

HP TippingPoint Intrusion Prevention Systems Security Target

Version 2.0
20 March 2015

Prepared for:
HP TippingPoint

14231 Tandem Blvd
Austin, TX 78728
USA

Prepared By:
Leidos
Common Criteria Testing Laboratory
6841 Benjamin Franklin Drive
Columbia, MD 21046

Table of Contents

1. SECURITY TARGET INTRODUCTION	4
1.1 SECURITY TARGET, TOE AND CC IDENTIFICATION	4
1.2 CONFORMANCE CLAIMS	4
1.3 CONVENTIONS	5
1.4 GLOSSARY	5
1.5 ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	5
2. TOE DESCRIPTION	8
2.1 TOE OVERVIEW	8
2.2 TOE ARCHITECTURE	10
2.2.1 Deployment Architecture	10
2.2.2 Software Architecture	11
2.2.3 Physical Boundaries	14
2.2.4 Logical Boundaries	16
2.2.5 Excluded Functionality	17
2.3 TOE DOCUMENTATION	17
3. SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION	19
3.1 ASSUMPTIONS	19
3.2 THREATS	19
4. SECURITY OBJECTIVES	20
4.1 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE	20
4.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT	20
5. IT SECURITY REQUIREMENTS	21
5.1 EXTENDED COMPONENTS DEFINITION	21
5.1.1 Intrusion Detection System (IDS)	21
5.2 TOE SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	25
5.2.1 Security Audit (FAU)	25
5.2.2 User Data Protection (FDP)	27
5.2.3 Identification and Authentication (FIA)	28
5.2.4 Security Management (FMT)	28
5.2.5 Protection of the TOE Security Functions (FPT)	29
5.2.6 Trusted Path/Channels (FTP)	29
5.2.7 Intrusion Detection System (IDS)	29
5.3 TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS	31
5.3.1 Development (ADV)	31
5.3.2 Guidance Documents (AGD)	33
5.3.3 Life-cycle Support (ALC)	33
5.3.4 Security Target Evaluation (ASE)	35
5.3.5 Tests (ATE)	38
5.3.6 Vulnerability Assessment (AVA)	39
6. TOE SUMMARY SPECIFICATION	40
6.1 TOE SECURITY FUNCTIONS	40
6.1.1 Security Audit	40
6.1.2 Identification and Authentication	43
6.1.3 Intrusion Detection and Prevention	45
6.1.4 Traffic Management	47
6.1.5 Security Management	48
6.1.6 TSF Protection	49
6.1.7 Trusted Path	49

7. RATIONALE.....	51
7.1 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE.....	51
7.2 SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS RATIONALE	54
7.3 SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS RATIONALE.....	57
7.4 REQUIREMENT DEPENDENCY RATIONALE.....	57
7.5 TOE SUMMARY SPECIFICATION RATIONALE.....	58

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: TOE Security Functional Components.....	25
Table 2: Auditable Events.....	26
Table 3: IDS Events.....	30
Table 4: EAL 3 augmented with ALC_FLR.2 Assurance Components	31
Table 5: Default Console Settings.....	41
Table 6: Sorting Criteria.....	42
Table 7: Auditable Event Categories	43
Table 8: Filter Categories	45
Table 9: Management Functions and Role Restrictions.....	49
Table 10: Security Problem Definition to Security Objective Correspondence	51
Table 11: Objectives to Requirement Correspondence.....	54
Table 12: Requirement Dependencies.....	58
Table 13: Security Functions vs. Requirements Mapping	59

1. Security Target Introduction

This section identifies the Security Target (ST) and Target of Evaluation (TOE) identification, ST conventions, ST conformance claims, and the ST organization. The TOE is HP TippingPoint Intrusion Prevention Systems, comprising the S7500NX, S7100NX, S6200NX, S5200NX, S2600NX, S6100N, S5100N, S2500N, S1400N, and S660N model appliances running TippingPoint Operating System v3.8.0.

The Security Target contains the following additional sections:

- TOE Description (Section 2)—provides an overview of the TOE and describes the physical and logical boundaries of the TOE
- Security Problem Definition (Section 3)—describes the assumptions, threats, and organizational security policies that define the security problem to be addressed by the TOE and its environment
- Security Objectives (Section 4)—describes the objectives necessary to counter the defined threats and satisfy the assumptions and organizational security policies
- IT Security Requirements (Section 5)—provides a set of security functional requirements to be met by the TOE. The IT security requirements also provide a set of security assurance requirements that are to be satisfied by the TOE
- TOE Summary Specification (Section 6)—describes the security functions of the TOE and how they satisfy the security functional requirements
- Rationale (Section 7)—provides mappings and rationale for the security environment, security objectives, security requirements, and security functions to justify their completeness, consistency, and suitability.

1.1 Security Target, TOE and CC Identification

ST Title – HP TippingPoint Intrusion Prevention Systems Security Target

ST Version – 2.0

ST Date – 20 March 2015

TOE Identification – HP TippingPoint Intrusion Prevention Systems, comprising the S7500NX, S7100NX, S6200NX, S5200NX, S2600NX, S6100N, S5100N, S2500N, S1400N, and S660N model appliances running TippingPoint Operating System v3.8.0

TOE Developer – HP TippingPoint

Evaluation Sponsor – HP TippingPoint

CC Identification – Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1, Revision 4, September 2012.

1.2 Conformance Claims

This ST and the TOE it describes are conformant to the following CC specifications:

- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 2: Security Functional Components, Version 3.1, Revision 4, September 2012
 - Part 2 Extended
- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 3: Security Assurance Components, Version 3.1, Revision 4, September 2012
 - Part 3 Conformant

This ST and the TOE it describes are conformant to the following package:

- EAL3 Augmented (ALC_FLR.2).

1.3 Conventions

The following conventions have been applied in this document:

- Security Functional Requirements—Part 2 of the CC defines the approved set of operations that may be applied to functional requirements: iteration, assignment, selection, and refinement.
 - Iteration: allows a component to be used more than once with varying operations. In this ST, iteration is indicated by a number in parentheses placed at the end of the component. For example, FMT_MTD.1(1) and FMT_MTD.1(2) indicate that the ST includes two iterations of the FMT_MTD.1 requirement.
 - Assignment: allows the specification of an identified parameter. Assignments are indicated using bold and are surrounded by brackets (e.g., [**assignment**]). Note that an assignment within a selection would be identified in italics and with embedded bold brackets (e.g., [*selected-assignment*]).
 - Selection: allows the specification of one or more elements from a list. Selections are indicated using bold italics and are surrounded by brackets (e.g., [*selection*]).
 - Refinement: allows the addition of details. Refinements are indicated using bold, for additions, and strike-through, for deletions (e.g., “... **all** objects ...” or “... ~~some~~ **big** things ...”).
- Extended Requirements (i.e., those not found in Part 2 of the CC) are identified with “_EXT” following the new functional family and class identification. Example: Analyzer analysis (IDS_ANL_EXT.1)
- Other sections of the ST—Other sections of the ST use bolding to highlight text of special interest, such as captions.

1.4 Glossary

This ST uses a number of terms that have a specific meaning within the context of the ST and the TOE. This glossary provides a list of those terms and how they are to be understood within this ST.

Analyzer	The function of an IDS that applies analytical processes to IDS data collected by Sensors or Scanners in order to derive conclusions about potential or actual intrusions.
IDS/IPS	Intrusion Detection System/Intrusion Prevention System—a combination of Sensors, Scanners, and Analyzers that monitors an IT System for activity that may inappropriately affect the IT System or its resources, and that can react appropriately if such activity is detected.
IDS data	Refers both to raw data collected by the TOE and to the results of analysis applied by the TOE to that data.
IT System	A combination of computers, network infrastructure devices, cables, etc.
Scanner	A function of an IDS involving collection of static configuration information that might be indicative of the potential for a future intrusion or the occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System. Although the IDS extended components defined in this ST cater for specification of Scanner capabilities, the TOE operates as a Sensor rather than as a Scanner.
Sensor	A function of an IDS involving collection of real-time events that may be indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT System resources.

1.5 Abbreviations and Acronyms

The following abbreviations and acronyms are used in this document. A brief definition is provided for abbreviations that are potentially unfamiliar, are specific to the TOE, or not obviously self-explanatory.

AES	Advanced Encryption Standard
-----	------------------------------

AH	Authentication Header
CC	Common Criteria
CFast	A variant of the CompactFlash mass storage device format
CIDR	Classless Inter Domain Routing
CLI	Command Line Interface
CM	Configuration Management
CMOS	Complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor—a class of integrated circuits
DES	Digital Encryption Standard
DSA	Digital Signature Algorithm
FIPS	Federal Information Processing Standard
FPGA	Field Programmable Gate Array
GbE	Gigabit Ethernet
GPRS	General Packet Radio Service
GRE	Generic Routing Encapsulation
GTP	GPRS Tunneling Protocol
GUI	Graphical User Interface
HTTP	Hypertext Transfer Protocol
HTTPS	Hypertext Transfer Protocol Secure
ICMP	Internet Control Message Protocol
ID	Identity or Identification
IM	Instant Messaging
IP	Internet Protocol
IT	Information Technology
LC	Local Connector—a type of optical fiber connector
LCD	Liquid Crystal Display
LSM	Local Security Manager—HP TippingPoint name for the IPS device GUI
MAC	Media Access Control
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
P2P	Peer-to-Peer
PC	Personal Computer
POSIX	Portable Operating System Interface for Unix
QSFP	Quad Small Form-factor Pluggable—a compact, hot-pluggable transceiver used for data communications applications
RC2	Rivest Cipher 2—a block cipher designed by Ron Rivest
RC4	Rivest Cipher 4—a block cipher designed by Ron Rivest
RSA	Rivest-Shamir-Adleman—an asymmetric cryptographic algorithm
SAR	Security Assurance Requirement
SFP	Small Form-factor Pluggable—extractable optical or electrical transmitter/receiver module used in telecommunications
SFP	Security Function Policy
SFR	Security Functional Requirement
SMS	Security Management System—HP TippingPoint name for its architecture for managing multiple IPS devices.
SMTP	Simple Mail Transfer Protocol
SNMP	Simple Network Management Protocol
SSH	Secure Shell
SSL	Secure Sockets Layer
ST	Security Target
TCP	Transmission Control Protocol
TOE	Target of Evaluation
TOS	TippingPoint Operating System—the software component of the HP TippingPoint IPS device
TSE	Threat Suppression Engine—a logical component of the HP TippingPoint IPS device
TSF	TOE Security Functionality
TSFI	TSF Interface
UDP	User Datagram Protocol

USGv6 United States Government v6—technical infrastructure developed by NIST to support wide-scale adoption of IPv6 within the US government

ZPHA Zero Power High Availability

2. TOE Description

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is the HP TippingPoint Intrusion Prevention System (IPS) devices, comprising the S7500NX, S7100NX, S6200NX, S5200NX, S2600NX, S6100N, S5100N, S2500N, S1400N, and S660N model appliances running TippingPoint Operating System v3.8.0. The devices covered within the scope of the evaluation are network-based intrusion prevention system appliances that are deployed in-line between pairs of networks.

The remainder of this section provides an overview of the TOE and a description of the TOE, including a description of the physical and logical scope of the TOE.

2.1 TOE Overview

The HP TippingPoint IPS is a hardware-based intrusion prevention platform consisting of network processor technology and HP TippingPoint's own set of custom Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs). The TOE is a hardware and software appliance that contains all the functions needed for intrusion prevention, including Internet Protocol (IP) defragmentation, TCP flow reassembly, statistical analysis, traffic shaping, flow blocking, flow state tracking and application-layer parsing of network protocols.

The primary function of the TOE is to protect networks from intrusion attempts by scanning network traffic, detecting intrusion attempts, and reacting to detected intrusion attempts according to the *filters* and *action sets* with which the device is configured.

A filter comprises rules and conditions used by the TOE to detect and handle malicious network traffic. Each filter includes an action set that determines the TOE's response when network traffic matches a filter.

The TOE provides intrusion prevention for the network according to the number of network connections and hardware capabilities of the specific model. A single instance of the TOE can be installed at the perimeter of the network, at the network core, on the customer's Intranet, or in all three locations. HP TippingPoint IPS devices can secure up to 24 network segments depending upon traffic volumes and the load capacity of the model.

A network segment is the portion of a computer network in which computers can access each other using a data link layer protocol (e.g., in Ethernet, this would be the ability to send an Ethernet packet to others using their MAC addresses). The TOE is installed in a network such that all traffic to and from a group of hosts is mediated by the TOE. A segment uses two ports on the TOE and all traffic flows between connected networks through the TOE. Members of the segment are hosts connected to those ports.

A segment is protected when its traffic passes through a pair of ports and the TOE applies filters that are configured for that segment.

The TOE organizes filters into groups and categories of filter groups, based on the type of protection provided by the filter. The TOE defines the following categories and filter groups:

Application Protection Filters—defend against known exploits and exploits that may take advantage of known vulnerabilities targeting applications and operating systems. This category comprises the following groups:

- Exploits
- Identity Theft
- Reconnaissance
- Security Policy
- Spyware
- Virus
- Vulnerabilities

Infrastructure Protection Filters—protect network bandwidth and network infrastructure elements such as routers and firewalls from attack by using protocols and detecting statistical anomalies. This category comprises the following groups:

- Network Equipment
- Traffic Normalization

Performance Protection Filters—block or rate-limit traffic from applications that can consume excessive bandwidth, leaving network resources available for use by key applications. This category comprises the following groups:

- IM (Instant Messaging)
- P2P (Peer-to-Peer)
- Streaming Media.

Category settings are used to assign global configuration settings to filters in a filter group. For example, if there is no requirement to monitor P2P traffic, the category settings for the P2P filter group within the Performance Protection category can be set to disable these filters. Category settings comprise the following global parameters:

- State—determines whether filters within the filter group are enabled or disabled. If a filter group is disabled, all filters within the group are disabled
- Action Set—determines the action set that all filters within a group will execute when a filter match occurs.

It is also possible to override category settings on individual filters by editing the filter to define custom settings.

Each action set can include a set of recipients (termed “notification contacts”) to receive alerts when the device detects and responds to traffic. A notification contact can be: a remote syslog server; an email address; an SNMP server; or the TOE’s management GUI. The TOE also enables the administrator to set limits and exceptions for filters, which apply filters to specific IP addresses or exclude traffic from filtering based on source and destination IP addresses.

The TOE manages filter behavior using a mechanism known as Adaptive Filtering. This works by monitoring each filter and identifying any filter suspected of causing congestion. Any filter identified in this fashion is handled in one of two ways, depending on how global or filter-level Adaptive Filtering is configured:

- Automatic Mode—enables the TOE to automatically disable the identified filter and generate a system message
- Manual—enables the TOE to generate a system message regarding the identified filter. However, the filter is not disabled. Adaptive Filtering should be configured for this mode in the evaluated configuration to prevent filters being automatically disabled.

The TOE uses Security Profiles to define the traffic that it monitors and the filters that it applies. Traffic monitoring is based on incoming and outgoing port pairs. The default filtering configuration can be used to protect the segment or it can be customized as necessary. The segment specifies both the port and the traffic direction, allowing separate Security Profiles to be defined for traffic in and out of a port. The default Security Profile is set to ANY incoming ports and ANY outgoing ports, with all filters configured with their default settings (which could be to block or permit traffic).

In addition to IPS filters (which are also identified as ‘Digital Vaccine’ filters in the TOE documentation¹), the TOE provides Traffic Management Profiles that allow traffic management filters to be configured and applied to traffic on virtual segments. These allow the TOE to operate like a firewall.

The TOE supports IPv6 traffic inspection, and IPv6 options are available when configuring the Security Profile options. Most IPS filters are compatible with both IPv4 and IPv6 traffic. The host management port, default gateway, and management port routes can also be configured with IPv6 addresses.

¹ Digital Vaccine® is a registered trademark of TippingPoint Technologies, Inc. that refers to packages of filters developed by TippingPoint and supplied with the HP TippingPoint IPS devices.

The TOE enables inspection of a wide range of tunneled traffic, including:

- Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE)
- GTP (General Packet Radio Service (GPRS) Tunneling Protocol)
- Mobile IPv4 (IP-in-IP)
- IPv6, including 6-in-4, 4-in-6, and 6-in-6
- Tunnels up to 10 layers of tunneling or a header size of 256 bytes.

The NX devices included in the TOE also support inspection bypass rules for trusted traffic. Any network traffic matching an inspection bypass rule is transmitted through the TOE without further inspection, either by traffic management filters or IPS filters.

The TOE provides a Management Interface that enables authorized administrative users to access the security management capabilities of the TOE and to view IPS data and audit logs. Authorized administrative users are identified and authenticated by the TOE prior to gaining access to the TOE. The Management Interface provides two methods for accessing the TOE—a Command Line Interface (CLI) and a web-based Graphical User Interface (GUI) termed the Local Security Manager (LSM). The TOE supports secure access for both methods—SSHv2 for the CLI and HTTPS for the LSM.

The HP TippingPoint IPS documentation describes mechanisms (termed “High Availability”) intended to support continued flow of network traffic in the event of a system failure of the IPS device. These mechanisms are outside the scope of the evaluation. However, the administrator needs to be aware of the following aspects of these mechanisms, as they have implications for the secure operation of the TOE:

- Intrinsic Network High Availability—if the device detects certain failed conditions, it enters Layer-2 Fallback mode and either permits or blocks all traffic on each segment, depending on the Layer-2 Fallback configuration setting for the segment. If the segment’s Layer-2 Fallback mode is “permit”, all traffic on the segment passes through the device without inspection (i.e., the IPS capability of the device is disabled). In the evaluated configuration, the Layer-2 Fallback mode should be set to “block” on each segment
- Zero-Power High Availability—allows network traffic to continue flowing without inspection (i.e., the IPS capability of the device is disabled) if the device loses power. This mechanism should not be used in the evaluated configuration.

2.2 TOE Architecture

2.2.1 Deployment Architecture

The HP TippingPoint IPS is designed for network transparency. The HP TippingPoint IPS is deployed into the network to be monitored with no IP address or MAC address assigned, and immediately begins filtering unwanted traffic.

The HP TippingPoint IPS is installed such that traffic to internal hosts flows through the IPS. This is shown in Figure 1 as the “Sensing Interface”.

Each HP TippingPoint IPS device has two dedicated management interfaces—a 1GbE network port and an RJ-45 serial console port. These are represented in Figure 1 as the Management Interface. Administrators access the Management Interface using a web-based interface—the Local Security Manager (LSM)—or via a command line interface (CLI).

Once installed in the network, the TOE intercepts network packets as they pass through the TOE. These packets are inspected to determine whether they are legitimate or malicious. This determination is made based upon filters configured on the TOE.

The HP TippingPoint IPS also forms one component of the HP TippingPoint System, a suite of security products that also includes the Security Management System (SMS) Secure Server, SMS Management Client, and Core Controller. The SMS Secure Server is a hardware appliance that can be used to manage multiple HP TippingPoint IPS appliances. The SMS Management Client is a Java-based application that provides a management interface to

the SMS Secure Server. The Core Controller is a hardware appliance that can be used to balance traffic loads across multiple IPS appliances. These products are separately purchasable and are not required to support the operation of the HP TippingPoint IPS in its evaluated configuration. As such, none of these other products are included within the scope of this evaluation.




Figure 1: Deployment Scenario

2.2.2 Software Architecture

The TOE software, identified as the TippingPoint Operating System (TOS), comprises IPS-specific software developed by HP TippingPoint that runs on top of VxWorks v6.9.2, which is a real-time operating system made and sold by Wind River Systems. The TOE software comprises the following major components:

- Operating System (OS)
- Threat Suppression Engine (TSE)
- Management Interface.

The OS provides a set of support services to both the TSE and Management Interface. Amongst other functions, the OS provides services to utilize device hardware features (e.g., a reliable time stamping capability based upon a CMOS clock). More information regarding OS functions is given in section 2.2.2.1.

An administrator initiates a connection with the Management Interface using either the HTTPS or SSH protocol. Once identification and authentication have occurred, the administrator uses the Management Interface to configure the TOE based on the access level associated with the administrator's account.




Figure 2: TOE Architecture

2.2.2.1 Operating System

The OS component provides the basic execution environment for the IPS-specific software. The IPS-specific software relies on the following OS services:

- Boot processing and system initialization
- File system services
- Process scheduling services
- POSIX library implementation
- Network and other hardware device drivers
- Real time clock
- Network protocol implementations
- Email client.

The file system service provides a layer of abstraction between various data elements and any external interfaces. User authentication data (username and passwords) are stored in the file system and are not directly accessible from the Management Interface. Additionally, filter data that is used by the TSE is also stored in the file system and is not directly accessible from any external interface. The file system service is also used to store all audit data and to ensure that it is not directly accessible from any external interface.

The OS is supplied as part of the TOE and only contains trusted processes. There are no external capabilities to alter the function of the OS, or introduce any new processes.

2.2.2.2 Threat Suppression Engine

The main component of the IPS device is the Threat Suppression Engine (TSE), a custom engine designed to detect and block a range of attacks at wire speeds. The TSE is a “flow” based network security engine. Each packet is identified as a member of a flow. A flow can have one or more packets. Each flow is tracked in the “connection table”. A flow is uniquely identified by the port it was received on and its packet header information:

- IP protocol (ICMP, TCP, UDP)
- source IP address

- source ports (TCP or UDP)
- destination IP address
- destination ports (TCP or UDP).

The TSE reconstructs and inspects flow payloads by parsing the traffic at the application layer. As each new packet belonging to a flow arrives, the flow is re-evaluated for malicious content. When a flow is deemed malicious (by matching a configured filter), the current packet and all subsequent packets pertaining to the flow are handled according to the configured action (e.g., blocked). Once classified, each packet is inspected by the appropriate set of protocol and application filters. Out of the box, the IPS will identify flows in asymmetric mode—meaning the IPS only needs to see the transmit side or the receive side of a TCP connection (not both).

HP TippingPoint's Digital Vaccine Labs develop the filters that are included in the Digital Vaccine package provided as part of the TOE. The TOE provides the capability to update the Digital Vaccine package as new filters become available. Updated filters can be downloaded directly to the TOE appliance from Digital Vaccine Labs using a secure SSL tunnel. The filters are themselves encrypted and digitally signed. Use of this capability requires the management network be able to connect to the Internet.

The TSE provides the functionality of a Sensor and Analyzer (see Section 1.4 Glossary). When intrusions are detected, the TSE generates alarms, blocks flows and/or logs activity depending upon its configuration. Logged data is stored and protected by the OS file system services. Logs are managed such that when the available storage capacity is exhausted, the oldest log is overwritten.

Sensor Capabilities

The TSE is used to monitor network traffic. The traffic is inspected according to a set of predefined filters or signatures. When network traffic that matches a particular filter is sensed, this component informs the notification mechanism.

Analyzer Capabilities

The TOE performs statistical and signature-based analysis of the collected traffic against configured IPS filters. The TOE additionally decodes protocol headers to support reconstructing fragmented packets or flows. Once decoded, the TOE applies its filters to achieve desired protections for the protected network segments.

Within each analytical result, the following information is stored:

- Date and time of the result
- Type of result (message, policy ID, signature ID, and classification)
- Identification of data source
- Data destination
- Protocol
- Severity.

2.2.2.3 Management Interface

The TOE offers two methods for configuring, monitoring, and reporting on the IPS device. Both of these methods are accessible through the secure management network connection, which protects all data transferred between the TOE and the administrative user.

The Command Line Interface (CLI) is used to issue commands in the TippingPoint command language via a command line prompt.

The TippingPoint Local Security Manager (LSM) manages the IPS via a web-based graphical user interface.

To access the security functions, users must authenticate by logging into the Management Interface with a username and password. The username is used to identify the role of the user and the password to authenticate them. There are three roles that can be assigned to a user:

- Super-user—full access to the TOE. This role is able to manage the users of the TOE and to view/modify the configuration of the TOE and the logs
- Administrator—write access to the TOE. This role is able to view/modify the configuration of the TOE (with the exception of managing user accounts) and the logs (with the exception of selection of auditable events and viewing/clearing of the audit log)
- Operator—read-only access to the TOE. This role is able to view the system data logs (with the exception of audit logs) and configuration of the TOE, but is not permitted to modify any information other than his/her own password.

All security relevant and Management Interface actions are recorded in the Audit log. The Audit log records the command that was executed, the username of the user who performed an action, the interface from which the user logged in, such as the LSM or CLI, and a timestamp of when the action was performed. Storage services for the Audit log are provided by the operating system file system services. The Management Interface also provides a mechanism for administrators to review the contents of the audit trail.

2.2.3 Physical Boundaries

The physical boundary of the TOE is the HP TippingPoint IPS device (i.e., a S7500NX, S7100NX, S6200NX, S5200NX, S2600NX, S6100N, S5100N, S2500N, S1400N, or S660N model device).

The S6100N, S5100N, S2500N, S1400N and S660N models each comprise a single chassis that is rack-mountable on a 19 or 23 inch rack and takes up 2 rack units of space. Each device provides the following external interfaces:

- 10 1GbE copper ports paired into 5 1GbE segments
- 10 1GbE fiber ports paired into 5 1GbE segments
- 1 1GbE copper network management port
- 1 RJ-45 console port
- 1 interface for external Zero Power High Availability (ZPHA) device
- 1 Compact Flash drive that provides a facility to store TSF data (such as logs, traffic threshold history, persistent statistics, packet traces) for long term persistence or archiving purposes
- A Liquid Crystal Display (LCD) screen and associated keypad that can be used to initially configure the appliance and to subsequently display basic configuration information (Note: use of the LCD/keypad, either for initial configuration or for subsequent information display, is excluded from the scope of evaluation).

Additionally, the S6100N, S5100N and S2500N models each include 2 10GbE fiber ports paired into 1 10GbE segment.

The ports on the S6100N, S5100N, S2500N, S1400N and S660N devices associated with the data networks, through which monitored traffic flows, can be connected to either twisted pair (copper) networks or fiber optic networks. For twisted pair networks, the Copper Segments interface is used via RJ45 connectors. For fiber networks, Small Form-factor Pluggable (SFP) transceivers with Local Connector (LC) connectors are used. Both Single-Mode and Multi-Mode SFP fiber modules are supported. Once connected, network traffic on these interfaces can be monitored by the TOE.

The following traffic throughputs are supported across multiple copper and fiber segments for each N-Series model.

Model	Supported Throughput
TippingPoint S660N	Up to 750 Mbps
TippingPoint S1400N	Up to 1.5 Gbps

Model	Supported Throughput
TippingPoint S2500N	Up to 3 Gbps
TippingPoint S5100N	Up to 5 Gbps
TippingPoint S6100N	Up to 8 Gbps

The HP TippingPoint NX-Platform devices are rack-mountable on a 19- or 23-inch rack and accommodate up to four I/O modules, enabling the devices to be customized to suit the needs of the network in which they are deployed.

The following traffic throughputs are supported across multiple copper and fiber segments for each NX-Series model.

Model	Supported Throughput
TippingPoint S2600NX	Up to 3 Gbps
TippingPoint S5200NX	Up to 5 Gbps
TippingPoint S6200NX	Up to 10 Gbps
TippingPoint S7100NX	Up to 15 Gbps
TippingPoint S7500NX	Up to 20 Gbps

The NX devices support both standard I/O modules and bypass I/O modules for fiber and copper components. Note, however, that bypass I/O modules are excluded from the evaluation.

The following are the standard I/O modules supported by the NX devices in the evaluated configuration:

Module Name	Ports	Port Speed
HP 6-Segment Gig-T NX (Gig-T)	12 Copper	10/100/1000 Mbps
HP 6-Segment GbE SFP NX (SFP)	12 SFP	1 Gbps
HP 4-Segment 10GbE SFP+ NX (SFP+)	8 Fiber	10 Gbps
HP 1-Segment 40GbE QSFP+ NX (QSFP+)	2 Fiber	40 Gbps

Each NX device additionally provides the following external interfaces:

- 1 1GbE copper network management port
- 1 RJ-45 console port
- 1 CFast card slot that provides a facility to store TSF data (such as logs, traffic threshold history, persistent statistics, packet traces) for long term persistence or archiving purposes
- Status LEDs.

The network management port supports the connection of a management network hosting management client PCs and the following optional servers: syslog; SMTP; SNMP. The network management port presents the LSM (over HTTPS) and CLI (over SSHv2) administrative interfaces. Access to the LSM requires a browser on the management client PC—the most recent version of Internet Explorer or Firefox is recommended. The CLI requires an SSH client on the management client PC. Note that the LSM can also be accessed via HTTP and the CLI can be accessed via Telnet, but use of HTTP and Telnet over the management network is excluded from the evaluated configuration. The TOE's HTTP and Telnet servers are disabled by default and should not be enabled.

The TOE can be configured to send alarms (i.e., notifications of detected malicious network traffic) to the following external destination types: syslog server; email address (which requires an SMTP server); SNMP server. These alarms are in addition to the alarms the TOE writes to the IDS data logs.

The management network, management client PCs, optional servers, and all software on these clients and servers are in the operational environment of the TOE.

Additionally, a serial console port is provided to allow a local terminal to be connected. When connected, the CLI is available on the local terminal. Communication between the local terminal and the serial console port is not protected and so such connections should only be used when both the local terminal and the TOE are in the same physically secure location.

In summary, use of the TOE may require the following components in its operational environment:

- Serial terminal client, connected via the serial console port, to support local management of the TOE via the CLI
- Management client PCs, connected via the network management port, to support remote management of the TOE. The management client PC in turn requires:
 - A browser (latest version of Internet Explorer or Firefox recommended) to connect to the LSM; and/or
 - An SSHv2 client to connect to the CLI
- Syslog server, SMTP server, and/or SNMP server, connected via the network management port, to receive alarms.

2.2.4 Logical Boundaries

This section summarizes the security functions provided by HP TippingPoint IPS devices.

2.2.4.1 Security Audit

The TOE is able to generate auditable events for the basic level of audit. It provides Super-users with the ability to review audit records stored in the audit trail and prevents other administrative user roles from reviewing the audit data. Super-users are able to select auditable events to be audited, based on event type. The audit records are stored in the underlying file system, where they are protected from unauthorized modification and deletion. When the space available for audit storage is exhausted, the oldest 50% of audit records are deleted and an audit record to this effect is generated.

2.2.4.2 Identification and Authentication

The TOE identifies and authenticates all administrative users² of the TOE before granting them access to the TOE. The TOE associates a user identity, authentication data (password), and authorizations (or security role) with each user. The TOE enforces minimum requirements for the construction of user passwords and provides a mechanism to lock or disable a user account after a configured number of consecutive failed attempts to logon.

2.2.4.3 Intrusion Detection and Prevention

The TOE collects network traffic and subjects it to statistical and signature-based analysis, depending on configured IPS filters. If the analysis of collected network traffic indicates a potential intrusion attempt, an action set associated with the detecting filter is triggered. The action set determines if the traffic is permitted or blocked. If traffic is permitted, an alert will be written to the IDS data log (specifically, the Alert log). If traffic is blocked, writing an alert to the IPS data log (specifically, the Block log) is configurable—in the evaluated configuration, action sets that block traffic must also be configured to generate an alert. In addition to writing to the IDS data log, the TOE can generate alerts in the form of a notification to a syslog server, email address, or SNMP server. The TOE provides capabilities for the administrative users to review the IDS data logs. The TOE protects the IDS data logs from modification and deletion. When the space available for IDS data storage is exhausted, the oldest 50% of IDS data is deleted and an audit record to this effect is generated.

² That is, those users who access the TOE for administrative purposes via the network management port or console port. Since the TOE is invisible to users on the networks being monitored by the TOE, there is no concept of such users being able or required to identify or authenticate themselves to the TOE.

2.2.4.4 Traffic Management

The TOE can be configured to operate as a firewall, blocking or permitting network traffic based on protocol or IP address and port. Network traffic that is permitted based on traffic management filtering is still subject to IPS filtering, unless the traffic management filter is configured to allow traffic through the device without IPS filtering. On the NX models, inspection bypass rules can be configured that permit matching network traffic to pass through the TOE without being subject to either traffic management or IPS filters.

2.2.4.5 Security Management

The TOE defines three security management roles: Super-user; Administrator; and Operator. The TOE provides the security management functions to enable the administrative users to manage user accounts, audit data and audit configurations, security configuration data, traffic management filters, and IDS data collection, analysis, and reaction. The Super-user role has full access to all management functions and data. The Administrator role is restricted to managing IDS and traffic management filters and reviewing configuration and IDS data. The Operator role is restricted to reviewing configuration and IDS data.

2.2.4.6 TSF Protection

The TOE includes its own time source for providing reliable time stamps that are used in audit records and stored IDS data.

2.2.4.7 Trusted Path

The TOE provides a trusted path for remote administrative users of the TOE to communicate with the TOE. The trusted path is implemented over the network management port using HTTPS for access to the LSM and SSHv2 for access to the CLI. Remote users initiate the trusted path by establishing an HTTPS connection (using a supported web browser) or SSH session (using an SSH client). The trusted path is used for initial authentication and all subsequent administrative actions. The use of HTTPS or SSHv2 ensures all communication over the trusted path is protected from disclosure and undetected modification.

The TOE supports a FIPS mode of operation and, when configured in FIPS mode, will allow only FIPS 140-2 approved cryptographic algorithms to be used. Note the TOE is not required to operate in FIPS mode to be in the evaluated configuration—the choice to do so or not is left up to the customer.

2.2.5 Excluded Functionality

The following capabilities of the TOE are not included in the scope of the evaluation and no claims are made regarding them:

- High availability capabilities
- Remote authentication support
- DNS reputation remediation
- Login consent banner
- Uploading and use of X.509 certificates to support checking of client certificates when connecting to the LSM.

2.3 TOE Documentation

This section identifies the guidance documentation included in the TOE.

- *HP TippingPoint Version 3.8.0 IPS Command Line Interface Reference*, 5998-1404, February 2015
- *HP TippingPoint Version 3.8.0 Local Security Manager User's Guide*, 5998-1405, February 2015
- *HP TippingPoint Version 3.8.0 TippingPoint Operating System MIBs Guide*, 5998-1407, February 2015
- *HP TippingPoint Version 3.8.0 N-Platform Hardware Installation and Safety Guide*, 5900-2851, February 2015

- *HP TippingPoint Version 3.8.0 NX-Platform Hardware Installation and Safety Guide*, 5998-1403, February 2015
- *HP TippingPoint—TippingPoint Operating System (TOS) IPS Release Notes*, Version 3.8.0, February 2015

3. Security Problem Definition

This section defines the security problem to be addressed by the TOE, in terms of threats to be countered by the TOE or its operational environment, and assumptions about the intended operational environment of the TOE.

3.1 Assumptions

This section contains assumptions regarding the operational environment and the intended usage of the TOE.

A.ACCESS	The TOE has access to all the IT System data it needs to perform its functions.
A.PROTECT	The TOE hardware and software critical to security policy enforcement will be protected from unauthorized physical modification.
A.MANAGE	There will be one or more competent individuals assigned to manage the TOE and the security of the information it contains.
A.NOEVIL	The authorized administrators are not careless, willfully negligent, or hostile, and will follow and abide by the instructions provided by the TOE documentation.

3.2 Threats

The following are threats identified for the TOE and the IT System the TOE monitors.

T.COMPROMISE	An unauthorized user may attempt to compromise the confidentiality or integrity of the data collected and produced by the TOE by bypassing a security mechanism.
T.LOSSOF	An unauthorized user may attempt to remove or destroy data collected and produced by the TOE.
T.PRIVILEGE	An unauthorized user may gain access to the TOE and exploit system privileges to gain access to TOE security functions and data.
T.CONFIG	An unauthorized user may inappropriately change the configuration of the TOE causing potential intrusions to go undetected.
T.INFLUX	An unauthorized user may cause malfunction of the TOE by creating an influx of data that the TOE cannot handle.
T.ACCOUNT	Unauthorized attempts to access TOE data or security functions may go undetected.
T.MISUSE	Unauthorized accesses and activity indicative of misuse may occur on an IT System the TOE monitors.
T.ACCIDENT	Inadvertent activity and access may occur on an IT System the TOE monitors.
T.MALICE	Malicious activity, such as introductions of Trojan horses and viruses, may occur on an IT System the TOE monitors.

4. Security Objectives

This section identifies the security objectives of the TOE and its operational environment. The security objectives identify the responsibilities of the TOE and its environment in addressing the security problem defined in Section 3.

4.1 Security Objectives for the TOE

The following are the TOE security objectives:

O.SENSOR	The TOE shall collect IDS data in the form of network packets flowing between network segments to which it is connected.
O.ANALYZER	The TOE shall analyze collected IDS data in order to identify intrusion attempts and shall be able to record the results of its analysis.
O.RESPONSE	The TOE shall respond to intrusion attempts it identifies based on its configuration.
O.REVIEW	The TOE shall provide capabilities for effective review of stored IDS data.
O.ADMIN	The TOE shall include capabilities to enable effective management of its functions and data.
O.ACCESS	The TOE shall restrict access to its management capabilities based on the authorization level it assigns to identified and authenticated users.
O.I&A	The TOE shall identify and authenticate users prior to allowing access to its functions and data.
O.AUDIT	The TOE shall generate audit records of security relevant events and provide capabilities for effective review of stored audit records.
O.INTEGRITY	The TOE shall protect stored audit records and IDS data from unauthorized modification or deletion.
O.STORAGE	The TOE shall provide capabilities to automatically manage stored audit records and IDS data in the event that available storage space is exhausted.
O.TRAFFIC	The TOE shall provide a capability to filter network traffic based on combinations of protocol, IP address and port.

4.2 Security Objectives for the Operational Environment

The following are the security objectives for the operational environment of the TOE:

OE.INSTALL	Those responsible for the TOE must ensure that the TOE is delivered, installed, managed, and operated in accordance with its supplied guidance documentation and in a manner which is consistent with IT security.
OE.PHYSICAL	Those responsible for the TOE must ensure that those parts of the TOE critical to security policy are protected from any physical attack.
OE.PERSONNEL	Those responsible for the TOE must ensure that personnel working as authorized administrators have been carefully selected and trained for proper operation of the TOE.
OE.CONFID	Those responsible for the TOE must ensure the operational environment provides capabilities to protect the confidentiality of data communicated by the administrative users to the TOE.

5. IT Security Requirements

5.1 Extended Components Definition

5.1.1 Intrusion Detection System (IDS)

This ST defines a new functional class for use within this ST: Intrusion Detection System (IDS). This family of IDS requirements was created to specifically address the data collected and analyzed by an IDS. The audit family of the CC (FAU) was used as a model for creating these requirements. The purpose of this family of requirements is to address the unique nature of IDS data and specify requirements for collecting, analyzing and reviewing IDS data.

5.1.1.1 IDS Data Collection (IDS_SDC_EXT)

This family defines requirements for being able to collect IDS data from targeted IT resources. It specifies requirements for both a Sensor capability and a Scanner capability.

Management: IDS_SDC_EXT.1

The following actions could be considered for the management functions in FMT:

- a) maintenance of the parameters that control IDS data collection.

Audit: IDS_SDC_EXT.1

There are no auditable events foreseen.

IDS_SDC_EXT.1: IDS data collection

Hierarchical to: No other components.

Dependencies: None

IDS_SDC_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall be able to collect the following information from targeted IT System resource(s):

- a) **[selection: Start-up and shutdown, identification and authentication events, data accesses, service requests, network traffic, security configuration changes, data introduction, detected malicious code, access control configuration, service configuration, authentication configuration, accountability policy configuration, detected known vulnerabilities];** and
- b) **[assignment: other specifically defined events].**

***Application Note:** The ST will define the IDS capabilities of the TOE. This requirement indicates that the TOE must support at least a Sensor capability or a Scanner capability, by requiring the TOE be able to collect information pertaining to at least one of the selections in bullet a above. A Sensor would generally collect information pertaining to the following events in bullet a: start-up and shutdown, identification and authentication events, data accesses, service requests, network traffic, security configuration changes, and data introduction. The Scanner would generally collect static configuration information which include the following events in bullet a: detected malicious code, access control configuration, service configuration, authentication configuration, accountability policy configuration, and detected known vulnerabilities. Malicious code includes viruses, worms, simple Trojan horses, etc. Access control configuration includes access control lists, search for writeable files and directories, etc. Service configuration includes identification of network services and/or associated network ports, host services, versions of services, protocols acknowledged by services, etc. Authentication configuration includes cracking passwords, configuration settings (e.g., minimum password length, duration between allowed and required password changes), acceptable authentication means (e.g., NTLM, kerberos), defined guest accounts, account authorisations, etc. Accountability policy configuration includes size of audit trails, whether audit is enabled, what to do when the audit trail fills, etc. Known vulnerabilities is*

fairly open ended, but may include installed patches, checks for common or default configuration errors, etc.

- IDS_SDC_EXT.1.2** At a minimum, the TSF shall collect and record the following information:
- a) Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity, and the outcome (success or failure) of the event; and
 - b) The additional information specified in the *Details* column of the following table.

Event	Details
Start-up and shutdown	None
Identification and authentication events	User identity, location, source address, destination address
Data accesses	Object identifier, requested access, source address, destination address
Service requests	Specific service, source address, destination address
Network traffic	Protocol, source address, destination address
Security configuration changes	Source address, destination address
Data introduction	Object identifier, location of object, source address, destination address
Detected malicious code	Location, identification of code
Access control configuration	Location, access settings
Service configuration	Service identification (name or port), interface, protocols
Authentication configuration	Account names for cracked passwords, account policy parameters
Accountability policy configuration	Accountability policy configuration parameters
Detected known vulnerabilities	Identification of the known vulnerability

Application Note: In the case where a Sensor is collecting host-based events, for the identification and authentication event, the source address could be a subject IDS on a local machine and the destination is defined by default. For the data access and data introduction events, the source address could be filename and the destination address may be target location for the file.

5.1.1.2 IDS Analyzer (IDS_ANL_EXT)

This family defines requirements for being able to analyze collected IDS data.

Management: IDS_ANL_EXT.1

The following actions could be considered for the management functions in FMT:

- b) maintenance of the parameters that control IDS data analysis.

Audit: IDS_ANL_EXT.1

There are no auditable events foreseen.

IDS_ANL_EXT.1: Analyzer analysis

Hierarchical to: No other components.

Dependencies: IDS_SDC_EXT.1

IDS_ANL_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall perform the following analysis function(s) on all IDS data received:

- a) [selection: **statistical, signature, integrity**]; and
- b) [assignment: **other analytical functions**].

Application Note: Statistical analysis involves identifying deviations from normal patterns of behavior. For example, it may involve mean frequencies and measures of variability to identify abnormal usage. Signature analysis involves the use of patterns corresponding to known attacks

or misuses of a System. For example, patterns of System settings and user activity can be compared against a database of known attacks. Integrity analysis involves comparing System settings or user activity at some point in time with those of another point in time to detect differences.

- IDS_ANL_EXT.1.2** The TSF shall record within each analytical result at least the following information:
- Date and time of the result, type of result, identification of data source; and
 - [assignment: other security relevant information about the result]**.

Application Note: The analytical conclusions drawn by the analyser should both describe the conclusion and identify the information used to reach the conclusion.

5.1.1.3 Intrusion Reaction (IDS_RCT_EXT)

This family defines requirements for being able to react to the results of IDS data analysis.

Management: IDS_RCT_EXT.1

The following actions could be considered for the management functions in FMT:

- maintenance of the parameters that control IDS reaction.

Audit: IDS_RCT_EXT.1

There are no auditable events foreseen.

IDS_RCT_EXT.1: Analyzer reaction

Hierarchical to: No other components.

Dependencies: IDS_ANL_EXT.1

- IDS_RCT_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall send an alarm to **[assignment: alarm destination]** and take **[assignment: appropriate actions]** when an intrusion is detected.

Application Note: There must be an alarm, though the ST should refine the nature of the alarm and define its target (e.g., administrator console, audit log). The Analyzer function may optionally perform other actions when intrusions are detected; these actions should be defined in the ST. An intrusion in this requirement applies to any conclusions reached by the Analyzer function related to past, present, and future intrusions or intrusion potential.

5.1.1.4 IDS Data Review (IDS_RDR_EXT)

This family defines requirements for reviewing IDS data and restricting access to IDS data.

Management: IDS_RDR_EXT.1

The following actions could be considered for the management functions in FMT:

- maintenance of the group of users with read access rights to the IDS data.

Audit: IDS_RDR_EXT.1

There are no auditable events foreseen.

IDS_RDR_EXT.1: Restricted data review

Hierarchical to: No other components.

Dependencies: IDS_SDC_EXT.1, IDS_ANL_EXT.1

- IDS_RDR_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall provide **[assignment: authorized users]** with the capability to read **[assignment: list of IDS data]** from the IDS data.

- IDS_RDR_EXT.1.2** The TSF shall provide the IDS data in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the information.

- IDS_RDR_EXT.1.3** The TSF shall prohibit all users read access to the IDS data, except those users that have been granted explicit read access.

***Application Note:** This requirement applies to authorized users of the TOE. The requirement is left open for the writers of the ST to define which authorized users may access what IDS data.*

5.1.1.5 IDS Data Storage (IDS_STG_EXT)

This family defines requirements for securely storing IDS data.

Management: IDS_STG_EXT.1, IDS_STG_EXT.2

There are no management actions foreseen.

Audit: IDS_STG_EXT.1, IDS_STG_EXT.2

There are no auditable events foreseen.

IDS_STG_EXT.1: Guarantee of IDS data availability

Hierarchical to: No other components.

Dependencies: IDS_SDC_EXT.1, IDS_ANL_EXT.1

IDS_STG_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall protect the stored IDS data from unauthorized deletion.

IDS_STG_EXT.1.2 The TSF shall protect the stored IDS data from modification.

***Application Note:** Authorized deletion of data is not considered a modification of IDS data in this context. This requirement applies to the actual content of the IDS data, which should be protected from any modifications.*

IDS_STG_EXT.1.3 The TSF shall ensure that [**assignment: metric for saving IDS data**] IDS data will be maintained when the following conditions occur: [**selection: IDS data storage exhaustion, failure, attack**].

***Application Note:** The ST needs to define the amount of IDS data that could be lost under the identified scenarios.*

IDS_STG_EXT.2: Prevention of IDS data loss

Hierarchical to: No other components.

Dependencies: IDS_STG_EXT.1

IDS_STG_EXT.2.1 The TSF shall [**selection: ignore IDS data, overwrite the oldest stored IDS data**] and send an alarm if the storage capacity has been reached.

***Application Note:** The ST must define what actions the TOE takes if the storage capacity has been reached. Anything that causes the TOE to stop collecting and analyzing IDS data may not be the best solution, as this will only affect the TOE and not the IT resource(s) the TOE is monitoring, leaving those resources potentially open to intrusion.*

5.2 TOE Security Functional Requirements

This section specifies the security functional requirements (SFRs) for the TOE. SFRs were drawn from Part 2 of the Common Criteria v3.1 Revision 4, and from the extended components defined in Section 5.1 above.

Requirement Class	Requirement Component
FAU: Security Audit	FAU_GEN.1: Audit data generation
	FAU_SAR.1: Audit review
	FAU_SAR.2: Restricted audit review
	FAU_SAR.3: Selectable audit review
	FAU_SEL.1: Selective audit
	FAU_STG.2: Guarantees of audit data availability
	FAU_STG.4: Prevention of audit data loss
FDP: User Data Protection	FDP_IFC.1: Subset information flow control
	FDP_IFF.1: Simple security attributes
FIA: Identification and Authentication	FIA_AFL.1: Authentication failure handling
	FIA_ATD.1: User attribute definition
	FIA_SOS.1: Verification of secrets
	FIA_UAU.2: User authentication before any action
	FIA_UID.2: User identification before any action
FMT: Security Management	FMT_MOF.1: Management of security functions behavior
	FMT_MTD.1: Management of TSF data
	FMT_SMF.1: Specification of Management Functions
	FMT_SMR.1: Security roles
FPT: Protection of the TOE Security Functions	FPT_STM.1: Reliable time stamps
FTP: Trusted path/channels	FTP_TRP.1: Trusted path
IDS: IDS Component requirements	IDS_ANL_EXT.1: Analyzer analysis
	IDS_RCT_EXT.1: Analyzer reaction
	IDS_RDR_EXT.1: Restricted data review
	IDS_SDC_EXT.1: IDS data collection
	IDS_STG_EXT.1: Guarantee of IDS data availability
	IDS_STG_EXT.2: Prevention of IDS data loss

Table 1: TOE Security Functional Components

5.2.1 Security Audit (FAU)

5.2.1.1 Audit Data Generation (FAU_GEN.1)

FAU_GEN.1.1 The TSF shall be able to generate an audit record of the following auditable events:

- a) Start-up and shutdown of the audit functions;
- b) All auditable events for the [*basic*] level of audit; and

c) [no other specifically defined auditable events].

- FAU_GEN.1.2** The TSF shall record within each audit record at least the following information:
- a) Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity (if applicable), and the outcome (success or failure) of the event; and
 - b) For each audit event type, based on the auditable event definitions of the functional components included in the PP/ST, [none].

Component	Auditable Event
FAU_GEN.1	Start-up and shutdown of audit functions
FAU_SAR.1	Reading of information from the audit records
FAU_SAR.2	Unsuccessful attempts to read information from the audit records
FAU_SEL.1	All modifications to the audit configuration that occur while the audit collection functions are operating
FAU_STG.4	Actions taken due to the audit storage failure.
FDP_IFF.1	All decisions on requests for information flow
FIA_AFL.1	Reaching of the threshold for the unsuccessful authentication attempts and the actions taken and the subsequent restoration to the normal state.
FIA_SOS.1	Rejection or acceptance by the TSF of any tested secret
FIA_UAU.2	All use of the authentication mechanism
FIA_UID.2	All use of the user identification mechanism, including the user identity provided.
FMT_MOF.1	All modifications in the behavior of the functions of the TSF
FMT_MTD.1	All modifications to the values of TSF data
FMT_SMF.1	Use of the management functions.
FMT_SMR.1	Modifications to the group of users that are part of a role
FPT_STM.1	Changes to the time
FPT_TRP.1	All attempted uses of the trusted path functions, to include identification of the user associated with all trusted path invocations, if available.

Table 2: Auditable Events

5.2.1.2 Audit Review (FAU_SAR.1)

FAU_SAR.1.1 The TSF shall provide [**the Super-user role**] with the capability to read [**all auditable events that are recorded**] from the audit records.

FAU_SAR.1.2 The TSF shall provide the audit records in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the information.

5.2.1.3 Restricted Audit Review (FAU_SAR.2)

FAU_SAR.2.1 The TSF shall prohibit all users read access to the audit records, except those users that have been granted explicit read-access.

5.2.1.4 Selectable Audit Review (FAU_SAR.3)

FAU_SAR.3.1 The TSF shall provide the ability to apply [**sorting**] of audit data based on [**date and time, subject identity, type of event, and success or failure of related event**].

5.2.1.5 Selective Audit (FAU_SEL.1)

FAU_SEL.1.1 The TSF shall be able to select the set of events to be audited from the set of all auditable events based on the following attributes:

- a) [*event type*]
- b) [**no additional attributes**].

5.2.1.6 Guarantees of Audit Data Availability (FAU_STG.2)

FAU_STG.2.1 The TSF shall protect the stored audit records in the audit trail from unauthorised deletion.

FAU_STG.2.2 The TSF shall be able to [*prevent*] unauthorised modifications to the stored audit records in the audit trail.

FAU_STG.2.3 The TSF shall ensure that [**the most recent 50% of**] stored audit records will be maintained when the following conditions occur: [*audit storage exhaustion*].

5.2.1.7 Prevention of Audit Data Loss (FAU_STG.4)

FAU_STG.4.1 The TSF shall [*overwrite the oldest stored audit records*] and [**send an alarm**] if the audit trail is full.

5.2.2 User Data Protection (FDP)

5.2.2.1 Subset Information Flow Control (FDP_IFC.1)

FDP_IFC.1.1 The TSF shall enforce the [**Traffic Management SFP**] on [

- **subjects: unauthenticated external IT entities that send and receive information through the TOE to one another;**
- **information: traffic sent through the TOE from one subject to another;**
- **operation: pass information**].

5.2.2.2 Simple Security Attributes (FDP_IFF.1)

FDP_IFF.1.1 The TSF shall enforce the [**Traffic Management SFP**] based on the following types of subject and information security attributes: [

- **Subject security attributes: presumed IP address**
- **Information security attributes: protocol, source IP address, source port, destination IP address, destination port**].

FDP_IFF.1.2 The TSF shall permit an information flow between a controlled subject and controlled information via a controlled operation if the following rules hold: [**if all the information security attribute values match the rules in a traffic filter, the TSF shall enforce the action configured for the filter, where the possible actions are:**

- **Block: traffic that triggers the filter is denied**
- **Allow: allows traffic that meets the filter criteria; this traffic is then subjected to IPS filtering**
- **Rate Limit: rate limits traffic that meets the filter criteria; this traffic is then subjected to IPS filtering**
- **Trust: allows traffic that meets the filter criteria through the TOE without being subjected to IPS filtering.**

Otherwise, the traffic is subjected to IPS filtering].

FDP_IFF.1.3 The TSF shall enforce the [**no additional rules**].

FDP_IFF.1.4 The TSF shall explicitly authorise an information flow based on the following rules: [**All the information security attribute values match an inspection bypass rule**].

FDP_IFF.1.5 The TSF shall explicitly deny an information flow based on the following rules: [**none**].

Application Note: Note that the explicit authorization rule specified by FDP_IFF.1.4 is implemented only on the NX models of the TOE.

5.2.3 Identification and Authentication (FIA)

5.2.3.1 Authentication Failure Handling (FIA_AFL.1)

FIA_AFL.1.1 The TSF shall detect when [*an administrator configurable positive integer within [1..10]*] unsuccessful authentication attempts occur related to [**user login**].

FIA_AFL.1.2 When the defined number of unsuccessful authentication attempts has been [*met*], the TSF shall [**perform the configured Failed Login Action, which can be one of the following:**

- **Lock the account for a configured Lockout Period**
- **Disable the account**
- **Generate an audit event documenting the failed login attempt**].

5.2.3.2 User Attribute Definition (FIA_ATD.1)

FIA_ATD.1.1 The TSF shall maintain the following list of security attributes belonging to individual users: [

- **User identity**
- **Authentication data**
- **Access level (role)**].

5.2.3.3 Verification of Secrets (FIA_SOS.1)

FIA_SOS.1.1 The TSF shall provide a mechanism to verify that secrets meet [**the following requirements:**

- **passwords must be at least 8 characters long**
- **passwords must contain at least two alphabetic characters**
- **passwords must contain at least one numeric character**
- **passwords must contain at least one non-alphanumeric character**].

5.2.3.4 User Authentication before any Action (FIA_UAU.2)

FIA_UAU.2.1 The TSF shall require each user to be successfully authenticated before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.

5.2.3.5 User Identification before any Action (FIA_UID.2)

FIA_UID.2.1 The TSF shall require each user to be successfully identified before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.

5.2.4 Security Management (FMT)

5.2.4.1 Management of Security Functions Behavior (FMT_MOF.1)

FMT_MOF.1.1 The TSF shall restrict the ability to [*modify the behaviour of*] the functions [**of IDS data collection, analysis and reaction**] to [**the Super-user and Administrator role**].

5.2.4.2 Management of TSF Data (FMT_MTD.1)

FMT_MTD.1.1(1) The TSF shall restrict the ability to [*modify*] the [

- **Failed Login Action**
 - **Security Level**
 - **Other users' passwords**
 - **System time**
-] to [**Super-user**].

FMT_MTD.1.1(2) The TSF shall restrict the ability to [*modify, delete, [create]*] the [**User accounts**] to [**Super-user**].

FMT_MTD.1.1(3) The TSF shall restrict the ability to [*modify, delete, [create]*] the [

- **Traffic management filters**
- **Notification contacts**

- **Inspection bypass rules**
] to [Super-user, Administrator].

FMT_MTD.1.1(4) The TSF shall restrict the ability to [*modify*] the [set of audited events] to [Super-user, Administrator].

FMT_MTD.1.1(5) The TSF shall restrict the ability to [*clear*] the [audit trail] to [Super-user].

FMT_MTD.1.1(6) The TSF shall restrict the ability to [*clear*] the [IDS data] to [Super-user, Administrator].

5.2.4.3 Specification of Management Functions (FMT_SMF.1)

FMT_SMF.1.1 The TSF shall be capable of performing the following management functions: [

- **Modify the set of audited events**
- **Modify the Failed Login Action**
- **Modify the Security Level**
- **Modify user passwords**
- **Modify system time**
- **Create, modify and delete notification contacts**
- **Create, modify and delete user accounts**
- **Create, modify and delete traffic management filters**
- **Create, modify and delete inspection bypass rules (NX models only)**
- **Clear the audit trail and IDS data**
- **Modify the behavior of the IDS data collection, analysis and reaction functions].**

5.2.4.4 Security Roles (FMT_SMR.1)

FMT_SMR.1.1 The TSF shall maintain the roles [Super-user, Administrator, Operator].

FMT_SMR.1.2 The TSF shall be able to associate users with roles.

5.2.5 Protection of the TOE Security Functions (FPT)

5.2.5.1 Reliable Time Stamps (FPT_STM.1)

FPT_STM.1.1 The TSF shall be able to provide reliable time stamps.

5.2.6 Trusted Path/Channels (FTP)

5.2.6.1 Trusted Path (FTP_TRP.1)

FTP_TRP.1.1 The TSF shall provide a communication path between itself and [*remote*] users that is logically distinct from other communication paths and provides assured identification of its end points and protection of the communicated data from [*disclosure, [undetected modification]*].

FTP_TRP.1.2 The TSF shall permit [*remote users*] to initiate communication via the trusted path.

FTP_TRP.1.3 The TSF shall require the use of the trusted path for [*initial user authentication, [all remote administrative actions]*].

5.2.7 Intrusion Detection System (IDS)

5.2.7.1 Analyzer Analysis (IDS_ANL_EXT.1)

IDS_ANL_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall perform the following analysis function(s) on all IDS data received:

- a) [*statistical, signature*]; and
- b) [**no other functions**].

IDS_ANL_EXT.1.2 The TSF shall record within each analytical result at least the following information:

- a) Date and time of the result, type of result, identification of data source; and,
- b) [**Data destination, protocol, and severity**].

5.2.7.2 Analyzer reaction (IDS_RCT_EXT.1)

IDS_RCT_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall send an alarm to [the IDS data log and the notification contacts configured for the filter triggered by the network traffic] and take [the action configured for the filter triggered by the network traffic, which can be to:

- Block the network traffic
- Permit the network traffic

] when an intrusion is detected.

Application Note: If traffic is permitted, an alert will be written to the IDS data log. If traffic is blocked, writing an alert to the IDS data log is configurable. In the evaluated configuration, action sets that block traffic must also be configured to generate an alert.

5.2.7.3 Restricted Data Review (IDS_RDR_EXT.1)

IDS_RDR_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall provide [the Super-user, Administrator and Operator roles] with the capability to read [all IDS data] from the IDS data.

IDS_RDR_EXT.1.2 The TSF shall provide the IDS data in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the information.

IDS_RDR_EXT.1.3 The TSF shall prohibit all users read access to the IDS data, except those users that have been granted explicit read access.

5.2.7.4 IDS Data Collection (IDS_SDC_EXT.1)

IDS_SDC_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall be able to collect the following information from the targeted IT System resource(s):

- a) [network traffic]; and
- b) [no additional events].

IDS_SDC_EXT.1.2 At a minimum, the TSF shall collect and record the following information:

- a) Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity, and the outcome (success or failure) of the event; and
- b) The additional information specified in the *Details* column of the following table.

Event	Details
Network traffic	Protocol, source address, destination address

Table 3: IDS Events

Application Note: The TOE can collect all network traffic on each configured network segment, for subsequent analysis as specified by IDS_ANL_EXT.1. However, the TOE will not collect for analysis any network traffic that has been Blocked or Trusted by the application of traffic management filters as specified in FDP_IFF.1, since traffic management filters are applied to network traffic before IPS filters. The TOE retains collected network traffic for as long as it requires to complete its analysis, after which the network traffic is allowed to pass through the TOE or is discarded, based on the action set associated with the triggered IPS filter (if any).

5.2.7.5 Guarantee of IDS Data Availability (IDS_STG_EXT.1)

IDS_STG_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall protect the stored IDS data from unauthorized deletion.

IDS_STG_EXT.1.2 The TSF shall protect the stored IDS data from modification.

IDS_STG_EXT.1.3 The TSF shall ensure that [the most recent 50% of the] IDS data will be maintained when the following conditions occur: [IDS data storage exhaustion].

5.2.7.6 Prevention of IDS Data Loss (IDS_STG_EXT.2)

IDS_STG_EXT.2.1 The TSF shall [overwrite the oldest stored IDS data] and send an alarm if the storage capacity has been reached.

5.3 TOE Security Assurance Requirements

The security assurance requirements for the TOE are the EAL 3 augmented with ALC_FLR.2 components as specified in Part 3 of the Common Criteria. No operations are applied to the assurance components.

Requirement Class	Requirement Component
ADV: Development	ADV_ARC.1: Security architecture description
	ADV_FSP.3: Functional specification with complete summary
	ADV_TDS.2: Architectural design
AGD: Guidance documents	AGD_OPE.1: Operational user guidance
	AGD_PRE.1: Preparative procedures
ALC: Life-cycle support	ALC_CMC.3: Authorisation controls
	ALC_CMS.3: Implementation representation CM coverage
	ALC_DEL.1: Delivery procedures
	ALC_DVS.1: Identification of security measures
	ALC_FLR.2: Flaw reporting procedures
	ALC_LCD.1: Developer defined life-cycle model
ASE: Security Target evaluation	ASE_INT.1: ST introduction
	ASE_CCL.1: Conformance claims
	ASE_SPD.1: Security problem definition
	ASE_OBJ.2: Security objectives
	ASE_ECD.1: Extended components definition
	ASE_REQ.2: Derived security requirements
	ASE_TSS.1: TOE summary specification
ATE: Tests	ATE_COV.2: Analysis of coverage
	ATE_DPT.1: Testing: basic design
	ATE_FUN.1: Functional testing
	ATE_IND.2: Independent testing - sample
AVA: Vulnerability assessment	AVA_VAN.2: Vulnerability analysis

Table 4: EAL 3 augmented with ALC_FLR.2 Assurance Components

5.3.1 Development (ADV)

5.3.1.1 Security Architecture Description (ADV_ARC.1)

- ADV_ARC.1.1D** The developer shall design and implement the TOE so that the security features of the TSF cannot be bypassed.
- ADV_ARC.1.2D** The developer shall design and implement the TSF so that it is able to protect itself from tampering by untrusted active entities.
- ADV_ARC.1.3D** The developer shall provide a security architecture description of the TSF.
- ADV_ARC.1.1C** The security architecture description shall be at a level of detail commensurate with the description of the SFR-enforcing abstractions described in the TOE design document.

- ADV_ARC.1.2C** The security architecture description shall describe the security domains maintained by the TSF consistently with the SFRs.
- ADV_ARC.1.3C** The security architecture description shall describe how the TSF initialisation process is secure.
- ADV_ARC.1.4C** The security architecture description shall demonstrate that the TSF protects itself from tampering.
- ADV_ARC.1.5C** The security architecture description shall demonstrate that the TSF prevents bypass of the SFR-enforcing functionality.
- ADV_ARC.1.1E** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.1.2 Functional Specification with Complete Summary (ADV_FSP.3)

- ADV_FSP.3.1D** The developer shall provide a functional specification.
- ADV_FSP.3.2D** The developer shall provide a tracing from the functional specification to the SFRs.
- ADV_FSP.3.1C** The functional specification shall completely represent the TSF.
- ADV_FSP.3.2C** The functional specification shall describe the purpose and method of use for all TSFI.
- ADV_FSP.3.3C** The functional specification shall identify and describe all parameters associated with each TSFI.
- ADV_FSP.3.4C** For each SFR-enforcing TSFI, the functional specification shall describe the SFR-enforcing actions associated with the TSFI.
- ADV_FSP.3.5C** For each SFR-enforcing TSFI, the functional specification shall describe direct error messages resulting from SFR-enforcing actions and exceptions associated with invocation of the TSFI.
- ADV_FSP.3.6C** The functional specification shall summarise the SFR-supporting and SFR-non-interfering actions associated with each TSFI.
- ADV_FSP.3.7C** The tracing shall demonstrate that the SFRs trace to TSFIs in the functional specification.
- ADV_FSP.3.1E** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
- ADV_FSP.3.2E** The evaluator shall determine that the functional specification is an accurate and complete instantiation of the SFRs.

5.3.1.3 Architectural Design (ADV_TDS.2)

- ADV_TDS.2.1D** The developer shall provide the design of the TOE.
- ADV_TDS.2.2D** The developer shall provide a mapping from the TSFI of the functional specification to the lowest level of decomposition available in the TOE design.
- ADV_TDS.2.1C** The design shall describe the structure of the TOE in terms of subsystems.
- ADV_TDS.2.2C** The design shall identify all subsystems of the TSF.
- ADV_TDS.2.3C** The design shall describe the behaviour of each SFR non-interfering subsystem of the TSF in detail sufficient to determine that it is SFR non-interfering.
- ADV_TDS.2.4C** The design shall describe the SFR-enforcing behaviour of the SFR-enforcing subsystems.
- ADV_TDS.2.5C** The design shall summarise the SFR-supporting and SFR-non-interfering behaviour of the SFR-enforcing subsystems.
- ADV_TDS.2.6C** The design shall summarise the behaviour of the SFR-supporting subsystems.
- ADV_TDS.2.7C** The design shall provide a description of the interactions among all subsystems of the TSF.
- ADV_TDS.2.8C** The mapping shall demonstrate that all TSFIs trace to the behaviour described in the TOE design that they invoke.
- ADV_TDS.2.1E** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

ADV_TDS.2.2E The evaluator shall determine that the design is an accurate and complete instantiation of all security functional requirements.

5.3.2 Guidance Documents (AGD)

5.3.2.1 Operational User Guidance (AGD_OPE.1)

- AGD_OPE.1.1D** The developer shall provide operational user guidance.
- AGD_OPE.1.1C** The operational user guidance shall describe, for each user role, the user-accessible functions and privileges that should be controlled in a secure processing environment, including appropriate warnings.
- AGD_OPE.1.2C** The operational user guidance shall describe, for each user role, how to use the available interfaces provided by the TOE in a secure manner.
- AGD_OPE.1.3C** The operational user guidance shall describe, for each user role, the available functions and interfaces, in particular all security parameters under the control of the user, indicating secure values as appropriate.
- AGD_OPE.1.4C** The operational user guidance shall, for each user role, clearly present each type of security-relevant event relative to the user-accessible functions that need to be performed, including changing the security characteristics of entities under the control of the TSF.
- AGD_OPE.1.5C** The operational user guidance shall identify all possible modes of operation of the TOE (including operation following failure or operational error), their consequences and implications for maintaining secure operation.
- AGD_OPE.1.6C** The operational user guidance shall, for each user role, describe the security measures to be followed in order to fulfil the security objectives for the operational environment as described in the ST.
- AGD_OPE.1.7C** The operational user guidance shall be clear and reasonable.
- AGD_OPE.1.1E** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.2.2 Preparative Procedures (AGD_PRE.1)

- AGD_PRE.1.1D** The developer shall provide the TOE including its preparative procedures.
- AGD_PRE.1.1C** The preparative procedures shall describe all the steps necessary for secure acceptance of the delivered TOE in accordance with the developer's delivery procedures.
- AGD_PRE.1.2C** The preparative procedures shall describe all the steps necessary for secure installation of the TOE and for the secure preparation of the operational environment in accordance with the security objectives for the operational environment as described in the ST.
- AGD_PRE.1.1E** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
- AGD_PRE.1.2E** The evaluator shall apply the preparative procedures to confirm that the TOE can be prepared securely for operation.

5.3.3 Life-cycle Support (ALC)

5.3.3.1 Authorisation Controls (ALC_CMC.3)

- ALC_CMC.3.1D** The developer shall provide the TOE and a reference for the TOE.
- ALC_CMC.3.2D** The developer shall provide the CM documentation.
- ALC_CMC.3.3D** The developer shall use a CM system.
- ALC_CMC.3.1C** The TOE shall be labelled with its unique reference.
- ALC_CMC.3.2C** The CM documentation shall describe the method used to uniquely identify the configuration items.
- ALC_CMC.3.3C** The CM system shall uniquely identify all configuration items.

- ALC_CMC.3.4C** The CM system shall provide measures such that only authorised changes are made to the configuration items.
- ALC_CMC.3.5C** The CM documentation shall include a CM plan.
- ALC_CMC.3.6C** The CM plan shall describe how the CM system is used for the development of the TOE.
- ALC_CMC.3.7C** The evidence shall demonstrate that all configuration items are being maintained under the CM system.
- ALC_CMC.3.8C** The evidence shall demonstrate that the CM system is being operated in accordance with the CM plan.
- ALC_CMC.3.1E** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.3.2 Implementation Representation CM Coverage (ALC_CMS.3)

- ALC_CMS.3.1D** The developer shall provide a configuration list for the TOE.
- ALC_CMS.3.1C** The configuration list shall include the following: the TOE itself; the evaluation evidence required by the SARs; the parts that comprise the TOE; and the implementation representation.
- ALC_CMS.3.2C** The configuration list shall uniquely identify the configuration items.
- ALC_CMS.3.3C** For each TSF relevant configuration item, the configuration list shall indicate the developer of the item.
- ALC_CMS.3.1E** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.3.3 Delivery Procedures (ALC_DEL.1)

- ALC_DEL.1.1D** The developer shall document and provide procedures for delivery of the TOE or parts of it to the consumer.
- ALC_DEL.1.2D** The developer shall use the delivery procedures.
- ALC_DEL.1.1C** The delivery documentation shall describe all procedures that are necessary to maintain security when distributing versions of the TOE to the consumer.
- ALC_DEL.1.1E** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.3.4 Identification of Security Measures (ALC_DVS.1)

- ALC_DVS.1.1D** The developer shall produce and provide development security documentation.
- ALC_DVS.1.1C** The development security documentation shall describe all the physical, procedural, personnel, and other security measures that are necessary to protect the confidentiality and integrity of the TOE design and implementation in its development environment.
- ALC_DVS.1.1E** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
- ALC_DVS.1.2E** The evaluator shall confirm that the security measures are being applied.

5.3.3.5 Flaw Reporting Procedures (ALC_FLR.2)

- ALC_FLR.2.1D** The developer shall document and provide flaw remediation procedures addressed to TOE developers.
- ALC_FLR.2.2D** The developer shall establish a procedure for accepting and acting upon all reports of security flaws and requests for corrections to those flaws.
- ALC_FLR.2.3D** The developer shall provide flaw remediation guidance addressed to TOE users.
- ALC_FLR.2.1C** The flaw remediation procedures documentation shall describe the procedures used to track all reported security flaws in each release of the TOE.

ALC_FLR.2.2C	The flaw remediation procedures shall require that a description of the nature and effect of each security flaw be provided, as well as the status of finding a correction to that flaw.
ALC_FLR.2.3C	The flaw remediation procedures shall require that corrective actions be identified for each of the security flaws.
ALC_FLR.2.4C	The flaw remediation procedures documentation shall describe the methods used to provide flaw information, corrections and guidance on corrective actions to TOE users.
ALC_FLR.2.5C	The flaw remediation procedures shall describe a means by which the developer receives from TOE users reports and enquiries of suspected security flaws in the TOE.
ALC_FLR.2.6C	The procedures for processing reported security flaws shall ensure that any reported flaws are remediated and the remediation procedures issued to TOE users.
ALC_FLR.2.7C	The procedures for processing reported security flaws shall provide safeguards that any corrections to these security flaws do not introduce any new flaws.
ALC_FLR.2.8C	The flaw remediation guidance shall describe a means by which TOE users report to the developer any suspected security flaws in the TOE.
ALC_FLR.2.1E	The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.3.6 Developer Defined Life-Cycle Model (ALC_LCD.1)

ALC_LCD.1.1D	The developer shall establish a life-cycle model to be used in the development and maintenance of the TOE.
ALC_LCD.1.2D	The developer shall provide life-cycle definition documentation.
ALC_LCD.1.1C	The life-cycle definition documentation shall describe the model used to develop and maintain the TOE.
ALC_LCD.1.2C	The life-cycle model shall provide for the necessary control over the development and maintenance of the TOE.
ALC_LCD.1.1E	The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.4 Security Target Evaluation (ASE)

5.3.4.1 ST Introduction (ASE_INT.1)

ASE_INT.1.1D	The developer shall provide an ST introduction.
ASE_INT.1.1C	The ST introduction shall contain an ST reference, a TOE reference, a TOE overview and a TOE description.
ASE_INT.1.2C	The ST reference shall uniquely identify the ST.
ASE_INT.1.3C	The TOE reference shall identify the TOE.
ASE_INT.1.4C	The TOE overview shall summarise the usage and major security features of the TOE.
ASE_INT.1.5C	The TOE overview shall identify the TOE type.
ASE_INT.1.6C	The TOE overview shall identify any non-TOE hardware/software/firmware required by the TOE.
ASE_INT.1.7C	The TOE description shall describe the physical scope of the TOE.
ASE_INT.1.8C	The TOE description shall describe the logical scope of the TOE.
ASE_INT.1.1E	The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
ASE_INT.1.2E	The evaluator shall confirm that the TOE reference, the TOE overview, and the TOE description are consistent with each other.

5.3.4.2 Conformance Claims (ASE_CCL.1)

ASE_CCL.1.1D	The developer shall provide a conformance claim.
---------------------	--

ASE_CCL.1.2D	The developer shall provide a conformance claim rationale.
ASE_CCL.1.1C	The conformance claim shall contain a CC conformance claim that identifies the version of the CC to which the ST and the TOE claim conformance.
ASE_CCL.1.2C	The CC conformance claim shall describe the conformance of the ST to CC Part 2 as either CC Part 2 conformant or CC Part 2 extended.
ASE_CCL.1.3C	The CC conformance claim shall describe the conformance of the ST to CC Part 3 as either CC Part 3 conformant or CC Part 3 extended.
ASE_CCL.1.4C	The CC conformance claim shall be consistent with the extended components definition.
ASE_CCL.1.5C	The conformance claim shall identify all PPs and security requirement packages to which the ST claims conformance.
ASE_CCL.1.6C	The conformance claim shall describe any conformance of the ST to a package as either package-conformant or package-augmented.
ASE_CCL.1.7C	The conformance claim rationale shall demonstrate that the TOE type is consistent with the TOE type in the PPs for which conformance is being claimed.
ASE_CCL.1.8C	The conformance claim rationale shall demonstrate that the statement of the security problem definition is consistent with the statement of the security problem definition in the PPs for which conformance is being claimed.
ASE_CCL.1.9C	The conformance claim rationale shall demonstrate that the statement of security objectives is consistent with the statement of security objectives in the PPs for which conformance is being claimed.
ASE_CCL.1.10C	The conformance claim rationale shall demonstrate that the statement of security requirements is consistent with the statement of security requirements in the PPs for which conformance is being claimed.
ASE_CCL.1.1E	The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.4.3 Security Problem Definition (ASE_SPD.1)

ASE_SPD.1.1D	The developer shall provide a security problem definition.
ASE_SPD.1.1C	The security problem definition shall describe the threats.
ASE_SPD.1.2C	All threats shall be described in terms of a threat agent, an asset, and an adverse action.
ASE_SPD.1.3C	The security problem definition shall describe the OSPs.
ASE_SPD.1.4C	The security problem definition shall describe the assumptions about the operational environment of the TOE.
ASE_SPD.1.1E	The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.4.4 Security Objectives (ASE_OBJ.2)

ASE_OBJ.2.1D	The developer shall provide a statement of security objectives.
ASE_OBJ.2.2D	The developer shall provide a security objectives rationale.
ASE_OBJ.2.1C	The statement of security objectives shall describe the security objectives for the TOE and the security objectives for the operational environment.
ASE_OBJ.2.2C	The security objectives rationale shall trace each security objective for the TOE back to threats countered by that security objective and OSPs enforced by that security objective.
ASE_OBJ.2.3C	The security objectives rationale shall trace each security objective for the operational environment back to threats countered by that security objective, OSPs enforced by that security objective, and assumptions upheld by that security objective.
ASE_OBJ.2.4C	The security objectives rationale shall demonstrate that the security objectives counter all threats.

- ASE_OBJ.2.5C The security objectives rationale shall demonstrate that the security objectives enforce all OSPs.
- ASE_OBJ.2.6C The security objectives rationale shall demonstrate that the security objectives for the operational environment uphold all assumptions.
- ASE_OBJ.2.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.4.5 Extended Components Definition (ASE_ECD.1)

- ASE_ECD.1.1D The developer shall provide a statement of security requirements.
- ASE_ECD.1.2D The developer shall provide an extended components definition.
- ASE_ECD.1.1C The statement of security requirements shall identify all extended security requirements.
- ASE_ECD.1.2C The extended components definition shall define an extended component for each extended security requirement.
- ASE_ECD.1.3C The extended components definition shall describe how each extended component is related to the existing CC components, families, and classes.
- ASE_ECD.1.4C The extended components definition shall use the existing CC components, families, classes, and methodology as a model for presentation.
- ASE_ECD.1.5C The extended components shall consist of measurable and objective elements such that conformance or nonconformance to these elements can be demonstrated.
- ASE_ECD.1.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
- ASE_ECD.1.2E The evaluator shall confirm that no extended component can be clearly expressed using existing components.

5.3.4.6 Derived Security Requirements (ASE_REQ.2)

- ASE_REQ.2.1D The developer shall provide a statement of security requirements.
- ASE_REQ.2.2D The developer shall provide a security requirements rationale.
- ASE_REQ.2.1C The statement of security requirements shall describe the SFRs and the SARs.
- ASE_REQ.2.2C All subjects, objects, operations, security attributes, external entities and other terms that are used in the SFRs and the SARs shall be defined.
- ASE_REQ.2.3C The statement of security requirements shall identify all operations on the security requirements.
- ASE_REQ.2.4C All operations shall be performed correctly.
- ASE_REQ.2.5C Each dependency of the security requirements shall either be satisfied, or the security requirements rationale shall justify the dependency not being satisfied.
- ASE_REQ.2.6C The security requirements rationale shall trace each SFR back to the security objectives for the TOE.
- ASE_REQ.2.7C The security requirements rationale shall demonstrate that the SFRs meet all security objectives for the TOE.
- ASE_REQ.2.8C The security requirements rationale shall explain why the SARs were chosen.
- ASE_REQ.2.9C The statement of security requirements shall be internally consistent.
- ASE_REQ.2.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.4.7 TOE Summary Specification (ASE_TSS.1)

- ASE_TSS.1.1D The developer shall provide a TOE summary specification.
- ASE_TSS.1.1C The TOE summary specification shall describe how the TOE meets each SFR.
- ASE_TSS.1.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

ASE_TSS.1.2E The evaluator shall confirm that the TOE summary specification is consistent with the TOE overview and the TOE description.

5.3.5 Tests (ATE)

5.3.5.1 Analysis of Coverage (ATE_COV.2)

ATE_COV.2.1D The developer shall provide an analysis of the test coverage.

ATE_COV.2.1C The analysis of the test coverage shall demonstrate the correspondence between the tests in the test documentation and the TSFIs in the functional specification.

ATE_COV.2.2C The analysis of the test coverage shall demonstrate that all TSFIs in the functional specification have been tested.

ATE_COV.2.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.5.2 Testing: Basic Design (ATE_DPT.1)

ATE_DPT.1.1D The developer shall provide the analysis of the depth of testing.

ATE_DPT.1.1C The analysis of the depth of testing shall demonstrate the correspondence between the tests in the test documentation and the TSF subsystems in the TOE design.

ATE_DPT.1.2C The analysis of the depth of testing shall demonstrate that all TSF subsystems in the TOE design have been tested.

ATE_DPT.1.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.5.3 Functional Testing (ATE_FUN.1)

ATE_FUN.1.1D The developer shall test the TSF and document the results.

ATE_FUN.1.2D The developer shall provide test documentation.

ATE_FUN.1.1C The test documentation shall consist of test plans, expected test results and actual test results.

ATE_FUN.1.2C The test plans shall identify the tests to be performed and describe the scenarios for performing each test. These scenarios shall include any ordering dependencies on the results of other tests.

ATE_FUN.1.3C The expected test results shall show the anticipated outputs from a successful execution of the tests.

ATE_FUN.1.4C The actual test results shall be consistent with the expected test results.

ATE_FUN.1.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.5.4 Independent Testing - Sample (ATE_IND.2)

ATE_IND.2.1D The developer shall provide the TOE for testing.

ATE_IND.2.1C The TOE shall be suitable for testing.

ATE_IND.2.2C The developer shall provide an equivalent set of resources to those that were used in the developer's functional testing of the TSF.

ATE_IND.2.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

ATE_IND.2.2E The evaluator shall execute a sample of tests in the test documentation to verify the developer test results.

ATE_IND.2.3E The evaluator shall test a subset of the TSF to confirm that the TSF operates as specified.

5.3.6 Vulnerability Assessment (AVA)

5.3.6.1 Vulnerability Analysis (AVA_VAN.2)

- AVA_VAN.2.1D** The developer shall provide the TOE for testing.
- AVA_VAN.2.1C** The TOE shall be suitable for testing.
- AVA_VAN.2.1E** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
- AVA_VAN.2.2E** The evaluator shall perform a search of public domain sources to identify potential vulnerabilities in the TOE.
- AVA_VAN.2.3E** The evaluator shall perform an independent vulnerability analysis of the TOE using the guidance documentation, functional specification, TOE design and security architecture description to identify potential vulnerabilities in the TOE.
- AVA_VAN.2.4E** The evaluator shall conduct penetration testing, based on the identified potential vulnerabilities, to determine that the TOE is resistant to attacks performed by an attacker possessing Basic attack potential.

6. TOE Summary Specification

This chapter describes the security functions implemented by the TOE to satisfy the SFRs.

6.1 TOE Security Functions

The TOE implements the following security functions that together satisfy the SFRs claimed in Section 5.2 of this ST:

- Security Audit
- Identification and Authentication
- Intrusion Detection and Prevention
- Traffic Management
- Security Management
- TSF Protection
- Trusted Path.

6.1.1 Security Audit

The TOE generates two types of activity monitoring logs:

- Audit data logs
- IDS data logs (comprising the Block log and the Alert log).

IDS data logs record activity related to the TOE's intrusion detection and prevention capabilities. These logs differ from Audit data logs in their contents and controls. The IDS data logs are generated by the Intrusion Detection and Prevention security function (see Section 6.1.3).

The TOE provides the capability to generate and store audit records of auditable events. The TOE provides secure storage of audit records until they are automatically overwritten or cleared by an administrative user in the Super-user role. The TOE ensures that only the Super-user is able to view the audit data and that the audit data is presented in an interpretable manner. The TOE provides a means for the Super-user to determine what audit data the TOE generates, and the capability to sort the audit data that is being reviewed.

6.1.1.1 Audit Data Generation (FAU_GEN.1)

The Security Audit security function generates audit records for the following auditable events:

- Start-up and shutdown of the audit function
- Reading of information from the audit records
- Unsuccessful attempts to read information from the audit records
- All modifications to the audit configuration that occur while the audit collection functions are operating
- Actions taken due to audit storage failure
- All decisions on requests for information flow
- Reaching of the threshold for the unsuccessful authentication attempts and the actions taken and the subsequent restoration to the normal state
- Rejection by the TSF of any tested secret
- All use of the authentication mechanisms

- All use of the user identification mechanism, including the user identity provided
- All modifications in the behavior of the functions of the TSF
- All modifications to the values of TSF data
- Use of the management functions
- Modifications to the group of users that are part of a role
- Changes to the time
- All attempted uses of the trusted path functions, to include identification of the user associated with all trusted path invocations, if available.

The Security Audit security function includes in the audit record, for each auditable event: the date and time of the event; type of event; subject identity; and the outcome (success or failure) of the event.

Note that the TOE does not support the ability to start up and shutdown the audit functions outside the ability to startup and shutdown the entire TOE. Thus, each time the TOE starts, the auditing functions are started and an “Audit facilities started” audit record is generated. Similarly, the audit functions are shutdown only when the TOE is shutdown. When this occurs, the TOE generates an “Audit facilities stopped” audit record.

6.1.1.2 Audit Review (FAU_SAR.1, FAU_SAR.2, FAU_SAR.3)

The Security Audit security function provides mechanisms to view data from the Audit log. Only a user assigned the Super-user role can view events stored in the Audit log. This is done by restricting access using the file system service capabilities to protect the Audit log so that only authenticated Super-users can read audit data.

The Management Interface provides commands to configure how terminal sessions behave. The presentation format of data within the Management Interface can be defined. Data from the Audit log can be displayed in text format over the Management Interface. The default settings listed in **Table 5** can be modified to provide for the optimal viewing of data.

Setting	Default Value	Command to Change Setting
columns	80	hostname# conf t session col <number of columns>
rows	25	hostname# conf t session row <number of rows>
more	On	hostname# conf t session no more
wraparound	On	hostname# conf t session no wrap
timeout	20 minutes	hostname# conf t session timeout <number of minutes>

Table 5: Default Console Settings

The Security Audit security function provides the ability to sort audit data. This capability is only provided by the LSM and is not available in the CLI. Sorting audit data is performed using the pointing device (e.g., mouse) to select the column to be sorted, based on the criteria shown in **Table 6**.

Criteria	Description
Time	Sorts log entries in an ascending or descending list based on the time of the entry
User <“login name”>	Sorts logs by the login name of the user that was logged in when the log entry was created
Status [PASS FAIL]	Display only records with pass or fail status.
IP <nnn.nnn.nnn.nnn>	Displays log records whose access was from the IP address entered

Criteria	Description
Interface [WEB,CLI, LSM, SNMP, OTHER]	Filters on the interface through which the user accessed the TOE
Event type	Sorts events based on the severity of the event in one column, or by the specific name of the event in another column

Table 6: Sorting Criteria

6.1.1.3 Audit Event Selection (FAU_SEL.1)

Audited events can be selected from the set of auditable events based on event categories (i.e., the event type). These event categories are listed in **Table 7**. A user in the Super-user or Administrator role can use the CLI ‘configure’ command to select the event categories to be included in the set of auditable events. The ‘configure’ command allows the event category to be enabled or disabled, thus determining which auditable events are actually written to the Audit log.

Event Category	Description
boot	This flag toggles the auditing of boot information for the system.
compact-flash	This flag toggles the auditing of use of a compact-flash card with the TOE.
config	This flag toggles the auditing of configuration data. This includes changing the auditable events in the logs.
conn-table	This flag toggles the auditing of connection table information
device	This flag toggles the auditing of device information.
general	This flag toggles the auditing of the rotation of logs.
high-availability	This flag toggles the auditing of high-availability information
host	This flag toggles the collection of audit records whenever audit starts or stops.
host-communications	This flag toggles the auditing of host-communication information
ip-filter	This flag toggles the auditing of HOST IP filter information
login	This flag toggles the auditing of login events.
logout	This flag toggles the auditing of logout events.
monitor	This flag toggles the auditing of monitor information, such as packet and network traffic scanning and events
policy	This flag toggles the auditing of TSE System policy data.
report	This flag toggles the auditing of events related to reports, including the viewing and clearing of logs to include both audit and System data logs.
segment	This flag toggles the auditing of network segment information, such as port and system settings per segment of a device
server	This flag toggles the auditing of server information
slot	This flag toggles the auditing of I/O module slot configuration information
sms	This flag toggles the auditing of SMS information
time	This flag toggles the auditing of changes to time settings.

Event Category	Description
tse	This flag toggles the auditing of events related to the threat suppression engine.
update	This flag toggles the auditing of system and software updates, such as Digital Vaccine and software updates
user	This flag toggles the auditing of changes to user account related data. This includes user creation, modification and deletion of user accounts.

Table 7: Auditable Event Categories

6.1.1.4 Audit Event Storage (FAU_STG.2, FAU_STG.4)

The TOE prevents unauthorized access (i.e., deletion, viewing and modification) to audit records by requiring users to be successfully identified and authenticated before gaining access to the TOE. The Security Audit security function ensures that when the audit storage becomes exhausted, that the oldest 50% of audit records are purged to ensure adequate disk space for the more recent auditable events.

The Security Audit security function does not allow a user to modify audit data outside of allowing a Super-user to completely purge the Audit log, either by using the ‘clear’ CLI command or by resetting the log in the LSM by clicking the “reset log” icon next to the Audit Log entry. The TOE compares the user access level for all incoming audit purge requests to the access level of the role provided and maintained by the TOE. If the access level of the requested action is greater than the current user’s access level, the requested action to purge is denied. All purge requests (successful and unsuccessful) are logged, allowing for detection of the deletion, or attempted deletion, of audit records.

Each of the logs maintained by the TOE (Audit, Block, Alert) consists of a “current” log file and a “historical” log file. Thus, there are six separate log files that provide the log storage—the current Audit, Block, and Alert log files, and the historical Audit, Block, and Alert log files. The TOE uses a volume threshold to limit the size of each log file (configured maximum size is 4 MB³). Entries for each type of log are initially written to the applicable current log file. When the current log file reaches the maximum file size, the log file is closed, a new log file is created, and an alarm is generated. This alarm comprises an email sent to a specified user, configurable by the Super-user role. The log file that is closed becomes the historical log file and the new file becomes the current log file. If a historical log file already exists, it is deleted. Subsequent log records are then written to the newly created current log file. This mechanism, which is applied to all types of log files (i.e., Audit, Block, and Alert) is used to limit the amount of audit data that is overwritten when the system’s capacity to store audit records is reached. Access to any type of log file through the Management Interface for the purpose of viewing or clearing always treats both the historical log file and the current log file as one log. Therefore, viewing audit records in the Audit log displays all records from both files. Similarly, clearing the Audit log deletes both the current and historical files.

The deletion of the historical Audit log file when the current Audit log file becomes full effectively overwrites the oldest audit records. The TOE also writes a new log record to the Alert log when new log files are created. This mechanism, which applies to all log types, is used to generate alarms whenever the Audit log overwrites the oldest stored audit records.

6.1.2 Identification and Authentication

The Identification and Authentication security function is implemented within the Management Interface subsystem of the TOE. The Identification and Authentication security function provides the capability for the TOE to identify and authenticate administrative users of the TOE.

6.1.2.1 User Identification and Authentication (FIA_UID.2, FIA_UAU.2)

The Identification and Authentication security function provides the capability to identify and authenticate the administrative users of the TOE. It prevents administrative user actions from being performed prior to identification and authentication of the user. In order to establish a connection with the TOE, the administrative user is required to

³ The TOE implements a capability to modify the configured maximum log file size, but this is intended only for HP TippingPoint QA and technical support staff.

submit user credentials, comprising user identity and authentication data (in the form of a password) through the Management Interface. The Identification and Authentication security function compares the submitted credentials with the details of user accounts configured in the TOE. When a valid username/password pair is provided, the management functionality is available to the logged in user via the Management Interface.

6.1.2.2 Verification of Secrets (FIA_SOS.1)

The Identification and Authentication security function maintains a global configuration parameter, identified as ‘Security Level’, that determines the length and complexity requirements for all passwords on the TOE. The following options are provided:

- No Security Checking (Level 0)—passwords are not required
- Basic Security Checking (Level 1)—user names must be 6-32 characters long; passwords must be 8-32 characters long
- Maximum Security Checking (Level 2)—in addition to the Level 1 requirements, passwords must contain at least two alphabetic characters, at least one numeric character and one non-alphanumeric character (special characters such as ‘!’, ‘?’, or ‘#’).

The Super-user can configure the Security Level. The default security level is Level 2, which is the level that ensures passwords satisfy the strength requirements specified by FIA_SOS.1.

6.1.2.3 Authentication Failure Handling (FIA_AFL.1)

The Identification and Authentication security function is able to detect when the number of consecutive failed user login attempts meets an administrator-configured number (in the range 1..10, with 5 as the default value). When this occurs, the TOE takes the configured action. A user in the Super-user role can configure the number of consecutive failed login attempts (Max Login Attempts) that will cause the Identification and Authentication security function to take action, and can also configure the action to be taken (Failed Login Action). The options for the Failed Login Action are as follows:

- Lockout Account—lock the user account for a configured Lockout Period
- Disable Account—disable the user account. The user will be unable to login until the account is enabled by a Super-user
- Generate an audit event and write an audit record to the Audit log documenting the failed login attempt.

The Super-user can configure the Lockout Period, in minutes, for which the account will be locked if the Failed Login Action is Lockout Account. The Authentication Failure Handling mechanism applies to all user accounts.

6.1.2.4 User Attribute Definition (FIA_ATD.1)

The Identification and Authentication security function maintains the following security attributes associated with administrative users of the TOE:

- User identity—the user name the TOE uses to uniquely identify each administrative user
- Authentication data (password)—the credential used to authenticate the administrative user’s identity
- Authorizations (roles)—the management role (Super-user, Administrator, Operator) to which the user has been assigned. The TOE documentation also identifies this attribute as ‘Access Level’. Every administrative user must be assigned a management role.

The user security attributes maintained for administrative users are stored in the file system implemented by the TippingPoint Operating System and are managed through the Management Interface. The ability to manage the user attributes is restricted to the Super-user role (except that any user can modify their own password, regardless of their assigned role).

6.1.3 Intrusion Detection and Prevention

The Threat Suppression Engine (TSE) component implements the Intrusion Detection and Prevention security function that provides the TOE with the sensing capabilities to collect network traffic, the analyzing capabilities to inspect network traffic according to filter settings, and the reaction capabilities to generate alert records for certain network traffic, block certain network traffic and pass along certain network traffic.

6.1.3.1 IDS Data Collection, Analysis and Reaction (IDS_SDC_EXT.1, IDS_ANL_EXT.1, IDS_RCT_EXT.1)

The TOE collects network traffic and retains it for as long as it requires to complete its analysis, after which the network traffic is allowed to pass through the TOE or is discarded, based on the action set associated with the triggered IPS filter (if any).

The TOE performs statistical and signature-based analysis of the collected traffic, depending on configured IPS filters, as it enters the TOE. The TOE decodes protocol headers to support reconstructing fragmented packets or flows. Once decoded, the TOE uses installed filters to achieve desired protections for the protected network segments (e.g., statistical analysis, traffic shaping, flow blocking, flow state tracking and application-layer parsing of network protocols).

The TOE groups filters (i.e., signature-based rules combined with an action) into categories that the analyzer function uses in order to provide protection against malicious network traffic as it passes through the data network interface of the TOE. The categories provided by the TOE allow for simplified administration of a large number of filters by allowing the Administrator or Super-user to enable, disable or specify an action set for a group of filters. The grouping for filters cannot be changed. The categories defined by the TOE and the types of filter each contains are listed in **Table 8**.

Application Protection	Infrastructure Protection	Performance Protection
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Exploits• Identity Theft• Reconnaissance• Security Policy• Spyware• Virus• Vulnerabilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Network Equipment• Traffic Normalization	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• IM• P2P• Streaming Media

Table 8: Filter Categories

Intrusion prevention capabilities such as traffic shaping are accomplished using traffic management filters (see Section 6.1.4) and/or traffic normalization filters. Flow state tracking, flow blocking and application-layer parsing of network protocols are characteristics of most of the filters in the categories described in **Table 8**.

The sensor and analyzer capabilities, implemented in the TSE, work together to record relevant collected data, as the analytical results, to the Block log or Alert log, depending on the action prescribed for the filter. Within each analytical result, the following information is stored:

- Date and time of the result
- Type of result (message, policy ID, signature ID, and classification)
- Identification of data source—address and port
- Data destination—address and port
- Protocol
- Severity.

The detection of an intrusion in the context of the TOE is the matching of network traffic to the configured security policies (i.e., filters). When an intrusion is detected, the TOE reacts based upon the action set associated with the matched filter.

An action set can specify the following possible actions:

- Block⁴—blocks a packet from being transferred to the outgoing port of the network segment
- Block + Notify—blocks a packet from being transferred, writes a record to the Block log, and notifies all selected contacts of the blocked packet
- Block + Notify + Trace—blocks a packet from being transferred, writes a record to the Block log, notifies all selected contacts of the blocked packet, and logs all information about the packet according to the packet trace settings
- Permit + Notify—permits a packet to be transferred to the outgoing port of the network segment, writes a record to the Alert log, and notifies all selected contacts of the packet
- Permit + Notify + Trace—permits a packet to be transferred, writes a record to the Alert log, notifies all selected contacts of the packet, and logs all information about the packet according to the packet trace settings.

The following types of notification contacts can be configured:

- Remote System Log—sends messages to a syslog server on the management network
- Management Console—sends messages to the LSM
- Email or SNMP—sends messages to the email address or specified SNMP server on the management network.

Each “Block” action can optionally specify that a TCP Reset occur, which results in the TOE resetting the TCP connection for the source or destination IP address when the Block action executes.

In addition to the Block and Permit action sets described above, the TOE supports Rate Limit and Quarantine action sets.

A Rate Limit action set defines a maximum bandwidth that can be used by traffic that matches filters assigned to that action set. Incoming traffic in excess of this bandwidth is dropped. If two or more filters use the same rate limiting action set, then all packets matching these filters share the bandwidth. For example, if filters 164 (ICMP Echo Request) and 161 (ICMP Redirect Undefined Code) use the same 10 Mbps action set, then both “Echo Requests” and “Redirect Undefined Codes” filters share the 10 Mbps “pipe” as opposed to each filter getting a dedicated 10Mbps pipe. The supported rates are subject to restrictions based on the device model. Any of these listed rates can be used as long as it does not exceed 25% percent of the total bandwidth of the product.

A Quarantine action set allows the TOE to block or permit packets based on the IP addresses in the packet that triggers the filter. When a filter with a quarantine option is triggered, the TOE installs a block for the quarantined IP address and quarantines the IP address based on the instructions in the action set. If the quarantine action is combined with a Block action, the flow is blocked. The quarantine action can also be combined with a Permit action, in which case the flow is permitted while the IP address is placed in quarantine. The action of adding an IP address to, or removing an IP address from, quarantine is recorded in the Quarantine log. Quarantine logging operates independently of a policy’s notification contacts, and quarantine events are always recorded in the Quarantine log. Any user can view the log, but only Super-user and Administrator level users can reset the log.

6.1.3.2 IDS Data Review (IDS_RDR_EXT.1)

The ability to read the logs stored on the TOE is restricted to authorized users. The three access levels supported by the TOE—Super-user, Administrator and Operator—all have read access to the Block and Alert logs once authenticated to the TOE.

⁴ This option should not be used in the evaluated configuration, since alarms will not be written to the Block log or sent to configured notification contacts, meaning IDS_RCT.1 is not satisfied.

The TOE compares the user access level for all incoming data review requests to the access level of the user role provided. If the access level of the requested action is greater than the current user's access level, the requested action is denied. This applies to requests to review IDS data stored by the TOE in the Block log and Alert log. This data can be accessed either using the 'show' CLI command or by using the Navigation Tree of the LSM to select Events, then Logs, then choosing the appropriate log from the list provided. The log data is displayed in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the information.

If the access level of the requested action is greater than the current user's access level, the requested action is denied. This control prevents the unauthorized deletion of the IDS data log contents. Deletion can be performed by using the 'clear' CLI command or by using the Navigation Tree of the LSM to select Events, then Logs, then choosing the appropriate log from the list provided. Administrator and Super-user roles have the ability to clear the IDS data logs.

6.1.3.3 IDS Data Storage (IDS_STG_EXT.1, IDS_STG_EXT.2)

The TOE records the collected IDS data into two logs: the Block log and the Alert log. The Block log holds records associated with filters that block traffic and the Alert log holds records associated with notification filters.

As discussed in Section 6.1.1 in relation to the Audit logs, the TOE maintains a historical log file and a current log file for both the Block log and the Alert log. Whenever either current log file becomes full an alarm is sent.

The deletion of the historical log files that form the IDS data when the corresponding current log file becomes full effectively overwrites the oldest IDS data records. The alarm that is sent when a new current log file is created takes the form of an email alarm that is sent to a specified user. The TOE also writes a new log record to the Alert log when new log files are created.

Notification contacts for alarms (syslog, email, SNMP, LSM) can be created in the Management Interface.

6.1.4 Traffic Management

In addition to IPS filtering described in Section 6.1.3, the TSE component provides traffic management filters that can be applied to traffic on selected segments, allowing the TOE to enforce an information flow control policy and operate as a firewall. Traffic management filters are managed within the context of a Traffic Management Profile that identifies the segment to which the Profile applies.

6.1.4.1 Information Flow Control Policy and Functions (FDP_IFC.1, FDP_IFF.1)

Traffic management filters are specified in terms of the following attributes of network packets:

- Source address and port—the source IP address and source port for traffic managed by the filter.
- Destination address and port—the destination IP address for traffic managed by the filter
- Protocol—specifies the protocol the filter checks for. It can have the following values: IP; ICMP; TCP; and UDP
- Action—defines how the TOE will handle traffic that triggers the filter. The possible actions are:
 - Block—traffic that satisfies the filter criteria is blocked
 - Allow—traffic that satisfies the filter criteria is allowed; such traffic is still subjected to IPS filtering
 - Rate Limit—traffic that meets the filter criteria is limited to a specified rate; such traffic is still subjected to IPS filtering
 - Trust—traffic that meets the filter criteria is passed through the TOE without IPS filtering.

IP addresses can be specified in Classless Inter Domain Routing (CIDR) format or as 'any'. Ports can be specified as a specific port number or as 'any'. A traffic management filter comprises a prioritized list of one or more rules specifying the values for the information attributes to be checked against and the action to take if a network packet matches all the values in the rule. When a traffic management filter is configured for a network segment, all traffic arriving at the TOE from that segment is compared against each rule in the filter, in priority order, until a match is

found or all rules have been checked. A network packet that matches all of the criteria specified in a rule is handled based on the Action specified in the rule (Block, Allow, Rate Limit, or Trust). If a network packet does not trigger any of the rules specified in the traffic management filter, it is allowed to continue on but is subject to IPS filtering.

On the NX models, the Super-user and Administrator can configure inspection bypass rules, which can allow traffic to pass through the TOE without being subject to either traffic management or IPS filtering. Rules can be specified in terms of source address and port, destination address and port, and protocol. Source and destination IP addresses can be specified in CIDR format. Inspection bypass rules can be specified for specific network segments and ports on the TOE appliance, or for all segments and ports.

The section titled “Traffic Management Profiles” in Chapter 4 of *HP TippingPoint Local Security Manager User’s Guide* describes how to create and manage traffic management profiles and filters.

6.1.5 Security Management

6.1.5.1 Security Management Roles (FMT_SMR.1)

The TOE implements the following security roles:

- Super-user—has full access to the TOE. This role is able to manage the users of the TOE and to view and modify the configuration of the TOE and the logs
- Administrator—has full access to most functions of the TOE. This role is able to view and modify the configuration of the TOE (with the exception of managing user accounts) and the logs (with the exception of selection of auditable events and viewing and clearing the Audit log)
- Operator—has read-only access to the TOE. This role is able to view the System data logs (but not the Audit logs) and the configuration of the TOE, but is not permitted to modify any information other than his or her own password.

The TOE provides two interfaces by which administrative users can access and manage the TOE: the Local Security Manager (LSM), a web-based GUI; and a Command Line Interface (CLI). These interfaces together comprise the Management Interface component of the TOE.

A user account cannot be created without an associated role—if this is attempted, the action is denied and the Security Management security function enforces that a role be specified before proceeding with account creation. Super-users are permitted to change their role or the roles of other users. This is accomplished either by using the ‘configure user’ CLI command or by navigating to the Authentication→User List page of the LSM. These two options will also allow a user to display a list of all users and their associated roles.

6.1.5.2 Security Management Functions (FMT_SMF.1)

The Security Management security function provides the following management capabilities:

- Modify the set of audited events
- Modify the Failed Login Action
- Modify the Security Level
- Modify user passwords
- Modify system time
- Create, modify and delete notification contacts
- Create, modify and delete user accounts
- Create, modify and delete traffic management filters
- Create, modify and delete inspection bypass rules (NX models only)
- Clear the audit trail and IDS data
- Modify the behavior of the IDS data collection, analysis and reaction functions (by managing IPS filters and action sets).

The management capabilities are provided by the TippingPoint Operating System and are accessed through the Management Interface.

6.1.5.3 Management of Security Functions, and TSF Data (FMT_MOF.1, FMT_MTD.1(*))

The Security Management security function restricts the ability to modify the behavior of the functions of IDS data collection, analysis and reaction to users associated with the Administrator or Super-user role. These roles have the ability to modify the security policies that determine how IDS data is analyzed, displayed, and reacted to. Users with the Operator role only have the ability to view the security policies that affect how the IDS data is analyzed, displayed, and reacted to.

The Security Management security function enforces the following restrictions on security roles.

Management function	Role Required to perform action
Modify set of audited events	Administrator, Super-user
Modify the Failed Login Action	Super-user
Modify the Security Level	Super-user
Modify user passwords	Each user can modify their own password, regardless of role. Only the Super-user can modify another user's password.
Modify system time	Super-user
Create, modify and delete notification contacts	Administrator, Super-user
Create, modify and delete user accounts	Super-user
Create, modify and delete traffic management filters	Administrator, Super-user
Create, modify and delete inspection bypass rules	Administrator, Super-user
Clear the audit trail	Super-user
Clear the IDS data	Administrator, Super-user
Manage IPS filters and action sets	Administrator, Super-user

Table 9: Management Functions and Role Restrictions

The Management Interface controls access to the security functions provided by the TOE. Access to commands is based on the user's role. Commands that the user is not authorized to perform are not recognized and are inaccessible. Furthermore, any commands that are unavailable based upon the user's authorization will not be displayed to the user.

6.1.6 TSF Protection

6.1.6.1 Reliable Time Stamps (FPT_STM.1)

The TOE maintains time internally using a CMOS clock and this internal time is used as the source for the timestamp recorded in each audit record and collected IDS data record.

6.1.7 Trusted Path

6.1.7.1 Trusted Path (FTP_TRP.1)

The TOE provides a trusted path for remote administrative users of the TOE to communicate with the TOE. The trusted path is implemented over the network management port using HTTPS (i.e., SSL over HTTP) for access to the LSM and SSHv2 for access to the CLI. Remote users initiate the trusted path by establishing an HTTPS connection (using a supported web browser) or SSH session (using an SSH client). The trusted path is used for initial authentication and all subsequent administrative actions. The use of HTTPS or SSHv2 ensures all communication over the trusted path is protected from disclosure and undetected modification.

The TOE uses SSLimSecure 3.0 from TeamF1 for its SSL support. This library is based on OpenSSL 0.9.8b, was ported by TeamF1 to run under vxWorks, and has been subsequently patched. The TOE uses SSHield 2.2.0 from TeamF1 for its SSH support. This library is based on OpenSSH 3.5p1, was ported by TeamF1 to run under vxWorks, and has also been subsequently patched.

The TOE supports two modes of FIPS operation—crypto and full. When configured in either FIPS mode, the TOE will allow only FIPS 140-2 approved cryptographic algorithms to be used. When configured in ‘full’ FIPS mode, the following additional restrictions are enforced: HTTP and telnet access cannot be enabled; only passwords that meet Security Level 1 or Security Level 2 are allowed; the debug shell cannot be enabled; restoring snapshots taken when the TOE was not in Full FIPS mode is not allowed; and restoring an older OS that is non FIPS-compliant is not allowed.

The TOE has a set list of supported algorithms and bit lengths for SSL. The client negotiates with the TOE to find a match that it also supports—this occurs without administrator intervention. Note that algorithms marked with a ‘+’ are not available in FIPS mode:

- Symmetric:
 - AES (128-bit, 256-bit)
 - DES (56-bit)+
 - 3DES (168-bit)
 - RC2 (variable)+
 - RC4 (variable)+
- Asymmetric:
 - RSA
 - DSA.

The TOE has a set list of supported algorithms and bit lengths for SSH. The client negotiates with the TOE to find a match that it also supports—this occurs without administrator intervention. Note that algorithms marked with a ‘+’ are not available in FIPS mode:

- 3DES (168-bit)
- Blowfish (variable)+
- AES (128-bit, 192-bit, 256-bit).

The TOE requires the client to provide the same capability in order to access the TOE via HTTPS or SSHv2.

7. Rationale

This section provides the rationale for completeness and consistency of the Security Target. The rationale addresses the following areas:

- Security Objectives
- Security Functional Requirements
- Security Assurance Requirements
- Requirement Dependencies
- TOE Summary Specification.

7.1 Security Objectives Rationale

This section shows that all secure usage assumptions and threats are completely covered by security objectives for the TOE or operational environment. In addition, each objective counters or addresses at least one assumption or threat.

	T.COMPROMISE	T.LOSSOF	T.PRIVILEGE	T.CONFIG	T.INFLUX	T.ACCOUNT	T.MISUSE	T.ACCIDENT	T.MALICE	A.ACCESS	A.PROTECT	A.MANAGE	A.NOEVIL
O.SENSOR							X	X	X				
O.ANALYZER							X	X	X				
O.RESPONSE							X	X	X				
O.REVIEW							X	X	X				
O.ADMIN				X									
O.ACCESS	X		X	X									
O.I&A	X		X										
O.AUDIT						X							
O.INTEGRITY		X											
O.STORAGE					X								
O.TRAFFIC							X	X	X				
OE.INSTALL										X			
OE.PHYSICAL											X		
OE.PERSONNEL												X	X
OE.CONFID	X		X										

Table 10: Security Problem Definition to Security Objective Correspondence

7.1.1.1 T.COMPROMISE

An unauthorized user may attempt to compromise the confidentiality or integrity of the data collected and produced by the TOE by bypassing a security mechanism.

This threat is countered by the following security objectives:

- O.I&A—addresses this threat by ensuring that users are identified and authenticated before gaining access to the capabilities of the TOE.

- O.ACCESS—supports O.I&A address this threat by ensuring that users are restricted in how they can access the management capabilities of the TOE, based on their assigned authorization level.
- OE.CONFID—supports O.I&A by ensuring the operational environment provides capabilities to protect the confidentiality of data communicated by administrative users (including authentication data) to the TOE.

7.1.1.2 T.LOSSOF

An unauthorized user may attempt to remove or destroy data collected and produced by the TOE.

This threat is countered by the following security objectives:

- O.INTEGRITY—addresses this threat by ensuring the TOE protects stored audit records and IDS data from unauthorized modification or deletion.

7.1.1.3 T.PRIVILEGE

An unauthorized user may gain access to the TOE and exploit system privileges to gain access to TOE security functions and data.

This threat is countered by the following security objectives:

- O.I&A—addresses this threat by ensuring that users are identified and authenticated before gaining access to the capabilities of the TOE.
- O.ACCESS—supports O.I&A address this threat by ensuring that users are restricted in how they can access the management capabilities of the TOE, based on their assigned authorization level.
- OE.CONFID—supports O.I&A by ensuring the operational environment provides capabilities to protect the confidentiality of data communicated by administrative users (including authentication data) to the TOE.

7.1.1.4 T.CONFIG

An unauthorized user may inappropriately change the configuration of the TOE causing potential intrusions to go undetected.

This threat is countered by the following security objectives:

- O.ACCESS—addresses this threat by ensuring that users are restricted in how they can access the management capabilities of the TOE, based on their assigned authorization level.
- O.ADMIN—supports O.ACCESS address this threat by ensuring the TOE provides capabilities to enable effective management of the TOE's functions.

7.1.1.5 T.INFLUX

An unauthorized user may cause malfunction of the TOE by creating an influx of data that the TOE cannot handle.

This threat is countered by the following security objectives:

- O.STORAGE—addresses this threat by ensuring the TOE is able to automatically manage storage of audit records and IDS data in the event that available storage is exhausted.

7.1.1.6 T.ACCOUNT

Unauthorized attempts to access TOE data or security functions may go undetected.

This threat is countered by the following security objectives:

- O.AUDIT—addresses this threat by ensuring the TOE is able to generate audit records of security relevant events and provides capabilities for effective review of stored audit records.

7.1.1.7 T.MISUSE

Unauthorized accesses and activity indicative of misuse may occur on an IT System the TOE monitors.

This threat is countered by the following security objectives:

- O.SENSOR, O.ANALYZER, O.RESPONSE, O.REVIEW, O.TRAFFIC—these objectives work together to counter this threat by ensuring the TOE is able to collect all network packets flowing between network segments to which it is connected, analyzing those network packets and network flows in order to identify intrusion attempts, responding to identified intrusion attempts, and providing capabilities to review the results of the TOE’s analysis and response. The TOE ca also filter network traffic based on combinations of protocol, IP address and port.

7.1.1.8 T.ACCIDENT

Inadvertent activity and access may occur on an IT System the TOE monitors.

This threat is countered by the following security objectives:

- O.SENSOR, O.ANALYZER, O.RESPONSE, O.REVIEW, O.TRAFFIC—these objectives work together to counter this threat by ensuring the TOE is able to collect all network packets flowing between network segments to which it is connected, analyzing those network packets and network flows in order to identify intrusion attempts, responding to identified intrusion attempts, and providing capabilities to review the results of the TOE’s analysis and response. The TOE ca also filter network traffic based on combinations of protocol, IP address and port.

7.1.1.9 T.MALICE

Malicious activity, such as introductions of Trojan horses and viruses, may occur on an IT System the TOE monitors.

This threat is countered by the following security objectives:

- O.SENSOR, O.ANALYZER, O.RESPONSE, O.REVIEW, O.TRAFFIC—these objectives work together to counter this threat by ensuring the TOE is able to collect all network packets flowing between network segments to which it is connected, analyzing those network packets and network flows in order to identify intrusion attempts, responding to identified intrusion attempts, and providing capabilities to review the results of the TOE’s analysis and response. The TOE ca also filter network traffic based on combinations of protocol, IP address and port.

7.1.1.10 A.ACCESS

The TOE has access to all the IT System data it needs to perform its functions.

This assumption is satisfied by the following security objectives:

- OE.INSTALL—this objective satisfies the assumption by ensuring the TOE is installed, managed, and operated in accordance with its guidance documentation, which describes how to install and manage the TOE securely such that it is able to monitor network segments for attempted intrusions.

7.1.1.11 A.PROTECT

The TOE hardware and software critical to security policy enforcement will be protected from unauthorized physical modification.

This assumption is satisfied by the following security objectives:

- OE.PHYSICAL—this objective satisfies the assumption by ensuring the TOE is protected from physical attack.

7.1.1.12 A.MANAGE

There will be one or more competent individuals assigned to manage the TOE and the security of the information it contains.

This assumption is satisfied by the following security objectives:

- OE.PERSONNEL—this objective satisfies the assumption by ensuring those assigned as authorized administrators are properly trained in operating the TOE.

7.1.1.13 A.NOEVIL

The authorized administrators are not careless, willfully negligent, or hostile, and will follow and abide by the instructions provided by the TOE documentation.

This assumption is satisfied by the following security objectives:

- OE.PERSONNEL—this objective satisfies the assumption by ensuring those assigned as authorized administrators are carefully selected for the job and are properly trained in operating the TOE.

7.2 Security Functional Requirements Rationale

All security functional requirements identified in this Security Target are fully addressed in this section and each is mapped to the objective it is intended to satisfy. **Table 11** summarizes the correspondence of functional requirements to TOE security objectives.

	O.SENSOR	O.ANALYZER	O.RESPONSE	O.REVIEW	O.ADMIN	O.ACCESS	O.I&A	O.AUDIT	O.INTEGRITY	O.STORAGE	O.TRAFFIC
FAU_GEN.1								X			
FAU_SAR.1						X		X			
FAU_SAR.2						X					
FAU_SAR.3								X			
FAU_SEL.1								X			
FAU_STG.2									X	X	
FAU_STG.4										X	
FDP_IFC.1											X
FDP_IFF.1											X
FIA_AFL.1							X				
FIA_ATD.1						X	X				
FIA_SOS.1							X				
FIA_UAU.2							X				
FIA_UID.2							X				
FMT_MOF.1						X					
FMT_MTD.1(*)						X					
FMT_SMF.1					X						
FMT_SMR.1						X					
FPT_STM.1		X						X			
FTP_TRP.1							X				
IDS_ANL_EXT.1		X									
IDS_RCT_EXT.1			X								
IDS_RDR_EXT.1				X		X					
IDS_SDC_EXT.1	X										
IDS_STG_EXT.1									X	X	
IDS_STG_EXT.2										X	

Table 11: Objectives to Requirement Correspondence

7.2.1.1 O.SENSOR

The TOE shall collect IDS data in the form of network packets flowing between network segments to which it is connected.

The following security functional requirements contribute to satisfying this security objective:

- IDS_SDC_EXT.1—the ST includes IDS_SDC_EXT.1 to specify the capability to collect information from targeted IT System resource(s). In the case of the TOE, the capability is specifically to collect network packets for subsequent analysis.

7.2.1.2 O.ANALYZER

The TOE shall analyze collected IDS data in order to identify intrusion attempts and shall be able to record the results of its analysis.

The following security functional requirements contribute to satisfying this security objective:

- IDS_ANL_EXT.1—the ST includes IDS_ANL_EXT.1 to specify the capability to perform statistical and signature analysis on collected IDS data (i.e., network packets) and to record results of that analysis.
- FPT_STM.1—the ST supports IDS_ANL_EXT.1 by including FPT_STM.1 to specify the capability for the TOE to provide reliable time stamps, which are used by the TOE's analyzer capability when generating analysis results.

7.2.1.3 O.RESPONSE

The TOE shall respond to intrusion attempts it identifies based on its configuration.

The following security functional requirements contribute to satisfying this security objective:

- IDS_RCT_EXT.1—the ST includes IDS_RCT_EXT.1 to specify the capability to respond to identified intrusion attempts by generating an alarm and taking action (Block or Permit) based on the configuration of the IDS filter that was triggered.

7.2.1.4 O.REVIEW

The TOE shall provide capabilities for effective review of stored IDS data.

The following security functional requirements contribute to satisfying this security objective:

- IDS_RDR_EXT.1—the ST includes IDS_RDR_EXT.1 to specify capabilities for authorized users to review the results generated by the TOE's analysis functions.

7.2.1.5 O.ADMIN

The TOE shall include capabilities to enable effective management of its functions and data.

The following security functional requirements contribute to satisfying this security objective:

- FMT_SMF.1—the ST includes FMT_SMF.1 to specify the security management functions that are required to provide the capabilities for effective management of the TOE's functions and data.

7.2.1.6 O.ACCESS

The TOE shall restrict access to its management capabilities based on the authorization level it assigns to identified and authenticated users.

The following security functional requirements contribute to satisfying this security objective:

- FMT_SMR.1—the ST includes FMT_SMR.1 to specify the security management roles that are provided by the TOE and the capability to associate users with roles.
- FIA_ATD.1—the ST supports FMT_SMR.1 by including FIA_ATD.1 to ensure the Access Level (i.e., role) security attribute is associated with individual users.

- FMT_MOF.1—the ST includes FMT_MOF.1 to specify the restrictions on which roles are able to manage the TOE's IDS data collection, analysis and reaction functions.
- FMT_MTD.1(*)—the ST includes iterations FMT_MTD.1 to specify the restrictions on which roles are able to manage TSF data.
- FAU_SAR.1, FAU_SAR.2—the ST includes FAU_SAR.1 to specify which roles are to be able to read data from stored audit records, and FAU_SAR.2 to specify that only those roles will have that specific capability.
- IDS_RDR_EXT.1—the ST includes IDS_RDR_EXT.1 to specify which roles are to be able to read stored IDS data, and to specify that only those roles will have that capability.

7.2.1.7 O.I&A

The TOE shall identify and authenticate users prior to allowing access to its functions and data.

The following security functional requirements contribute to satisfying this security objective:

- FIA_UID.2, FIA_UAU.2—the ST includes FIA_UID.2 and FIA_UAU.2 to specify that users must be successfully identified and authenticated by the TOE before being able to perform any other TSF-mediated actions.
- FIA_SOS.1—the ST supports FIA_UAU.2 by including FIA_SOS.1 to specify a quality metric to ensure authentication data is resistant to brute-force guessing.
- FIA_AFL.1—the ST supports FIA_UAU.2 by including FIA_AFL.1 to specify a mechanism for detecting multiple consecutive failed authentication attempts and the action the TOE is to take when this occurs. This capability also provides resistance to brute-force guessing of user authentication data.
- FTP_TRP.1—the ST supports FIA_UAU.2 by including FTP_TRP.1 to specify mechanisms for a trusted path between remote users and the TOE that protects data (including authentication data) from disclosure when transmitted between the remote user and the TOE, and also provides assurances to the user that they are indeed communicating with the TOE.
- FIA_ATD.1—the ST supports FIA_UID.2 and FIA_UAU.2 by including FIA_ATD.1 to ensure user identity and authentication data security attributes are associated with individual users.

7.2.1.8 O.AUDIT

The TOE shall generate audit records of security relevant events and provide capabilities for effective review of stored audit records.

The following security functional requirements contribute to satisfying this security objective:

- FAU_GEN.1—the ST includes FAU_GEN.1 to specify the capability to generate audit records of security relevant events, and to specify the specific events to be audited and the content of generated audit records of those events.
- FAU_SAR.1, FAU_SAR.3—the ST includes FAU_SAR.1 and FAU_SAR.3 to specify capabilities to review the contents of the stored audit records and to be able to sort records being reviewed.
- FAU_SEL.1—the ST supports FAU_GEN.1 by including FAU_SEL.1 to specify the capability to determine the set of auditable events that are to be audited by the TOE.
- FPT_STM.1—the ST supports FAU_GEN.1 by including FPT_STM.1 to specify the capability for the TOE to provide reliable time stamps, which are used by the TOE when generating audit records.

7.2.1.9 O.INTEGRITY

The TOE shall protect stored audit records and IDS data from unauthorized modification or deletion.

The following security functional requirements contribute to satisfying this security objective:

- FAU_STG.2, IDS_STG_EXT.1—the ST includes FAU_STG.2 and IDS_STG_EXT.1 to specify that stored audit records and stored IDS data are to be protected from unauthorized modification or deletion.

7.2.1.10 O.STORAGE

The TOE shall provide capabilities to automatically manage stored audit records and IDS data in the event that available storage space is exhausted.

The following security functional requirements contribute to satisfying this security objective:

- FAU_STG.2, FAU_STG.4, IDS_STG_EXT.1, IDS_STG_EXT.2—the ST includes FAU_STG.2, FAU_STG.4, IDS_STG_EXT.1 and IDS_STG_EXT.2 to specify the TOE behavior in the event the storage available for audit records and IDS data is exhausted and mechanisms for ensuring a specified amount of audit records and IDS data will still be available when this occurs.

7.2.1.11 O.TRAFFIC

The TOE shall provide a capability to filter network traffic based on combinations of protocol, IP address and port.

The following security functional requirements contribute to satisfying this security objective:

- FDP_IFC.1—the ST includes FDP_IFC.1 to specify the scope of control of the Traffic Management information flow control SFP. This SFP mediates the passing of traffic through the TOE between unauthenticated external IT entities. When activated on a network segment, it is applied to traffic before the IPS filtering capabilities and enables the TOE to behave as a firewall as well as an IPS device.
- FDP_IFF.1—the ST includes FDP_IFF.1 to specify the information flow functionality to be provided by the Traffic Management SFP. The TOE will act on traffic triggered by filters, based on the presumed source and destination addresses, ports and protocol of the traffic and will perform the specified filter action: Block; Allow; Rate Limit; or Trust.

7.3 Security Assurance Requirements Rationale

EAL 3 was selected as the assurance level because the TOE is a commercial product whose users require a moderate level of independently assured security. The TOE is targeted at an environment with good physical access security where it is assumed that attackers will have Basic attack potential. Augmentation was chosen to provide the added assurance that is gained by defining flaw remediation and flaw reporting procedures. Therefore, the target assurance level of EAL 3 augmented with ALC_FLR.2 is appropriate for such an environment.

7.4 Requirement Dependency Rationale

The following table identifies the SFRs claimed in the ST, their dependencies as defined in CC Part 2 or in the Extended Components Definition, and how the dependency is satisfied in the ST. If the dependency is satisfied by inclusion in the ST of the appropriate dependent SFR, this is identified. Otherwise, rationale is provided below the table.

Requirement	Dependencies	How Satisfied
FAU_GEN.1	FPT_STM.1	FPT_STM.1
FAU_SAR.1	FAU_GEN.1	FAU_GEN.1
FAU_SAR.2	FAU_SAR.1	FAU_SAR.1
FAU_SAR.3	FAU_SAR.1	FAU_SAR.1
FAU_SEL.1	FAU_GEN.1, FMT_MTD.1	FAU_GEN.1, FMT_MTD.1(1)
FAU_STG.2	FAU_GEN.1	FAU_GEN.1
FAU_STG.4	FAU_STG.1	FAU_STG.2 (hierarchical to FAU_STG.1)
FDP_IFC.1	FDP_IFF.1	FDP_IFF.1
FDP_IFF.1	FDP_IFC.1, FMT_MSA.3	FDP_IFC.1, FMT_MTD.1 (see rationale below)
FIA_AFL.1	FIA_UAU.1	FIA_UAU.2 (hierarchical to FIA_UAU.1)

Requirement	Dependencies	How Satisfied
FIA_ATD.1	None	n/a
FIA_SOS.1	None	n/a
FIA_UAU.2	FIA_UID.1	FIA_UID.2 (hierarchical to FIA_UID.1)
FIA_UID.2	None	n/a
FMT_MOF.1	FMT_SMF.1, FMT_SMR.1	FMT_SMF.1, FMT_SMR.1
FMT_MTD.1(*)	FMT_SMF.1, FMT_SMR.1	FMT_SMF.1, FMT_SMR.1
FMT_SMF.1	None	n/a
FMT_SMR.1	FIA_UID.1	FIA_UID.2 (hierarchical to FIA_UID.1)
FPT_STM.1	None	n/a
FTP_TRP.1	None	None
IDS_ANL_EXT.1	IDS_SDC_EXT.1	IDS_SDC_EXT.1
IDS_RCT_EXT.1	IDS_ANL_EXT.1	IDS_ANL_EXT.1
IDS_RDR_EXT.1	IDS_SDC_EXT.1, IDS_ANL_EXT.1	IDS_SDC_EXT.1, IDS_ANL_EXT.1
IDS_SDC_EXT.1	None	n/a
IDS_STG_EXT.1	IDS_SDC_EXT.1, IDS_ANL_EXT.1	IDS_SDC_EXT.1, IDS_ANL_EXT.1
IDS_STG_EXT.2	IDS_STG_EXT.1	IDS_STG_EXT.1

Table 12: Requirement Dependencies

CC Part 2 defines a dependency of FDP_IFF.1 (Simple security attributes) on FMT_MSA.3 (Static attribute initialization) to specify the nature of default values of security attributes used to enforce the SFP defined by FDP_IFF.1. However, the Traffic Management SFP defined by FDP_IFF.1 does not provide for default security attributes. The information security attributes are created outside the TOE boundary and the TOE has no control over whether they are restrictive, permissive, or some other quality. The TOE's ability to control information flow is defined by traffic management filters and their rules, specified in terms of information security attributes (i.e., protocol, source address, source port, destination address, and destination port). Restrictions on the management of these filters are specified by FMT_MTD.1, which therefore is shown to satisfy the dependency of FDP_IFF.1.

7.5 TOE Summary Specification Rationale

Section 6, the TOE Summary Specification, describes how the security functions of the TOE meet the claimed SFRs. The following table provides a mapping of the SFRs to the security function descriptions to support the TOE Summary Specification.

	Security Audit	Identification and Authentication	Intrusion Detection & Prevention	Traffic Management	Security Management	TSF Protection	Trusted Path
FAU_GEN.1	X						
FAU_SAR.1	X						
FAU_SAR.2	X						
FAU_SAR.3	X						
FAU_SEL.1	X						
FAU_STG.2	X						
FAU_STG.4	X						
FDP_IFC.1				X			

	Security Audit	Identification and Authentication	Intrusion Detection & Prevention	Traffic Management	Security Management	TSF Protection	Trusted Path
FDP_IFF.1				X			
FIA_AFL.1		X					
FIA_ATD.1		X					
FIA_SOS.1		X					
FIA_UAU.2		X					
FIA_UID.2		X					
FMT_MOF.1					X		
FMT_MTD.1(*)					X		
FMT_SMF.1					X		
FMT_SMR.1					X		
FPT_STM.1						X	
FTP_TRP.1							X
IDS_ANL_EXT.1			X				
IDS_RCT_EXT.1			X				
IDS_RDR_EXT.1			X				
IDS_SDC_EXT.1			X				
IDS_STG_EXT.1			X				
IDS_STG_EXT.2			X				

Table 13: Security Functions vs. Requirements Mapping