



## CCEVS APPROVED ASSURANCE CONTINUITY MAINTENANCE REPORT

### ASSURANCE CONTINUITY MAINTENANCE REPORT FOR

Interactive Link Data Diode Device, P-8A  
Ruggedized Data Diode, Part F1D403, V1.0, Build 1.1.0

**Maintenance Report Number: CCEVS-VR-06-0051e**

**Date of Activity:** 9/16/2009

**References:** Common Criteria document CCIMB-2004-02-009 "Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements", version 1.0, February 2004;

*Impact Analysis Report*, "Impact Analysis Report IAR-IL-DDD-006 Version 1.0 for the Interactive Link, Data Diode Device", dated 18 May 2009.

**Documentation Updated:** Interactive Link Data Diode Device developer evidence

Interactive Link Data Diode Device, Installation and Administration Guide

#### **Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report:**

The vendor for the Interactive Link Data Diode Device, P-8A Ruggedized Data Diode, submitted an Impact Analysis Report (IAR) to CCEVS for approval on 12 June 2009. The IAR is intended to satisfy requirements outlined in Common Criteria document CCIMB-2004-02-009, "Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements", version 1.0, February 2004. In accordance with those requirements, the IAR describes the changes made to the certified TOE, the evidence updated as a result of the changes and the security impact of the changes.

#### **Changes to TOE:**

In January 2008, Tenix agreed to the sale of Tenix Defence to BAE Systems. The sale was completed in June 2008. The change in ownership of the company and its products require that they be re-branded. Therefore, relevant logos and references to company names on the product and related documentation have been updated to reflect BAE Systems.

The product descriptions in the "design baseline" were updated due to typos and the logo change affected the form of the product. These changes resulted in the initiation of an Engineering Change Order (ECO) as part of BAE's Configuration management practices. Once the changes were implemented, the baseline of the product was updated to reflect the new version of the product. None of the changes affect the manufactured version of the product.

#### **Conclusion:**

The changes to the TOE environment were analyzed and found to have no effect on the security of the evaluated TOE. The non-security relevance of the changes leads to the conclusion that the updates can be classified as a **minor change** and that certificate maintenance is the correct path for continuity of assurance.