALC_DVS.2 and AVA_VAN.5		
CLEF	UL Transaction Security		
CC Certificate	P298	Date Certified also add Date Maintained	20 December 2016 13 September 2017

The evaluation was performed in accordance with the requirements of the UK IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme as described in UK Scheme Publication 01 [UKSP01] and 02 [UKSP02]. The Scheme has established the NCSC (previously CESG) Certification Body, which is managed by the NCSC on behalf of Her Majesty's Government.

The purpose of the evaluation was to provide assurance about the effectiveness of the Target of Evaluation (TOE) in meeting its Security Target [ST], which prospective consumers are advised to read. To ensure that the ST gave an appropriate baseline for a CC evaluation, it was first itself evaluated. The TOE was then evaluated against that baseline. Both parts of the evaluation were performed in accordance with Protection Profile [PP] and supporting documents [JIL], CC Parts 1, 2 and 3 [CC], the Common Evaluation Methodology [CEM] and relevant Interpretations.

The issuing of a Certification Report is a confirmation that the evaluation process has been performed properly and that no exploitable vulnerabilities have been found in the evaluated configuration of the TOE. It is not an endorsement of the product.

#### ARRANGEMENT ON THE RECOGNITION OF COMMON CRITERIA CERTIFICATES IN THE FIELD OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SECURITY (CCRA)

The NCSC Certification Body of the UK IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme is a member of the above Arrangement [CCRA] and, as such, this confirms that the Common Criteria certificate has been issued by or under the authority of a Party to this Arrangement and is the Party's claim that the certificate has been issued in accordance with the terms of this Arrangement.

The judgements<sup>1</sup> contained in the certificate and in this Certification Report are those of the Qualified Certification Body which issued them and of the Evaluation Facility which performed the evaluation. There is no implication of acceptance by other Members of the Arrangement Group of liability in respect of those judgements or for loss sustained as a result of reliance placed by a third party upon those judgements.

#### SENIOR OFFICIALS GROUP – INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY (SOGIS) MUTUAL RECOGNITION AGREEMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SECURITY EVALUATION CERTIFICATES (MRA)

The SOGIS MRA logo which appears below confirms that the conformant certificate has been authorised by a Participant to the above Agreement [CEM] Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Evaluation Methodology,

Common Criteria Maintenance Board, CCMB-2012-09-004, Version 3.1 R4, September 2012.

[MRA] and it is the Participant's statement that the certificate has been issued in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.

The judgments<sup>1</sup> contained in the certificate are those of the compliant Certification Body which issued them and of the Evaluation Facility which performed the evaluation. There is no implication of acceptance by other Participants of liability in respect of those judgments or for loss sustained as a result of reliance placed upon those judgments by a third party.



<sup>1</sup> All judgements contained in this Certification Report are covered by the CCRA [CCRA] recognition for c... to EAL 2 only, i.e. all other components, including the augmentations ALC\_DVS.2 and AVA\_VAN.5, are not covered by the CCRA. All judgements in this Certification Report are covered by the SOGIS MRA [CEM] Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Evaluation Methodology, Common Criteria Maintenance Board, CCMB-2012-09-004, Version 3.1 R4, September 2012. [MRA].

---

**CRP298 MR1 – Mobile Felica on Sm@rtSIM CX Virgo Version 5.0**

---

CCRA logo

CC logo

SOGIS MRA logo



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CERTIFICATION STATEMENT (ADDENDUM)</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>I. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>4</b>
Overview	4
Maintained Version(s)	4
Assurance Continuity Process	5
General Points	5
<b>II. ASSURANCE MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>6</b>
Analysis of Changes	6
Changes to Developer Evidence	6
TOE Identification	6
TOE Scope and TOE Configuration	6
TOE Documentation	7
TOE Environment	7
<b>III. TOE TESTING</b>	<b>8</b>
Vulnerability Analysis	8
Testing	8
<b>IV. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND DISCLAIMERS</b>	<b>9</b>
Summary	9
Conclusions	9
Disclaimers	9
<b>V. REFERENCES</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>VI. ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>13</b>

## I. INTRODUCTION

### Overview

1. This Maintenance Report [MR1] states the outcome of the Common Criteria (CC) [CC] Assurance Continuity [AC] process for *Mobile FeliCa Applet on Sm@rtSIM CX Virgo platform, Version 5.0* - i.e. the ‘latest derived version’ - as summarised on page 2 of this report, and is intended to assist prospective consumers when judging the suitability of the IT security of the product for their requirements.
2. The baseline for this report was the original CC evaluation of *Mobile FeliCa Applet on SkySIM CX Virgo platform, Version 2.0*, which was certified in December 2016 by the CESG (now NCSC) Certification Body to CC EAL4 augmented by ALC\_DVS.2 and AVA\_VAN.5 - i.e. the ‘original certified version’ or ‘Certified TOE’.
3. The CC Recognition Arrangement (CCRA) [CCRA] requires the Security Target (ST) to be included with the Certification Report. However Appendix I.13 of [CCRA] allows the ST to be sanitised by removing or paraphrasing proprietary technical information; the resulting document is named “ST-lite”. Hence for the Target of Evaluation (TOE):
  - a) for the original certified version: its ST was [ST] and its ST-lite was [ST\_LITE];
  - b) for the latest derived version: its ST is [ST1] and its ST-lite is [ST1\_LITE].
4. Prospective consumers should read the following documents for the TOE, which are available on the CC website ([www.commoncriteriaportal.org](http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org)):
  - for the original certified version: its [ST\_LITE], its Certification Report [CR] and its related Certificate;
  - for the latest derived version: its [ST1\_LITE], its Maintenance Report [MR1] (i.e. this document) and its maintenance addendum on the above websites.
5. The Developer of the TOE (i.e. the original certified version and the latest derived version) is FeliCa Networks, Inc.

### Maintained Version(s)

6. The ‘original certified version’ of the TOE was:
  - Mobile FeliCa Applet on SkySIM CX Virgo platform, Version 2.0.
7. The ‘latest derived version’ of the TOE for which assurance is maintained is:
  - Mobile FeliCa Applet on Sm@rtSIM CX Virgo platform, Version 5.0.
8. The maintenance of the latest derived version is described in this report [MR1], which provides a summary of the incremental changes from the original certified version [CR].

## Assurance Continuity Process

9. The CCRA [CCRA] is a basis for the mutual international recognition of the results of CC evaluations and certifications. The CC Assurance Continuity process is defined in [AC], and UK specific aspects are defined in UK Scheme Publication 01 [UKSP01] and 03 [UKSP03P1, UKSP03P2]. That process is based on an Impact Analysis Report (IAR) by the Developer. The IAR is intended to describe all changes made to the product, including changes to previously-evaluated evidence, and to assess the security impact of each change.

10. For the latest derived version of the TOE, the Developer followed the above process and the following activities were performed:

- a) the Developer updated version numbers for the applet and the architecture, reflecting an update to the OS, as described in the IAR;
- b) the Developer made no other changes to the TOE or its development environment, hence no additional security testing was required;
- c) the functional test result has been updated for the new platform;
- d) the Developer requested CC assurance continuity for the changed environment of the Certified TOE and the application for maintenance was granted by the NCSC Certification Body within the two-year guideline stated in [AC].

11. The Developer produced the IAR [IAR1]. The NCSC Certification Body examined [IAR1] and the supporting evidence, then produced this report [MR1] and the maintenance addendum on the CC website.

## General Points

12. Assurance Continuity addresses the security functionality claimed, with reference to the assumed environment specified, in the ST/ST-lite. For the latest derived version, its scope, configuration and platform environment are summarised in Chapter II of this report [MR1], in conjunction with the original Certification Report [CR]. Prospective consumers are advised to check that this matches their requirements.

## II. ASSURANCE MAINTENANCE

### Analysis of Changes

13. [IAR1] is the IAR from the certified version of the TOE to the latest derived version of the TOE, and provides the Assurance Continuity rationale for the latest derived version on the stated platform. [IAR1] conforms to the requirements of [AC] and the UK specific aspects in [UKSP01], [UKSP03P1] and [UKSP03P2].

14. No *Major* changes that could cause a security impact on the TOE were made between the certified version and the latest derived version. As noted in [IAR1] Section 3.1, all changes were *Minor* and did not impact the TOE's security functionality.

15. The changes and their impact on the evaluation deliverables are stated in [IAR1] Chapters 3 - 5, which show that for all changes:

- a) the impact of each change is determined to be *Minor*;
- b) the effect on the previously-evaluated evidence is determined to be *Minor*;
- c) the action required for resolution is determined to be *None*, as the previously-evaluated evidence has already been updated, and no further security tests are required.

16. The NCSC Certification Body's review of [IAR1] is documented in [REVIEW1] and concurs with the Sponsor's overall conclusion. The changes to the previously-evaluated evidence are summarised in Paragraph 17 of this report.

### Changes to Developer Evidence

17. [IAR1] Chapter 4 states that the previously-evaluated evidence that was updated for the latest derived version of the TOE was as follows:

- Security Target for Mobile FeliCa Applet on SkySIM CX Virgo platform
- Security Architecture for Mobile FeliCa Applet on SkySIM CX Virgo platform
- Results of testing for Mobile FeliCa Applet on SkySIM CX Virgo platform

No changes were required to the TOE Security Guidance and Java Card Open Platform documentation detailed in [CR].

### TOE Identification

18. The latest derived version is uniquely identified in Paragraph 7 of this report.

### TOE Scope and TOE Configuration

19. The TOE scope is defined in Section 1.4 of [ST1\_LITE].

20. The TOE is the whole product. There is only one possible configuration. Hence the TOE configuration is the product configuration.



## **TOE Documentation**

21. With respect to the Installation, Configuration and Guidance documents listed in the Certification Report for the original certified version of the TOE [CR], there were no changes for the latest derived version of the TOE.

## **TOE Environment**

22. The TOE environment is defined in [ST1\_LITE] Sections 7.1 and 8.2



### **III. TOE TESTING**

#### **Vulnerability Analysis**

23. In summary, there was no requirement to assess whether any vulnerability had been introduced into the TOE between its original certified version and its latest derived version, as there had been no changes to the TOE or its related development procedures. Therefore, there were no new vulnerabilities impacting the TOE and its subsystems.

24. During the evaluation of the original version of the TOE [ETR], the evaluators' vulnerability analysis was based on the JIL "*Attack Methods for Smartcard and Similar Devices*" [JIL\_AM] and a search for other public vulnerabilities. No vulnerabilities were found during the original evaluation.

25. A search of a sample of public websites on 13 September 2017 confirmed that there were no publicly-known vulnerabilities in the latest derived version of the TOE.

#### **Testing**

26. For the latest derived version of the TOE, the Developer did not need to perform any re-testing. The testing performed by the *UL Transaction Security* CLEF for the Certified TOE is described in [CR]. The *UL Transaction Security* CLEF was not required to perform any further tests on the latest maintained version of the TOE.

27. The Developer's tests are comprehensively listed in Chapter 4 of the Configuration List [CL1] for the latest derived version of the TOE and are identical to those in [CL].

28. The Developer holds a copy of the original evaluation's Evaluation Technical Report [ETR]. The Developer does not hold the Evaluators' test scripts and does not update them.

## IV. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND DISCLAIMERS

### Summary

29. The analysis in [IAR1] shows that no change with a security impact of *Major* has been made to the TOE between the certified version of the TOE and the latest derived version of the TOE.

30. All changes have been categorised as having a security impact of *Minor* and hence CC EAL4 augmented by ALC\_DVS.2 and AVA\_VAN.5 assurance has been maintained.

### Conclusions

31. The NCSC Certification Body accepts the analysis in [IAR1], which assessed each change as having a security impact of *Minor*, and concludes that the overall impact of all changes is *Minor*.

32. The NCSC Certification Body has therefore determined that CC EAL4 augmented by ALC\_DVS.2 and AVA\_VAN.5, as outlined in the Certification Report [CR], has been maintained for the latest derived version of the TOE. These conclusions are summarised in the ‘Certification Statement (Addendum)’ on Page 2 of this report.

33. Prospective consumers of the latest derived version of the TOE should understand the scope of the maintenance by reading this report in conjunction with [ST1\_LITE]. The TOE should be used in accordance with the environmental assumptions specified in [ST1\_LITE]. Prospective consumers should check that the Security Functional Requirements (SFRs) and the certified configuration (as maintained for the latest derived version of the TOE) match their requirements, and should give due consideration to the recommendations and caveats of this report.

34. The TOE should be used in accordance with the supporting guidance in the certified configuration, maintained for the latest derived version of the TOE. Recommendations on secure receipt, installation, configuration and operation of the TOE are in the Certification Report [CR].

### Disclaimers

35. The Assurance Continuity process is *not* a guarantee of freedom from security vulnerabilities. There remains a small probability that exploitable vulnerabilities may be discovered afterwards. This report reflects the NCSC Certification Body’s views on the date of this report.

36. Existing and prospective consumers should check regularly for themselves whether any security vulnerabilities have been discovered since this report was issued and, if appropriate, should check with the vendor to see if any patches exist for the product and whether those patches have further assurance.

37. The installation of patches for security vulnerabilities, whether or not those patches have further assurance, should improve the security of the TOE. However, note that unevaluated patching will invalidate the certification of the TOE, unless the TOE has undergone a formal re-certification or is covered under an approved Assurance Continuity process by a CCRA certificate-authorising Scheme.

38. All product or company names used in this report are for identification purposes only and may be trademarks of their respective owners.

## V. REFERENCES

### **Common Criteria Documents:**

- [AC] Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements,  
Common Criteria Development Board,  
2012-06-01, Version 2.1, June 2012.
- [CC] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation,  
(comprising Parts 1, 2, 3: [CC1], [CC2], [CC3]).
- [CC1] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation,  
Part 1, Introduction and General Model,  
Common Criteria Maintenance Board,  
CCMB-2012-09-001, Version 3.1 R4, September 2012.
- [CC2] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation,  
Part 2, Security Functional Components,  
Common Criteria Maintenance Board,  
CCMB-2012-09-002, Version 3.1 R4, September 2012.
- [CC3] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation,  
Part 3, Security Assurance Components,  
Common Criteria Maintenance Board,  
CCMB-2012-09-003, Version 3.1 R4, September 2012.
- [CCRA] Arrangement on the Recognition of Common Criteria Certificates in the Field  
of Information Technology Security,  
Participants in the Arrangement Group,  
2<sup>nd</sup> July 2014.
- [CEM] Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation,  
Evaluation Methodology,  
Common Criteria Maintenance Board,  
CCMB-2012-09-004, Version 3.1 R4, September 2012.
- [MRA] Mutual Recognition Agreement of Information Technology Security  
Evaluation Certificates,  
Management Committee,  
Senior Officials Group – Information Systems Security (SOGIS),  
Version 3.0, 8<sup>th</sup> January 2010 (effective April 2010).

### **UK IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme Documents:**

- [UKSP00] Abbreviations and References,  
UK IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme,  
UKSP 00, Issue 1.8, August 2013.
- [UKSP01] Description of the Scheme,  
UK IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme,  
UKSP 01, Issue 6.6, August 2014.
- [UKSP03P1] Sponsor's Guide - General Introduction,  
UK IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme,  
UKSP 03 Part I, Issue 3.1, August 2013.



[UKSP03P2] Sponsor's Guide - Assurance Continuity,  
UK IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme,  
UKSP 03 Part II, Issue 1.3, August 2014.

**Original Certified Version:**

[CR] Common Criteria Certification Report No. CRP298,  
CESG (now NCSC) Certification Body,  
Issue 1.0, December 2016.

[CR\_IC] BSI-DSZ-CC-0915-2016 for BCM\_SPS02 Secure Processing System with IC  
Dedicated Software, Version 1.0 from Broadcom, Bundesamt für Sicherheit  
in der Informationstechnik (BSI), BSI-DSZ-CC-0915-2016, Issue 1.0,  
25 February 2016 and Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report - BSI-DSZ-  
CC-0915-2016-MA-01 - BCM\_SPS02 Secure Processing System with  
Firmware version 002.010 or 002.020, Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der  
Informationstechnik (BSI), BSI-DSZ-CC-0915-2016-MA-01, Issue 1.0,  
1 June 2016.

[ETR] Mobile FeliCa Applet on SkySIM CX Virgo V2.0 Evaluation Technical  
Report, UL Transaction Security, UL/SEC/ETR/10952715, Issue 1.11, 20  
December 2016.

[JIL\_AM] Attack Methods for Smartcards and Similar Devices,  
Joint Interpretation Library,  
Version 2.3, July 2012.

[ST] Security Target for Mobile FeliCa Applet on SkySIM, No. MAP01-ASEP01-  
E01-31  
FeliCa Networks, Inc.  
V1.31, 25 November 2016.

[ST\_LITE] Security Target Lite for Mobile FeliCa Applet on SkySIM, No. MAP01-  
ASEP01-E01-31,  
FeliCa Networks, Inc.  
V1.31, 25 November 2016.

**Latest Derived Version:**

[CL1] Configuration List Mobile FeliCa Applet on SkySIM CX Virgo platform,  
FeliCa Networks, Inc.,  
Version 1.1, February 2016.

[CR\_IC\_MR] Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report BSI-DSZ-CC-0915-2016-MA-03,  
BCM\_SPS02, Secure Processing System with Firmware version 002.030 from  
NXP,  
Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI),  
02.12.2016.

[IAR1] Impact Analysis Report Mobile FeliCa Applet on SkySIM CX Virgo  
platform, No. MAP01-IAR01-E01-21  
FeliCa Networks, Inc.  
Version 1.21/08.09.2017

[JIL\_MSSR] Minimum Site Security Requirements (MSSR),

---

**CRP298 MR1 – Mobile Felica on Sm@rtSIM CX Virgo Version 5.0**

---

Joint Interpretation Library,  
Version 1.1 (for trial use), July 2013.

[MR1] Common Criteria Maintenance Report MR1 (*i.e. this document*).

[REVIEW1] NCSC Certification Body Review Form,  
T024 Virgo 5.0 IAR CB review  
25 July 2017

[ST1] Security Target for Mobile FeliCa Applet on Sm@rtSIM CX Virgo platform  
Version 5.0  
FeliCa Networks, Inc,  
v1.51 September 2017

[ST1\_LITE] Security Target Lite for Mobile FeliCa Applet on Sm@rtSIM CX Virgo  
platform,  
FeliCa Networks, Inc,  
v1.51 September 2017



## **VI. ABBREVIATIONS**

This list of abbreviations is specific to the TOE. It therefore excludes: general IT abbreviations (e.g. GUI, HTML, LAN, PC); standard Common Criteria abbreviations (e.g. TOE, TSF) covered in CC Part 1 [CC1]); and UK Scheme abbreviations and acronyms (e.g. CESG, CLEF) covered in [UKSP00].

MSSR            Minimum Site Security Requirements

NCSC            UK's National Cyber Security Centre (which has absorbed and replaced CESG)