CCEVS APPROVED ASSURANCE CONTINUITY MAINTENANCE REPORT

ASSURANCE CONTINUITY MAINTENANCE REPORT FOR

Lexmark X782e MFP

Maintenance Report Number: CCEVS-VR-07-0060a

Date of Activity: 09 April 2008

References: Common Criteria document CCIMB-2004-02-009 "Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements", version 1.0, February 2004;

"Lexmark X782e MFP Impact Assessment Report", 25 March 2008

Documentation Updated: Lexmark X646dte, X646e, X646ef, X772e, X782e, X850e, X852e, X854e, X940e and X945e MFP Security Target, SV-0606-002(1.12);

IMPORTANT INFORMATION FOR COMMON CRITERIA EAL2 COMPLIANT OPERATION, Version 1.7.

Lexmark developer evidence documents.

Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report:

The vendor for the Lexmark X646dte (firmware revision LC2.MC.P239b), X646e (firmware revision LC2.MC.P239b), X646ef (firmware revision LC2.TI.P239b), X772e (firmware revision LC2.TR.P275), X850e (firmware revision LC2.BE.P238b), X852e (firmware revision LC2.BE.P238b), X854e (firmware revision LC2.BE.P238b), X940e (firmware revision LC.BR.P060) and X945e (firmware revision LC.BR.P060) Multifunction Printers (MFPs), Lexmark, Inc., submitted an Impact Analysis Report (IAR) to CCEVS for approval on 02 April 2008. The IAR is intended to satisfy requirements outlined in Common Criteria document CCIMB-2004-02-009, "Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements", version 1.0, February 2004. In accordance with those requirements, the IAR describes the changes made to the certified TOE, the evidence updated as a result of the changes and the security impact of the changes.

Changes to TOE:

Model X782e MFP (firmware version LC2.TO.P305a) was added to the evaluated models. The difference between the X782e and previously evaluated models is limited to updated firmware and the following performance characteristics:

Print Speed
Time to First Page
Copy Speed
Scan Calibration Time

The firmware updates were limited to the firmware routine associated with scan calibrations.

Conclusion:

The firmware change is not significant from the standpoint of security functions of the TOE, but configuration management procedures require that the product be identified by a new part number. The non-security

relevance of the set of changes leads to the conclusion that it is classified as a minor change and that certificate maintenance is the correct path to continuity of assurance.

Therefore, CCEVS agrees that the original assurance is maintained for the above-cited version of the product.