™

ASSURANCE CONTINUITY MAINTENANCE REPORT FOR
Tripp Lite Secure KVM Peripheral Sharing Switches

Maintenance Update of Tripp Lite Secure KVM Peripheral Sharing Switches

Maintenance Report Number: CCEVS-VR-VID10908-2020

Date of Activity: 09 January 2020

References:

- Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme Publication #6, Assurance Continuity: Guidance for Maintenance and Re-evaluation, version 3.0, 12 September 2016; and

- Protection Profile for Peripheral Sharing Switch (PSS), version 3.0, 13 February 2015.

Documentation included:

- Tripp Lite Secure KVM Switch Security Target, Revision 1.05, 10 May 2019;
- Tripp Lite Secure KVM Switch Vulnerability Survey, Version 1.3, November 28, 2019; and
- Tripp Lite Secure KVM/Matrix Switch Impact Analysis Report, Version 1.0, November 28, 2019.

Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report:

The CCTL prepared and submitted an Impact Analysis Report (IAR) to the Common Criteria Evaluation Validation Scheme (CCEVS) for approval on 28 November 2019 on behalf of Tripp Lite. The IAR is intended to satisfy requirements outlined in Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme Publication #6, Assurance Continuity: Guidance for Maintenance and Re-evaluation, version 3.0. In accordance with those requirements, the IAR describes the changes made to the certified TOE, the evidence updated because of the changes, and the security impact of the changes.

The evaluation evidence consists of the Security Target, the Vulnerability Report, and an IAR.

The Security Target was unchanged.

The Vulnerability Report was revised to present the results of a vulnerability search conducted on 28, November 2019.

The IAR was new and identified changes to the Vulnerability Report.

Changes to TOE:

There were no changes to the evaluated TOE.

Regression Testing:

There was no regression testing performed.

Vulnerability Analysis:

The CCTL conducted searches of public vulnerability sites and, using selected key words and product identifiers, located a group of published vulnerabilities. All were all reviewed and were identified as having no impact on the version of the TOE presented as part of this Assurance Maintenance Action.

Conclusion:

CCEVS reviewed the description of the changes and the analysis of the impact upon security and found them all to be minor.

In addition, the CCTL reported that there were no vulnerabilities associated with the TOE.

Therefore, CCEVS agrees that the original assurance is maintained for the product.