

**National Information Assurance Partnership**  
**Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme**



**Validation Report**

**Cisco Systems, Inc.**

**Cisco AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client v4.7 for Android**

**Report Number:** CCEVS-VR-VID10976-2019  
**Dated:** August 27, 2019  
**Version:** 0.3

National Institute of Standards and Technology  
Information Technology Laboratory  
100 Bureau Drive  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

National Security Agency  
Information Assurance Directorate  
9800 Savage Road STE 6940  
Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755-6940

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

### **Validation Team**

Kenneth Stutterheim  
*The Aerospace Corporation*

John Butterworth  
Jenn Dotson  
Patrick Mallett PhD  
Linda Morrison  
Clare Olin  
*The MITRE Corporation*

### **Common Criteria Testing Laboratory**

Khai Van  
*Gossamer Security Solutions, Inc.*  
*Catonsville, MD*

## Table of Contents

1	Executive Summary.....	1
2	Identification.....	1
3	Architectural Information.....	2
3.1	TOE Description.....	3
3.2	TOE Evaluated Configuration.....	3
3.3	Physical Scope of the TOE.....	3
4	Security Policy.....	3
4.1	Cryptographic support.....	4
4.2	Full residual information protection.....	4
4.3	Identification and authentication.....	4
4.4	Security management.....	4
4.5	Protection of the TSF.....	4
4.6	Trusted channels.....	4
5	Assumptions.....	4
6	Clarification of Scope.....	5
7	Documentation.....	5
8	IT Product Testing.....	6
8.1	Developer Testing.....	6
8.2	Evaluation Team Independent Testing.....	6
8.3	Test Environment.....	6
9	Evaluated Configuration.....	7
10	Results of the Evaluation.....	7
10.1	Evaluation of the Security Target (ASE).....	7
10.2	Evaluation of the Development (ADV).....	7
10.3	Evaluation of the Guidance Documents (AGD).....	7
10.4	Evaluation of the Life Cycle Support Activities (ALC).....	8
10.5	Evaluation of the Test Documentation and the Test Activity (ATE).....	8
10.6	Vulnerability Assessment Activity (VAN).....	8
10.7	Summary of Evaluation Results.....	9
11	Validator Comments/Recommendations.....	9
12	Annexes.....	10
13	Security Target.....	10
14	Glossary.....	10
15	Bibliography.....	11

## 1 Executive Summary

This report documents the assessment of the National Information Assurance Partnership (NIAP) validation team of the evaluation of Cisco AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client v4.7 for Android solution provided by Cisco Systems, Inc. It presents the evaluation results, their justifications, and the conformance results. This Validation Report is not an endorsement of the Target of Evaluation by any agency of the U.S. government, and no warranty is either expressed or implied.

The evaluation was performed by the Gossamer Security Solutions (Gossamer) Common Criteria Testing Laboratory (CCTL) in Catonsville, MD, United States of America, and was completed in August 2019. The information in this report is largely derived from the Evaluation Technical Report (ETR) and associated test reports, all written by Gossamer Security Solutions. The evaluation determined that the product is both Common Criteria Part 2 Extended and Part 3 Conformant and meets the assurance requirements of the Protection Profile for Application Software, version 1.2, April 22, 2016 and PP-Module for Virtual Private Network (VPN) Clients, version 2.1, October 5, 2017.

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is the Cisco AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client v4.7 for Android product.

The TOE identified in this Validation Report has been evaluated at a NIAP approved Common Criteria Testing Laboratory using the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (Version 3.1, Rev 5) for conformance to the Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (Version 3.1, Rev 5). This Validation Report applies only to the specific version of the TOE as evaluated. The evaluation has been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the NIAP Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme and the conclusions of the testing laboratory in the evaluation technical report are consistent with the evidence provided.

The validation team monitored the activities of the evaluation team, provided guidance on technical issues and evaluation processes, and reviewed the individual work units and successive versions of the ETR. The validation team found that the evaluation showed that the product satisfies all of the functional requirements and assurance requirements stated in the Security Target (ST). Therefore the validation team concludes that the testing laboratory's findings are accurate, the conclusions justified, and the conformance results are correct. The conclusions of the testing laboratory in the evaluation technical report are consistent with the evidence produced.

The technical information included in this report was obtained from the Cisco AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client v4.7 for Android 8 Security Target, Version 1.0, August 23, 2019 and analysis performed by the Validation Team.

## 2 Identification

The CCEVS is a joint National Security Agency (NSA) and National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) effort to establish commercial facilities to perform trusted product evaluations. Under this program, security evaluations are conducted by commercial testing laboratories called Common Criteria Testing Laboratories (CCTLs) using the Common

Evaluation Methodology (CEM) in accordance with National Voluntary Laboratory Assessment Program (NVLAP) accreditation.

The NIAP Validation Body assigns Validators to monitor the CCTLs to ensure quality and consistency across evaluations. Developers of information technology products desiring a security evaluation contract with a CCTL and pay a fee for their product's evaluation. Upon successful completion of the evaluation, the product is added to NIAP's Product Compliant List.

Table 1 provides information needed to completely identify the product, including:

- The Target of Evaluation (TOE): the fully qualified identifier of the product as evaluated.
- The Security Target (ST), describing the security features, claims, and assurances of the product.
- The conformance result of the evaluation.
- The Protection Profile to which the product is conformant.
- The organizations and individuals participating in the evaluation.

**Table 1: Evaluation Identifiers**

Item	Identifier
<b>Evaluation Scheme</b>	United States NIAP Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme
<b>TOE</b>	Cisco AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client v4.7 for Android
<b>Protection Profile</b>	Protection Profile for Application Software, version 1.2, April 22, 2016 and PP-Module for Virtual Private Network (VPN) Clients, version 2.1, October 5, 2017
<b>ST</b>	Cisco AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client v4.7 for Android 8 Security Target, Version 1.0, August 23, 2019
<b>Evaluation Technical Report</b>	Evaluation Technical Report for Cisco AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client v4.7 for Android, version 0.3, August 23, 2019
<b>CC Version</b>	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1, rev 5
<b>Conformance Result</b>	CC Part 2 extended, CC Part 3 conformant
<b>Sponsor</b>	Cisco Systems, Inc.
<b>Developer</b>	Cisco Systems, Inc.
<b>Common Criteria Testing Lab (CCTL)</b>	Gossamer Security Solutions, Inc.
<b>CCEVS Validators</b>	John Butterworth, Jenn Dotson, Patrick Mallett PhD, Linda Morrison, Clare Olin, Kenneth Stutterheim

### 3 Architectural Information

Note: The following architectural description is based on the description presented in the Security Target.

The TOE is the Cisco AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client v4.7 for Android (herein after referred to as the VPN client, or the TOE). The TOE enables remote users within an organization to communicate securely as if their devices were directly connected to a private network.

The TOE is a VPN Client software application. A virtual private network (VPN) extends the organization's private network across a shared or public network. A VPN client establishes a IKEv2/IPsec connection to a VPN Gateway which allowing the remote user to securely connect to the organization's private network.

### **3.1 TOE Description**

The Cisco AnyConnect TOE is a client application that provides remote users a secure VPN tunnel to protect data in transit on both IPv4 and IPv6 networks. The TOE provides IPsec to authenticate and encrypt network traffic travelling across an unprotected public network. By protecting the communication from unauthorized disclosure or modification, remote users can securely connect to an organization's network resources and applications. The TOE only works with a Cisco Adaptive Security Appliance (ASA) 5500 series VPN gateway. The appropriate licenses must be installed on the ASA to permit use of AnyConnect remote access IPsec VPN. Refer to the Cisco License Management portal at <http://www.cisco.com/go/license>. FIPS mode must be enabled on both the ASA 5500 VPN gateway as well as the TOE application.

### **3.2 TOE Evaluated Configuration**

As a software application, the evaluated configuration is Cisco AnyConnect v4.7 installed on a Samsung Galaxy device running Android 8. Refer to the Common Criteria Administrator's Guide for both the Samsung platform and the Cisco VPN client for instructions on installing and configuring the TOE.

### **3.3 Physical Scope of the TOE**

The TOE is a software-only VPN client application. The underlying Samsung Galaxy Android 8 platform on which the TOE resides is considered part of the IT environment

## **4 Security Policy**

This section summaries the security functionality of the TOE:

1. Cryptographic Support
2. Full Residual Information Protection
3. Identification and Authentication
4. Security Management
5. Protection of the TSF
6. Trusted Channels

## **4.1 Cryptographic support**

The TOE incorporates a cryptographic module, CiscoSSL FIPS Object Module, to provide the cryptography in support of IPsec with ESP symmetric cryptography for bulk AES encryption/decryption and SHA-2 algorithm for hashing. In addition the TOE provides the cryptography to support Diffie-Hellman key exchange and derivation function used in the IKEv2 and ESP protocols. The TOE platform provides asymmetric cryptography, which is used by the TOE for IKE peer authentication using digital signature and hashing services. In addition the TOE platform provides a DRBG. The key generation function on the TOE can be invoked by the TOE platform Administrator using a MDM product.

## **4.2 Full residual information protection**

The TOE platform ensures that residual information from previously sent network packets processed through the platform are protected from being passed into subsequent network packets.

## **4.3 Identification and authentication**

The TOE and TOE platform perform device-level X.509 certificate-based authentication of the VPN Gateway during IKE v2 key exchange. Device-level authentication allows the TOE to establish a secure channel with a trusted VPN Gateway. The secure channel is established only after each endpoint successfully authenticates each other.

## **4.4 Security management**

The TOE, TOE platform, and VPN Gateway provide the management functions to configure the security functionality provided by the TOE.

## **4.5 Protection of the TSF**

The TOE performs a suite of self-tests during initial start-up to verify correct operation of its CAVP tested algorithms. Upon execution, the integrity of the TOEs software executables is also verified. The TOE Platform provides for verification of TOE software updates prior to installation.

## **4.6 Trusted channels**

The TOE's implementation of IPsec provides a trusted channel ensuring sensitive data is protected from unauthorized disclosure or modification when transmitted from the host to a VPN gateway.

## **5 Assumptions**

The Security Problem Definition, including the assumptions, may be found in the following documents:

- Protection Profile for Application Software, version 1.2, April 22, 2016
- PP-Module for Virtual Private Network (VPN) Clients, version 2.1, October 5, 2017

That information has not been reproduced here and the ASPP12/VPNC21 should be consulted if there is interest in that material.

The scope of this evaluation was limited to the functionality and assurances covered in the ASPP12/VPNC21 as described for this TOE in the Security Target. Other functionality included in the product was not assessed as part of this evaluation. All other functionality provided by the devices needs to be assessed separately, and no further conclusions can be drawn about their effectiveness.

## 6 Clarification of Scope

All evaluations (and all products) have limitations, as well as potential misconceptions that need clarification. This text covers some of the more important limitations and clarifications of this evaluation. Note that:

- As with any evaluation, this evaluation only shows that the evaluated configuration meets the security claims made with a certain level of assurance (the assurance activities specified in the ASPP12/VPNC21 and performed by the evaluation team).
- This evaluation covers only the specific device models and software as identified in this document, and not any earlier or later versions released or in process.
- This evaluation did not specifically search for, nor attempt to exploit, vulnerabilities that were not “obvious” or vulnerabilities to objectives not claimed in the ST. The CEM defines an “obvious” vulnerability as one that is easily exploited with a minimum of understanding of the TOE, technical sophistication and resources.
- The functionality evaluated is scoped exclusively to the security functional requirements specified in the ASPP12/VPNC21 and applicable Technical Decisions. Any additional security related functional capabilities of the TOE were not covered by this evaluation.

## 7 Documentation

The following document was made available with the TOE for evaluation:

- Cisco AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client, v4.7 for Android 8 CC Configuration Guide, Version 0.3, August 2019

It is also required to set the Samsung Galaxy mobile device platform into its evaluated configuration using the following guidance: “Samsung Android 8 on Galaxy Devices Administrator Guide”, which is available for download at: [https://www.niap-cc-evs.org/MMO/Product/st\\_vid10898-agd1.pdf](https://www.niap-cc-evs.org/MMO/Product/st_vid10898-agd1.pdf)

Any additional customer documentation provided with the product, or that may be available online was not included in the scope of the evaluation and therefore should not to be relied upon



when configuring or operating the device as evaluated. Consumers are encouraged to download the configuration documentation from the NIAP website to ensure that both the client software and TOE platform are configured as evaluated.

## 8 IT Product Testing

This section describes the testing efforts of the developer and the Evaluation Team. It is derived from information contained in the Assurance Activities Report (ASPP12/VPNC21) for Cisco AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client v4.7 for Android, Version 0.3, August 23, 2019 (AAR).

### 8.1 Developer Testing

No evidence of developer testing is required in the assurance activities for this product.

### 8.2 Evaluation Team Independent Testing

The evaluation team verified the product according a Common Criteria Certification document and ran the tests specified in the ASPP12/VPNC21 including the tests associated with optional requirements.

### 8.3 Test Environment

- Android 8.0 on Samsung Galaxy S9/S9+


#### Supporting Products:

- Cisco ASA Firewall (VPN Gateway)

#### Supporting Software:

- SSH Client – Putty version 6.2
- Big Packet Putty version 6.2
- Wireshark version 1.10.0
- Jadx (Dex to Java decompiler) version 1.0.0
- Adaptive Security Device Manager (ASDM) 7.8

The following is a visual depiction of the testing environment:



## 9 Evaluated Configuration

The evaluated configuration is Cisco AnyConnect v4.7 client software installed on the Android 8 operating system on a Samsung Galaxy device.

## 10 Results of the Evaluation

The results of the assurance requirements are generally described in this section and are presented in detail in the proprietary ETR. The reader of this document can assume that all assurance activities and work units received a passing verdict.

A verdict for an assurance component is determined by the resulting verdicts assigned to the corresponding evaluator action elements. The evaluation was conducted based upon CC version 3.1 rev 5 and CEM version 3.1 rev 4. The evaluation determined the Cisco AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client v4.7 for Android TOE to be Part 2 extended, and to meet the SARs contained in the ASPP12/VPNC21.

### 10.1 Evaluation of the Security Target (ASE)

The evaluation team applied each ASE CEM work unit. The ST evaluation ensured the ST contains a description of the environment in terms of policies and assumptions, a statement of security requirements claimed to be met by the Cisco AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client v4.7 for Android products that are consistent with the Common Criteria, and product security function descriptions that support the requirements.

The validators reviewed the work of the evaluation team, and found that sufficient evidence and justification was provided by the evaluation team to confirm that the evaluation was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the CEM, and that the conclusion reached by the evaluation team was justified.

### 10.2 Evaluation of the Development (ADV)

The evaluation team applied each ADV CEM work unit. The evaluation team assessed the design documentation and found it adequate to aid in understanding how the TSF provides the security functions. The design documentation consists of a functional specification contained in the Security target and Guidance documents. Additionally, the evaluator performed the assurance activities specified in the ASPP12/VPNC21 related to the examination of the information contained in the TSS.

The validators reviewed the work of the evaluation team, and found that sufficient evidence and justification was provided by the evaluation team to confirm that the evaluation was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the CEM, and that the conclusion reached by the evaluation team was justified.

### 10.3 Evaluation of the Guidance Documents (AGD)

The evaluation team applied each AGD CEM work unit. The evaluation team ensured the adequacy of the user guidance in describing how to use the operational TOE. Additionally, the

evaluation team ensured the adequacy of the administrator guidance in describing how to securely administer the TOE. All of the guides were assessed during the design and testing phases of the evaluation to ensure they were complete.

The validators reviewed the work of the evaluation team, and found that sufficient evidence and justification was provided by the evaluation team to confirm that the evaluation was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the CEM, and that the conclusion reached by the evaluation team was justified.

#### **10.4 Evaluation of the Life Cycle Support Activities (ALC)**

The evaluation team applied each ALC CEM work unit. The evaluation team found that the TOE was identified.

The validators reviewed the work of the evaluation team, and found that sufficient evidence and justification was provided by the evaluation team to confirm that the evaluation was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the CEM, and that the conclusion reached by the evaluation team was justified.

#### **10.5 Evaluation of the Test Documentation and the Test Activity (ATE)**

The evaluation team applied each ATE CEM work unit. The evaluation team ran the set of tests specified by the assurance activities in the ASPP12/VPNC21 and recorded the results in a Test Report, summarized in the AAR.

The validators reviewed the work of the evaluation team, and found that sufficient evidence and justification was provided by the evaluation team to confirm that the evaluation was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the CEM, and that the conclusion reached by the evaluation team was justified.

#### **10.6 Vulnerability Assessment Activity (VAN)**

The evaluation team applied each AVA CEM work unit. The vulnerability analysis is in the Detailed Test Report (DTR) prepared by the evaluator. The vulnerability analysis includes a public search for vulnerabilities.

The evaluator searched the National Vulnerability Database (NVD) from the NIST website to ensure no publicly known security flaws are identified for the TOE. The evaluator performed this search on August 21, 2019. The following search terms were used:

- anyconnect 4.7
- ikev2
- encapsulating security payload
- cisco anyconnect
- anyconnect
- Ciscossl 1.0.2o
- Openssl 1.0.2o.6.2

- Anyconnect android
- Boost
- Knox
- Gson
- Libxml
- Libcurl

The public search for vulnerabilities did not uncover any residual vulnerability.

The evaluator also used the latest version of Windows Defender with the latest virus definitions to run a virus scan on the TOE's APK. The virus scan revealed no threats in the APK.

The validators reviewed the work of the evaluation team and found that sufficient evidence and justification was provided by the evaluation team to confirm that the evaluation was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the CEM, and that the conclusion reached by the evaluation team was justified.

## 10.7 Summary of Evaluation Results

The evaluation team's assessment of the evaluation evidence demonstrates that the claims in the ST are met. Additionally, the evaluation team's testing also demonstrated the accuracy of the claims in the ST.

The validation team's assessment of the evidence provided by the evaluation team is that it demonstrates that the evaluation team followed the procedures defined in the CEM, and correctly verified that the product meets the claims in the ST.

## 11 Validator Comments/Recommendations

The validation team notes that the evaluated configuration is dependent upon the TOE being configured per the evaluated configuration instructions in the AnyConnect and Samsung Guides listed above. No versions of the TOE and software, either earlier or later were evaluated.

Please note that the functionality evaluated is scoped exclusively to the security functional requirements specified in the Security Target. Other functionality included in the product was not assessed as part of this evaluation. Other functionality provided by devices in the operational environment, need to be assessed separately and no further conclusions can be drawn about their effectiveness.

The Cisco ASA 5500-X with software version 9.2.2 or later functions as the head-end VPN Gateway. The Cisco AnyConnect TOE communicates only with the Cisco ASA 5500-X Series Gateway. The appropriate licenses must be installed on the ASA to permit use of AnyConnect remote access IPsec VPN.

In the evaluated configuration, FIPS mode must be enabled; once the device is in FIPS mode, only approved cryptographic functions are used. As a software application on a platform, the TOE platform is required to have been evaluated. The Samsung Galaxy Devices were tested as

part of VID 10898. Note that the platform is required to be set up in CC mode according to the guidance documentation “Samsung Android 8 on Galaxy Devices Administrator Guide”, which is available for download at: [https://www.niap-ccevs.org/MMO/Product/st\\_vid10898-agd1.pdf](https://www.niap-ccevs.org/MMO/Product/st_vid10898-agd1.pdf)

The key generation function on the TOE can be invoked by the TOE platform Administrator using an MDM product. Refer to the Samsung Common Criteria Guide (section 3.6.7) for information on importing new certificates to the mobile device.

Security updates for the Cisco AnyConnect TOE are available at <https://software.cisco.com>.

## 12 Annexes

Not applicable

## 13 Security Target

The Security Target is identified as: *Cisco AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client v4.7 for Android 8 Security Target, Version 1.0, August 23, 2019.*

## 14 Glossary

The following definitions are used throughout this document:

- **Common Criteria Testing Laboratory (CCTL).** An IT security evaluation facility accredited by the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) and approved by the CCEVS Validation Body to conduct Common Criteria-based evaluations.
- **Conformance.** The ability to demonstrate in an unambiguous way that a given implementation is correct with respect to the formal model.
- **Evaluation.** The assessment of an IT product against the Common Criteria using the Common Criteria Evaluation Methodology to determine whether or not the claims made are justified; or the assessment of a protection profile against the Common Criteria using the Common Evaluation Methodology to determine if the Profile is complete, consistent, technically sound and hence suitable for use as a statement of requirements for one or more TOEs that may be evaluated.
- **Evaluation Evidence.** Any tangible resource (information) required from the sponsor or developer by the evaluator to perform one or more evaluation activities.
- **Feature.** Part of a product that is either included with the product or can be ordered separately.
- **Target of Evaluation (TOE).** A group of IT products configured as an IT system, or an IT product, and associated documentation that is the subject of a security evaluation under the CC.
- **Validation.** The process carried out by the CCEVS Validation Body leading to the issue of a Common Criteria certificate.

- **Validation Body.** A governmental organization responsible for carrying out validation and for overseeing the day-to-day operation of the NIAP Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme.

## 15 Bibliography

The Validation Team used the following documents to produce this Validation Report:

- [1] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation: Part 1: Introduction and General Model, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017.
- [2] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 2: Security functional components, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017.
- [3] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 3: Security assurance components, Version 3.1 Revision 5, April 2017.
- [4] Protection Profile for Application Software, version 1.2, April 22, 2016 and PP-Module for Virtual Private Network (VPN) Clients, version 2.1, October 5, 2017.
- [5] Cisco AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client v4.7 for Android 8 Security Target, Version 1.0, August 23, 2019 (ST).
- [6] Assurance Activity Report (ASPP12/VPNC21) for Cisco AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client v4.7 for Android , Version 0.3, August 23, 2019 (AAR).
- [7] Detailed Test Report (ASPP12/VPNC21) for Cisco AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client v4.7 for Android, Version 0.3, August 23, 2019 (DTR).
- [8] Evaluation Technical Report for Cisco AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client v4.7 for Android , Version 0.3, August 23, 2019 (ETR)