

Maintenance Report

RSA Archer GRC Platform v5.3 SP1

Issued by:

Communications Security Establishment Canada Certification Body Canadian Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification Scheme

© Government of Canada, Communications Security Establishment, 2013

Document number: 383-7-95-MR

Version: 1.1

Date: 18 October 2013

Pagination: 1 to 1

1 Introduction

RSA, The Security Division of EMC has submitted (via EWA-Canada) the Impact Analysis Report (IAR) for RSA Archer GRC Platform v5.3 SP1 (hereafter referred to as RSA Archer GRC), satisfying the requirements outlined in Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements, v2.1, June 2012. In accordance with those requirements, the IAR describes the changes implemented in RSA Archer GRC, (the maintained Target of Evaluation), the evidence updated as a result of the changes and the security impact of the changes.

2 Description of changes in the Maintained Target of Evaluation

The following characterizes the changes implemented in RSA Archer GRC. For each change, it was verified that there were no required changes to the security functional requirements in the ST, and thorough functional and regression testing was conducted by the developer to ensure that the assurance in the Target of Evaluation (TOE) was maintained. The changes in RSA Archer GRC comprise bug fixes resulting from defects detected and resolved through the QA/test process as well as new features and enhancements to existing functionality. None of the changes affect the security claims in the Security Target.

3 Affected developer evidence

Modifications to the product necessitated changes to a subset of the developer evidence that was previously submitted for the TOE. The set of affected developer evidence was identified in the IAR.

Modifications to the security target were made to reflect the new product name.

4 Conclusions

All changes to the maintained TOE were bug fixes and performance improvements. Through functional and regression testing of RSA Archer GRC, assurance gained in the original TOE certification was maintained. As all of the changes to the maintained TOE have been classified as minor, it is the conclusion of the CB that the maintained TOE is appropriate for assurance continuity and re-evaluation is not required.

5 References

Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements, v2.1, June 2012.

CCS Guide #6, Technical Oversight for Assurance Continuity of a Certified TOE, v1.6, May 2011.

Certification Report EAL 4+ Evaluation of RSA Archer eGRC Platform v5.0, v1.0, 13 October 2011.

Version 1.1 - 1 - 18 October 2013