

Maintenance Report

NetIQ Group Policy Administrator 6.6

Issued by:

Communications Security Establishment Canada Certification Body

Canadian Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification Scheme

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Government of Canada, Communications Security Establishment, 2013

Document number: 383-7-87-MR

Version: 1.0

Date: 4 April 2013

Pagination: 1 to 2

1 Introduction

NetIQ Corporation has submitted, via EWA-Canada, the Impact Analysis Report (IAR) for NetIQ Group Policy Administrator 6.6 (hereafter referred to as NetIQ GPA 6.6), satisfying the requirements outlined in Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements, v2.0, November 2011. In accordance with those requirements, the IAR describes the changes implemented in NetIQ GPA 6.6 (the maintained Target of Evaluation), the evidence updated as a result of the changes and the security impact of the changes.

2 Description of changes in the Maintained Target of Evaluation

The following characterizes the changes implemented in NetIQ GPA 6.6. For each change, it was verified that there were no required changes to the security functional requirements in the ST, and thorough functional and regression testing was conducted by the developer to ensure that the assurance in the Target of Evaluation (TOE) was maintained. The changes in NetIQ GPA 6.6 comprise bug fixes resulting from defects detected and resolved through the QA/test process as well as new features and enhancements to existing functionality.

3 Affected developer evidence

Modifications to the product necessitated changes to a subset of the developer evidence that was previously submitted for the TOE. The set of affected developer evidence was identified in the IAR.

Modifications to the security target were made to reflect the updated TOE version and updated build numbers for the components that make up NetIQ GPA 6.6.

4 Conclusions

All changes to the maintained TOE were bug fixes and performance improvements. Through functional and regression testing of NetIQ GPA 6.6, assurance gained in the original TOE certification was maintained. As all of the changes to the maintained TOE have been classified as minor, it is the conclusion of the CB that the maintained TOE is appropriate for assurance continuity and re-evaluation is not required.

5 References

Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements, v2.0, November 2011.

CCS Guide #6, Technical Oversight for Assurance Continuity of a Certified TOE, v1.6, May 2011.

Certification Report EAL 2 Evaluation of NetIQ Group Policy Administrator 6.2, v1.0, 04 April 2011.

Version 1.0 - 1 - 4 April 2013

Version 1.0 - 2 - 4 April 2013